Citizens [with a nurse practitioner as their primary care provider who saw their nurse practitioner] and give a favourable rating of 8, 9 or 10 for services received from their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months [scale 0-10]

Unit : %

	Year 2020
Province	
New Brunswick	**79.8**
Health zones	
Zone 1 - Moncton and South-East Area	**70.7**
Zone 2 - Fundy Shore and Saint John Area	**76.5**
Zone 3 - Fredericton and River Valley Area	**80.4**
Zone 4 - Madawaska and North-West Area	S
Zone 5 - Restigouche Area	**94.6**
Zone 6 - Bathurst and Acadian Peninsula Area	**89.1**
Zone 7 - Miramichi Area	**83.3**
Communities	
Bathurst, Beresford, Petit-Rocher Area	S
Bouctouche, Richibucto, Saint-Antoine Area	S
Campbellton, Atholville, Tide Head Area	S
Caraquet, Paquetville, Bertrand Area	S
Dalhousie, Balmoral, Belledune Area	**84.4**
Dieppe and Memramcook	S
Douglas, Saint Marys, Doaktown Area	S
Edmundston, Rivière-Verte, Lac Baker Area	S
Florenceville-Bristol, Woodstock, Wakefield Area	S
Fredericton	**81.4**

New Brunswick Health Council | Conseil de la santé du Nouveau-Brunswick

	Year 2020
Grand Bay-Westfield, Westfield, Greenwich Area	S
Grand Falls, Saint-Léonard, Drummond Area	S
Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert, Alma Area	S
Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin and Grimmer	S
Minto, Chipman, Cambridge-Narrows Area	S
Miramichi, Rogersville, Blackville Area	S
Moncton	S
Nackawic, McAdam, Canterbury Area	S
Neguac, Alnwick, Esgenoopetitj Area	S
New Maryland, Kingsclear, Lincoln Area	S
Oromocto, Gagetown, Fredericton Junction Area	S
Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Tobique Area	S
Quispamsis, Rothesay, Hampton Area	S
Riverview and Coverdale	S
Sackville, Dorchester, Port Elgin Area	S
Saint John, Simonds and Musquash	**78.0**
Salisbury and Petitcodiac	S
Shediac, Beaubassin East and Cap-Pelé	S
Shippagan, Lamèque, Inkerman Area	S
St. George, Grand Manan, Blacks Harbour Area	**94.8**
St. Stephen, Saint Andrews, Campobello Island Area	S
Sussex, Norton, Sussex Corner Area	S
Tracadie and Saint-Isidore	S

Age

Citizens [with a nurse practitioner as their primary care provider who...

New Brunswick Health Council | Conseil de la santé du Nouveau-Brunswick

	Year 2020
18-64	**72.0**
65 and over	**83.9**

Sex at birth

Female	**78.0**
Male	**70.6**

Sexual identity

Minority sexual identity	S
Heterosexual	**72.1**

Language

Preferred language of service: English	**72.8**
Preferred language of service: French	**81.5**

Indigenous identity

Indigenous	S
Non-Indigenous	**71.9**

Immigrant

Immigrants	**71.8**
Non-immigrants	**78.2**

Education level

High school or less	**72.9**
Postsecondary	**77.1**

Household income

Less than $25,000	**69.8**
$25,000 to less than $60,000	**75.7**

	Year 2020
$60,000 or more	**78.9**

Persons with a disability

Without disability	**81.4**
With disability	**72.1**

Citizens [with a nurse practitioner as their primary care provider who...

New Brunswick Health Council | Conseil de la santé du Nouveau-Brunswick

About

This indicator is the percentage of citizens [with a nurse practitioner as their primary care provider who saw their nurse practitioner] and give a favourable rating of 8, 9 or 10 for services received from their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months [scale 0-10].

Source

Primary Health Survey and Primary Care Survey

Calculations

This indicator is based on respondents who have a nurse practitioner as their primary care provider and who saw their nurse practitioner in the last 12 months . This indicator is calculated by dividing the number of respondents who answered "8, 9 or 10" by the number of respondents who answered "0 to 10" to the question: Overall, using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst health care possible and 10 is the best health care possible, what number would you use to rate the health care service you received in the past 12 months from: (nurse practitioner)

Notes

The 2020 edition of the Primary Health Survey (PHS) was conducted during the COVID-19 pandemic. While the pandemic did not influence the survey's response rate, NBHC had to assess the impact of surveying during the pandemic. Accordingly, advanced statistical analyses were performed to allow for comparison between the results obtained in 2020 and those obtained in previous PHS cycles. Despite these analyses, users are advised to use the PHS 2020 data with caution, especially when creating estimates for small sub-populations or when comparing it to other PHS editions.

Unit	Interpretation	NBHC code
%	Higher is better	PH_SATNB_2

Caption
n/a = Not applicable / not available
S = Data suppressed due to confidentiality requirements and/or small sample size