

## Citizens who have a primary care provider (family doctor or nurse practitioner)

Unit : %

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
<b>Province</b>				
New Brunswick	79.3	85.4	89.9	92.8
<b>Health zones</b>				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	81.1	90.0	91.2	93.8
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	86.4	86.7	90.4	93.1
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	67.8	79.9	86.3	89.7
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	63.8	74.6	87.7	92.6
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	81.1	83.5	92.8	96.7
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	85.1	86.2	92.0	94.1
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	94.6	89.9	93.5	95.1
<b>Communities</b>				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	n/a	n/a	90.4	91.9
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	n/a	n/a	95.2	97.2
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	n/a	n/a	93.5	96.0
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	n/a	n/a	95.3	96.6
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	n/a	n/a	91.9	97.2
Dieppe and Memramcook	n/a	n/a	93.2	92.7
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	n/a	n/a	82.6	85.0
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	n/a	n/a	86.9	94.4
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	n/a	n/a	92.0	93.4
Fredericton	n/a	n/a	81.3	86.4
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	n/a	n/a	96.2	93.3

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	n/a	n/a	93.5	93.9
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	n/a	n/a	68.1	83.7
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	n/a	n/a	96.9	99.0
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	n/a	n/a	93.6	95.1
Moncton	n/a	n/a	86.7	91.5
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	n/a	n/a	89.8	93.7
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenooetitj Area	n/a	n/a	93.1	95.0
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	n/a	n/a	91.3	92.8
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	n/a	n/a	82.2	84.2
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	n/a	n/a	96.3	94.3
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	n/a	n/a	92.6	93.6
Riverview and Coverdale	n/a	n/a	96.7	96.1
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	n/a	n/a	92.8	95.3
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	n/a	n/a	87.5	92.2
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	n/a	n/a	97.7	95.5
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	n/a	n/a	92.5	96.1
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	n/a	n/a	91.5	92.4
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	n/a	n/a	89.5	91.9
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	n/a	n/a	90.3	93.1
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	n/a	n/a	92.4	94.3
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	n/a	n/a	94.8	97.0
<b>Age</b>				
18-64	75.9	83.5	88.0	91.5
65 and over	88.1	90.6	95.3	96.9

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
<b>Gender</b>				
Female	82.7	87.8	n/a	n/a
Male	75.9	82.9	n/a	n/a
<b>2SLGBTQIA+ status</b>				
2SLGBTQIA+	74.8	83.5	86.6	n/a
<b>Language</b>				
Most comfortable in English	79.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
Most comfortable in French	79.4	n/a	n/a	n/a
Comfortable in both English and French	80.6	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Indigenous identity</b>				
Indigenous	83.2	75.2	90.5	91.2
<b>Immigrant</b>				
Immigrants	47.0	72.1	72.0	79.6
<b>Education level</b>				
High school or less	81.1	86.0	90.6	92.7
Postsecondary	78.6	85.3	89.5	93.0
<b>Disability or special need</b>				
Disability or special need	84.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Household income</b>				
Less than \$30,000	75.0	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$30,000 to less than \$60,000	77.1	n/a	n/a	n/a
\$60,000 or more	82.9	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Racialized groups</b>				

---

	Year 2023	Year 2022	Year 2020	Year 2017
Racialized groups	<b>47.3</b>	n/a	n/a	n/a

---

## About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens who have a primary care provider (family doctor or nurse practitioner).

## Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

## Calculations

This indicator is based on respondents who said "yes" to one of the 2 following questions about attachment to a primary care provider: "Do you have a personal family doctor" or "Do you have a personal nurse practitioner ?"

## Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

---

<b>Unit</b>	<b>Interpretation</b>	<b>NBHC code</b>
%	Higher is better	PH_PCP01_1

## Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size