

Citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good

Unit : %

	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014
Province				
New Brunswick	34.8	39.5	49.0	50.5
Health zones				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	37.1	40.3	51.2	52.2
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	38.9	40.9	51.0	52.7
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	32.1	42.7	50.7	53.3
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	31.7	32.7	43.8	45.4
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	27.3	36.3	41.2	40.8
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	32.0	36.6	43.0	44.1
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	30.1	34.9	45.5	46.7
Communities				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	n/a	37.2	46.1	47.3
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	n/a	34.5	40.8	47.7
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	n/a	39.0	39.9	46.9
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	n/a	37.6	46.3	49.4
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	n/a	33.6	42.3	35.2
Dieppe and Memramcook	n/a	48.3	59.5	59.2
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	n/a	42.3	48.2	50.6
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	n/a	32.4	45.0	49.4
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	n/a	36.8	45.1	50.3
Fredericton	n/a	49.3	57.1	60.2
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	n/a	41.6	58.3	52.7
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	n/a	33.1	40.9	40.8

	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	n/a	40.0	44.2	45.6
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	n/a	33.2	45.4	38.3
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	n/a	28.7	38.9	37.9
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	n/a	33.3	47.3	46.6
Moncton	n/a	40.4	52.3	53.7
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	n/a	35.9	43.3	45.3
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenooetitj Area	n/a	40.0	35.8	47.1
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	n/a	44.5	55.3	56.9
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	n/a	40.7	49.8	53.8
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	n/a	35.7	40.0	39.5
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	n/a	44.3	59.0	65.3
Riverview and Coverdale	n/a	38.5	51.8	56.8
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	n/a	38.4	49.8	51.1
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	n/a	38.6	47.8	48.2
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	n/a	35.9	48.9	36.1
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	n/a	38.8	48.6	46.9
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	n/a	39.1	35.6	42.7
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	n/a	39.1	47.0	43.4
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	n/a	40.2	46.5	49.3
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	n/a	39.0	49.1	53.9
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	n/a	32.7	40.0	32.0
Age				
18-64	37.3	44.6	53.4	54.1
65 and over	28.1	26.8	35.1	36.3

	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014
Gender				
Female	35.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Male	33.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Sexual identity				
Minority sexual identity	33.3	35.4	n/a	n/a
Heterosexual	35.7	40.3	n/a	n/a
Language				
Preferred language of service: English	n/a	40.5	49.5	50.8
Preferred language of service: French	n/a	39.1	47.9	49.6
Indigenous identity				
Indigenous	28.8	31.3	35.7	33.7
Non-Indigenous	35.1	39.8	49.7	51.0
Immigrant				
Immigrants	31.2	45.1	54.3	n/a
Non-immigrants	35.1	40.3	48.7	n/a
Education level				
High school or less	23.5	29.5	37.0	38.9
Postsecondary	39.6	46.0	57.0	57.8
Household income				
Less than \$25,000	20.4	24.6	32.7	31.8
\$25,000 to less than \$60,000	27.4	35.3	43.5	43.9
\$60,000 or more	43.3	50.4	63.0	64.1
Persons with a disability				

	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014
Without disability	43.5	48.6	58.9	60.5
With disability	12.1	12.8	15.4	15.7

About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who rate their health as excellent or very good.

Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

Calculations

This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "very good or excellent" by the number of respondents who answered "poor, fair, good, very good or excellent" to the question: In general, would you say your health is?

Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Higher is better	PH_HEP01_1

Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size