

## Citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good

Unit : %

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
<b>Province</b>				
New Brunswick	38.3	34.8	39.5	49.0
<b>Health zones</b>				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	40.1	37.1	40.3	51.2
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	36.0	38.9	40.9	51.0
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	38.0	32.1	42.7	50.7
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	40.3	31.7	32.7	43.8
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	36.1	27.3	36.3	41.2
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	40.3	32.0	36.6	43.0
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	33.4	30.1	34.9	45.5
<b>Communities</b>				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	n/a	n/a	37.2	46.1
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	n/a	n/a	34.5	40.8
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	n/a	n/a	39.0	39.9
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	n/a	n/a	37.6	46.3
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	n/a	n/a	33.6	42.3
Dieppe and Memramcook	n/a	n/a	48.3	59.5
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	n/a	n/a	42.3	48.2
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	n/a	n/a	32.4	45.0
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	n/a	n/a	36.8	45.1
Fredericton	n/a	n/a	49.3	57.1
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	n/a	n/a	33.1	40.9
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	n/a	n/a	40.0	44.2

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	n/a	n/a	33.2	45.4
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	n/a	n/a	28.7	38.9
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	n/a	n/a	33.3	47.3
Moncton	n/a	n/a	40.4	52.3
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	n/a	n/a	35.9	43.3
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	n/a	n/a	40.0	35.8
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	n/a	n/a	44.5	55.3
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	n/a	n/a	40.7	49.8
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	n/a	n/a	35.7	40.0
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	n/a	n/a	44.3	59.0
Riverview and Coverdale	n/a	n/a	38.5	51.8
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	n/a	n/a	38.4	49.8
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	n/a	n/a	38.6	47.8
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	n/a	n/a	35.9	48.9
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	n/a	n/a	38.8	48.6
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	n/a	n/a	39.1	35.6
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	n/a	n/a	39.1	47.0
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	n/a	n/a	40.2	46.5
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	n/a	n/a	39.0	49.1
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	n/a	n/a	32.7	40.0
<b>Age</b>				
18-64	42.2	37.3	44.6	53.4
65 and over	27.8	28.1	26.8	35.1

**Gender**

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Female	38.5	35.8	n/a	n/a
Male	38.3	33.8	n/a	n/a
<b>2SLGBTQIA+ status</b>				
2SLGBTQIA+	31.2	33.3	35.4	n/a
<b>Language</b>				
Most comfortable in English	35.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Most comfortable in French	46.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
Comfortable in both English and French	41.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Indigenous identity</b>				
Indigenous	30.6	28.8	31.3	35.7
<b>Immigrant</b>				
Immigrants	42.3	31.2	45.1	54.3
<b>Education level</b>				
High school or less	27.1	23.5	29.5	37.0
Postsecondary	42.7	39.6	46.0	57.0
<b>Disability or special need</b>				
Disability or special need	14.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Household income</b>				
Less than \$30,000	23.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$30,000 to less than \$60,000	34.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$60,000 or more	46.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Racialized groups</b>				
Racialized groups	42.7	n/a	n/a	n/a

## About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good.

## Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

## Calculations

This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "very good or excellent" by the number of respondents who answered "poor, fair, good, very good or excellent" to the question: In general, would you say your health is?

## Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

---

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Higher is better	PH_HEP01_1

## Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size