

## Citizens who reported that they have been diagnosed or treated for diabetes

Unit : %

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
<b>Province</b>				
New Brunswick	12.2	11.9	12.0	11.4
<b>Health zones</b>				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	11.9	11.6	10.8	10.5
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	12.9	10.1	12.2	11.9
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	11.2	10.4	11.8	11.7
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	10.0	12.9	12.3	9.3
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	13.1	16.2	12.2	13.5
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	13.4	15.7	12.8	11.6
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	15.6	16.3	15.9	13.8
<b>Communities</b>				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	n/a	n/a	12.9	10.1
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	n/a	n/a	13.6	14.2
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	n/a	n/a	11.3	14.1
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	n/a	n/a	10.3	11.9
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	n/a	n/a	13.2	13.0
Dieppe and Memramcook	n/a	n/a	7.7	7.6
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	n/a	n/a	10.6	12.9
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	n/a	n/a	10.6	8.1
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	n/a	n/a	15.0	14.3
Fredericton	n/a	n/a	10.7	10.4
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	n/a	n/a	14.8	12.9
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	n/a	n/a	14.6	15.6

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	n/a	n/a	13.3	5.3
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	n/a	n/a	12.8	14.9
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	n/a	n/a	16.1	13.3
Moncton	n/a	n/a	9.7	9.6
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	n/a	n/a	12.4	12.0
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	n/a	n/a	15.2	16.9
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	n/a	n/a	11.5	8.8
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	n/a	n/a	9.5	14.1
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	n/a	n/a	15.5	11.0
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	n/a	n/a	7.8	7.4
Riverview and Coverdale	n/a	n/a	11.9	9.9
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	n/a	n/a	10.5	12.6
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	n/a	n/a	13.7	13.8
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	n/a	n/a	15.3	14.6
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	n/a	n/a	12.7	11.1
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	n/a	n/a	15.9	13.0
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	n/a	n/a	14.6	12.8
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	n/a	n/a	11.3	10.3
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	n/a	n/a	15.6	13.5
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	n/a	n/a	12.6	12.8
<b>Age</b>				
18-64	8.2	8.1	8.5	7.9
65 and over	22.8	22.1	21.8	22.3

**Gender**

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Female	10.0	11.3	n/a	n/a
Male	14.7	12.5	n/a	n/a
<b>2SLGBTQIA+ status</b>				
2SLGBTQIA+	4.0	10.3	8.1	n/a
<b>Language</b>				
Most comfortable in English	12.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
Most comfortable in French	10.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Comfortable in both English and French	12.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Indigenous identity</b>				
Indigenous	18.9	18.2	14.9	17.4
<b>Immigrant</b>				
Immigrants	10.1	8.7	8.3	6.5
<b>Education level</b>				
High school or less	14.7	16.5	16.4	15.4
Postsecondary	11.2	10.0	9.5	8.8
<b>Disability or special need</b>				
Disability or special need	23.7	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Household income</b>				
Less than \$30,000	18.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$30,000 to less than \$60,000	13.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$60,000 or more	9.2	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Racialized groups</b>				
Racialized groups	11.6	n/a	n/a	n/a

## About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who reported that they have been diagnosed or treated for diabetes.

## Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

## Calculations

This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "yes" by the number of respondents who answered "yes or no" to the question: Has any health professional ever diagnosed you with or treated you for any of the following chronic health conditions: f) Diabetes

## Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

---

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Lower is better	PH_CHCDI_1

## Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size