

## Citizens who reported experiencing any financial barrier in getting the health care they needed in the last 12 months

Unit : %

	Year 2020
<b>Province</b>	
New Brunswick	26.5
<b>Health zones</b>	
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	28.8
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	24.2
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	23.9
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	33.8
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	29.1
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	28.8
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	25.8
<b>Communities</b>	
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	27.9
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	27.5
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	31.0
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	29.1
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	26.2
Dieppe and Memramcook	21.4
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	26.3
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	31.6
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	23.1
Fredericton	21.5
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	21.0

	<b>Year 2020</b>
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	<b>35.9</b>
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	<b>32.4</b>
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	<b>38.8</b>
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	<b>31.3</b>
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	<b>24.6</b>
Moncton	<b>30.9</b>
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	<b>24.8</b>
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	<b>32.0</b>
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	<b>15.8</b>
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	<b>22.8</b>
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	<b>30.4</b>
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	<b>15.9</b>
Riverview and Coverdale	<b>25.3</b>
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	<b>27.1</b>
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	<b>26.2</b>
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	<b>36.0</b>
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	<b>31.6</b>
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	<b>29.5</b>
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	<b>25.6</b>
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	<b>29.9</b>
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	<b>27.0</b>
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	<b>29.8</b>
<b>Age</b>	
18-64	<b>28.4</b>

	Year 2020
65 and over	21.2
<b>Sex at birth</b>	
Female	28.2
Male	24.7
<b>Sexual identity</b>	
Minority sexual identity	49.5
Heterosexual	25.2
<b>Language</b>	
Preferred language of service: English	26.4
Preferred language of service: French	27.7
<b>Indigenous identity</b>	
Indigenous	39.5
Non-Indigenous	26.0
<b>Immigrant</b>	
Immigrants	27.7
Non-immigrants	26.5
<b>Education level</b>	
High school or less	32.5
Postsecondary	23.2
<b>Household income</b>	
Less than \$25,000	44.9
\$25,000 to less than \$60,000	33.5
\$60,000 or more	15.2

	Year 2020
<b>Persons with a disability</b>	
Without disability	22.4
With disability	39.4

## About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who reported experiencing any financial barrier in getting the health care they needed in the last 12 months, based on their responses to 4 individual questions related to costs.

## Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

## Calculations

This indicator combines the responses to the following 4 individual questions related to costs: Now think of any difficulties you may have experienced in getting the health care you needed in the last 12 months. In the last 12 months, did you: 'c) Find the cost for ambulance services too high (yes/no)', 'h) Not fill a prescription for medicine, or skip doses of your medicine because of the cost (yes/no)', 'g) Skip a medical test, treatment, or follow-up that was recommended by a doctor because of the cost (yes/no)' and 'i) Skip dental care or dental checkups because of the cost (yes/no)'. This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered 'yes' to at least 1 of these individual questions by the total number of respondents.

## Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

### Unit

%

### Interpretation

Lower is better

### NBHC code

PH\_BARFI\_1

### Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size