Citizens [among those who required one of these tests: MRIs, CAT scans and angiographies, in a non-emergency situation in the last 12 months] who waited less than a month for a diagnostic test

Unit : %

	Year 2020
Province	
New Brunswick	**52.3**
Health zones	
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	**52.1**
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	**54.1**
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	**53.6**
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	**45.9**
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	**59.0**
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	**46.6**
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	**45.9**
Communities	
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	**43.1**
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	**49.8**
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	**58.0**
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	**53.7**
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	**47.5**
Dieppe and Memramcook	**44.1**
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	**42.1**
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	**39.1**
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	**62.0**
Fredericton	**54.5**

	Year 2020
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	**44.2**
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	**53.3**
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	**48.2**
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	**60.4**
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	**50.0**
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	**44.7**
Moncton	**58.5**
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	**38.3**
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	**44.1**
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	**51.4**
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	**49.7**
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	**57.4**
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	**49.1**
Riverview and Coverdale	**46.0**
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	**46.1**
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	**61.1**
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	**56.4**
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	**48.5**
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	**40.5**
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	**53.9**
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	**42.0**
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	**54.1**
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	**36.2**

Age

	Year 2020
18-64	**48.8**
65 and over	**54.6**

Sex at birth

Female	**47.0**
Male	**54.9**

Sexual identity

Minority sexual identity	**50.4**
Heterosexual	**50.1**

Language

Preferred language of service: English	**53.0**
Preferred language of service: French	**44.8**

Indigenous identity

Indigenous	**52.2**
Non-Indigenous	**50.3**

Immigrant

Immigrants	**50.2**
Non-immigrants	**51.3**

Education level

High school or less	**53.3**
Postsecondary	**49.1**

Household income

Less than $25,000	**57.2**
$25,000 to less than $60,000	**51.8**

Citizens [among those who required one of these tests: MRIs, CAT scans...

New Brunswick Health Council | Conseil de la santé du Nouveau-Brunswick

	Year 2020
$60,000 or more	**46.4**

Persons with a disability

Without disability	**52.4**
With disability	**51.0**

Citizens [among those who required one of these tests: MRIs, CAT scans...

New Brunswick Health Council | Conseil de la santé du Nouveau-Brunswick

About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens [among those who required one of these tests: MRIs, CAT scans and angiographies, in a non-emergency situation in the last 12 months] who waited less than a month for a diagnostic test.

Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

Calculations

This indicator is based on respondents who have required one of these tests: MRIs, CAT-scans or angiographies provided in a non-emergency situation in the last 12 months . This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "less than one month" by the number of respondents who answered "less than one month, 1 to 3 months or longer than 3 months" to the question: How long did you have to wait between when you and your doctor or nurse decided to go ahead with the test and the day of the test? If you have had more than one in the last 12 months, please answer with the most recent in mind.

Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Higher is better	PH_ACCOE_1

Caption
n/a = Not applicable / not available
S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size