

Citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good

Unit : %

	Year 2024	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020
Province				
New Brunswick	37.7	38.3	34.8	39.5
Health zones				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	40.7	40.1	37.1	40.3
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	37.6	36.0	38.9	40.9
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	36.0	38.0	32.1	42.7
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	35.3	40.3	31.7	32.7
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	32.6	36.1	27.3	36.3
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	37.4	40.3	32.0	36.6
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	35.8	33.4	30.1	34.9
Age				
18-64	39.6	42.2	37.3	44.6
65 and over	32.8	27.8	28.1	26.8
Gender				
Female	37.2	38.5	35.8	n/a
Male	38.7	38.3	33.8	n/a
2SLGBTQIA+ status				
2SLGBTQIA+	28.5	31.2	33.3	35.4
Language				
Most comfortable in English	35.2	35.4	n/a	n/a
Most comfortable in French	43.0	46.1	n/a	n/a
Comfortable in both English and French	43.0	41.6	n/a	n/a

	Year 2024	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020
Indigenous identity				
Indigenous	17.6	30.6	28.8	31.3
Immigrant				
Immigrants	38.2	42.3	31.2	45.1
Education level				
High school or less	26.4	27.1	23.5	29.5
Postsecondary	41.4	42.7	39.6	46.0
Disability or special need				
Disability or special need	13.6	14.1	n/a	n/a
Household income				
Less than \$30,000	19.9	23.8	n/a	n/a
\$30,000 to less than \$60,000	32.2	34.3	n/a	n/a
\$60,000 or more	44.7	46.5	n/a	n/a
Racialized groups				
Racialized groups	40.5	42.7	n/a	n/a

About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good.

Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

Calculations

This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "very good or excellent" by the number of respondents who answered "poor, fair, good, very good or excellent" to the question: In general, would you say your health is?

Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Higher is better	PH_HEP01_1

Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size