

## [DISCONTINUED] Citizens with a nurse practitioner who saw their nurse practitioner and give a favourable rating of 8, 9 or 10 for services received from their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months [scale 0-10]

Unit : %

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
<b>Province</b>				
New Brunswick	82.0	88.2	91.3	90.6
<b>Health zones</b>				
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	83.1	87.6	83.6	86.9
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	79.0	89.1	96.7	90.2
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	80.1	84.9	96.4	92.2
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	77.8	86.6	79.4	S
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	78.4	87.8	S	S
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	93.5	94.6	93.7	96.1
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	85.2	94.8	S	88.4
<b>Communities</b>				
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	96.3	92.1	S	S
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	88.6	88.1	S	S
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	S	S	S	S
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	S	S	S	S
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	74.8	85.2	S	S
Dieppe and Memramcook	85.3	S	S	S
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	79.8	93.6	S	S
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	81.7	90.6	80.6	S
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	S	S	S	S
Fredericton	85.8	80.6	S	S

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	S	S	S	S
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	S	S	S	S
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	S	S	S	S
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	S	S	S	S
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	S	S	S	S
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	<b>85.3</b>	<b>94.0</b>	S	S
Moncton	<b>77.6</b>	<b>74.3</b>	<b>74.2</b>	<b>78.0</b>
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	<b>73.6</b>	S	S	S
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	S	S	n/a	S
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	<b>82.9</b>	<b>83.6</b>	S	S
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	<b>72.2</b>	<b>81.9</b>	S	S
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	S	<b>83.6</b>	S	S
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	S	S	S	S
Riverview and Coverdale	<b>74.9</b>	<b>96.8</b>	S	S
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	<b>94.1</b>	<b>88.3</b>	S	S
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	<b>80.1</b>	<b>85.2</b>	<b>98.2</b>	<b>81.2</b>
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	S	S	S	S
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	<b>85.8</b>	<b>95.8</b>	<b>100.0</b>	S
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	<b>95.0</b>	<b>99.2</b>	S	S
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	<b>94.6</b>	<b>91.7</b>	<b>97.2</b>	<b>93.8</b>
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	<b>74.9</b>	S	S	S
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	S	S	S	S
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	S	S	S	S

## Age

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
18-64	80.7	87.9	91.2	89.5
65 and over	87.5	89.3	91.9	95.4
<b>Sex at birth</b>				
Female	84.3	89.1	89.8	92.8
Male	79.7	87.0	94.5	85.4
<b>Sexual identity</b>				
Minority sexual identity	79.8	n/a	n/a	n/a
Heterosexual	82.5	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Language</b>				
Preferred language of service: English	81.4	86.6	91.7	89.6
Preferred language of service: French	85.5	90.8	90.9	93.6
<b>Indigenous identity</b>				
Indigenous	69.5	85.5	S	S
Non-Indigenous	82.4	88.7	91.8	91.1
<b>Immigrant</b>				
Immigrants	76.6	89.4	n/a	n/a
Non-immigrants	83.4	88.5	n/a	n/a
<b>Education level</b>				
High school or less	76.9	87.2	90.1	86.7
Postsecondary	86.3	89.4	91.9	93.1
<b>Household income</b>				
Less than \$25,000	72.8	88.0	84.4	91.1
\$25,000 to less than \$60,000	84.1	87.5	93.6	90.0

	Year 2020	Year 2017	Year 2014	Year 2011
\$60,000 or more	<b>86.9</b>	<b>89.7</b>	<b>94.1</b>	<b>90.6</b>
<b>Persons with a disability</b>				
Without disability	<b>84.8</b>	<b>89.1</b>	<b>91.5</b>	n/a
With disability	<b>76.4</b>	<b>85.5</b>	<b>90.8</b>	n/a

## About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens [with a nurse practitioner who saw their nurse practitioner] and give a favourable rating of 8, 9 or 10 for services received from their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months [scale 0-10].

## Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

## Calculations

This indicator is based on respondents who have a nurse practitioner and who saw their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months . This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "8, 9 or 10" by the number of respondents who answered "0 to 10" to the question: Overall, using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best health care possible, what number would you use to rate the health care service you received in the past 12 months from: (nurse practitioner)

## Notes

Due to changes in content and methodology, this indicator has been discontinued. The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

### Unit

%

### NBHC code

PH\_SATNB\_1

### Caption

n/a = Not applicable / not available

S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size