

Citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good

Unit : %

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
Province				
New Brunswick	39.5	49.0	50.5	53.3
Health zones				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	40.3	51.2	52.2	55.3
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	40.9	51.0	52.7	54.8
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	42.7	50.7	53.3	55.7
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	32.7	43.8	45.4	47.3
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	36.3	41.2	40.8	48.2
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	36.6	43.0	44.1	47.8
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	34.9	45.5	46.7	50.9
Communities				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	37.2	46.1	47.3	50.8
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	34.5	40.8	47.7	43.7
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	39.0	39.9	46.9	50.9
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	37.6	46.3	49.4	49.8
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	33.6	42.3	35.2	45.8
Dieppe and Memramcook	48.3	59.5	59.2	62.7
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	42.3	48.2	50.6	51.2
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	32.4	45.0	49.4	45.4
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	36.8	45.1	50.3	57.3
Fredericton	49.3	57.1	60.2	58.3
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	41.6	58.3	52.7	57.3

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	33.1	40.9	40.8	48.5
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	40.0	44.2	45.6	40.1
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	33.2	45.4	38.3	53.2
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	28.7	38.9	37.9	45.4
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	33.3	47.3	46.6	52.5
Moncton	40.4	52.3	53.7	59.2
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	35.9	43.3	45.3	53.6
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenooopetitj Area	40.0	35.8	47.1	44.4
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	44.5	55.3	56.9	59.5
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	40.7	49.8	53.8	55.1
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	35.7	40.0	39.5	45.4
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	44.3	59.0	65.3	60.9
Riverview and Coverdale	38.5	51.8	56.8	54.7
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	38.4	49.8	51.1	55.2
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	38.6	47.8	48.2	53.3
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	35.9	48.9	36.1	46.1
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	38.8	48.6	46.9	54.1
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	39.1	35.6	42.7	46.5
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	39.1	47.0	43.4	48.5
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	40.2	46.5	49.3	49.7
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	39.0	49.1	53.9	55.0
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	32.7	40.0	32.0	39.7
Age				
18-64	44.6	53.4	54.1	56.9

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
65 and over	26.8	35.1	36.3	37.9
Sex at birth				
Female	39.9	50.1	52.4	54.4
Male	40.4	47.8	48.4	52.2
Sexual orientation				
Sexual minority people	35.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Heterosexual people	40.3	n/a	n/a	n/a
Language				
Preferred language of service: English	40.5	49.5	50.8	52.6
Preferred language of service: French	39.1	47.9	49.6	51.3
Indigenous identity				
Indigenous	31.3	35.7	33.7	41.9
Non-Indigenous	39.8	49.7	51.0	53.6
Immigrant				
Immigrants	45.1	54.3	n/a	n/a
Non-immigrants	40.3	48.7	n/a	n/a
Education level				
High school or less	29.5	37.0	38.9	41.1
Postsecondary	46.0	57.0	57.8	62.7
Household income				
Less than \$25,000	24.6	32.7	31.8	35.8
\$25,000 to less than \$60,000	35.3	43.5	43.9	49.7
\$60,000 or more	50.4	63.0	64.1	67.2

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
Persons with a disability				
Without disability	48.6	58.9	60.5	n/a
With disability	12.8	15.4	15.7	n/a

About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good.

Source

New Brunswick Health Council, Primary Health Survey

Calculations

This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "very good or excellent" by the number of respondents who answered "poor, fair, good, very good or excellent" to the question: In general, would you say your health is...?

Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

Unit

%

Interpretation

Higher is better

NBHC code

PH_HEP01_1

Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data was suppressed due to low respondent counts