


Sussex Area

These survey results are based on citizens across New Brunswick who have recently received home care services (Extra-Mural Program or home support services or both). Services from the Extra-Mural Program are provided by health professionals such as a nurse, physiotherapist or social worker. Home support services are provided by home support workers to help with tasks such as housekeeping or meal preparation.

Under the Extra-Mural Program, home care services are funded by the Department of Health and delivered by New Brunswick's two regional health authorities, Horizon Health Network and Vitalité Health Network. This survey also covers home support services funded entirely or partially by the Department of Social Development under the Long Term Care Program and the Disability Support Program.

All survey results are weighted by age and gender to better represent the population receiving home care services.



	Sussex 2015 (%)	New Brunswick 2015 (%)
UNMET NEEDS		
	<i>n=247</i>	<i>n=6,641</i>
Is there anything else that could have been done to help you stay at home? (yes)	11.3	14.5
In this community, 11.3% of citizens receiving home care services (Extra-Mural Program or home support services or both) reported in 2015 that more could have been done to help them stay at home, compared to 10.3% in 2012. For this type of indicator, a "better" result is achieved when the indicator has a "lower" value.		
What else could have been done or provided to help you stay at home? (top 3)		
More home support services such as yard work or food delivery	43.3	16.3
More funding / too expensive	16.2	6.7
Staff (need more continuity with staff, more training)	13.8	8.4
FAMILY CAREGIVERS		
	<i>n=247</i>	<i>n=6,641</i>
Does a friend, family member or volunteer help you with your home care? (yes)	63.3	61.5
Who helps the most?		
Spouse or partner	40.8	41.3
Son or daughter	38.8	33.9
Other family member	7.8	9.3
Friend or volunteer	7.5	6.7
Mother or father	3.3	6.5
How often do they help?		
Every day	60.6	59.0
A few times a week	19.5	22.0
Once a week or less	19.9	17.9

Included in this community:

Anagance, Apohaqui, Bains Corner, Barnesville, Belleisle Creek, Berwick, Bloomfield (Kings County), Brunswick, Canaan Forks, Cardwell, Codys, Coles Island (Queens County), Cornhill, Hammond, Hatfield Point, Havelock, Head of Millstream, Johnston, Kiersteadville, Lower Millstream, Midland (Kings County), New Line, Norton, Passekeag, Penobsquis, Picadilly, Roachville, Salt Springs, Smiths Creek, Springfield (Kings County), St. Martins, Studholm, Sussex, Sussex Corner, Titusville, Upham, Upperton, Wards Creek

Sussex Area

	Sussex 2015 (%)	New Brunswick 2015 (%)
PROFILE OF CITIZENS RECEIVING HOME CARE SERVICES		
	<i>n=247</i>	<i>n=6,641</i>
Age group		
Under 18	2.6	5.2
18-64	19.2	29.1
65-74	18.6	20.0
75-84	28.7	24.7
85 and over	30.9	21.0
Gender		
Male	37.9	41.0
Female	62.1	59.0
Education level		
No high school diploma	51.7	53.2
High school or higher	48.3	46.8
Household income		
Less than \$25,000	66.7	63.2
\$25,000 or more	33.3	36.8
Language of choice for services		
English	98.3	77.7
French	1.7	21.4
Aboriginal		
Yes	1.7	2.2
Self-rated overall health		
Excellent/Very good	15.2	13.0
Good	26.7	28.4
Fair	38.1	40.1
Poor	19.9	18.5
Self-rated mental or emotional health		
Excellent/Very good	33.5	30.5
Good	37.6	37.2
Fair	23.6	25.7
Poor	5.2	6.5
Chronic health conditions		
Hypertension	51.4	54.0
Arthritis	45.8	45.8
Heart disease	39.4	31.4
Chronic pain	26.1	30.4
Gastric reflux (or GERD)	23.8	24.5
Diabetes	22.9	29.9
Cancer	22.7	24.1
Emphysema or COPD	22.1	18.7
Depression	20.1	22.3
Stroke	14.3	12.7
Asthma	9.4	15.4
Dementia	7.2	6.5
Alzheimer's	3.9	2.9
Mood disorder other than depression	3.8	4.2

Sussex Area

	Sussex 2015 (%)	New Brunswick 2015 (%)
USE OF SERVICES (Extra-Mural Program)		
	<i>n=166</i>	<i>n=4,757</i>
Service received in the last two months		
Nurse	68.4	73.3
Respiratory therapist	30.1	20.7
Dietitian	28.0	18.1
Occupational therapist	20.9	16.1
Social worker	18.9	15.8
Physiotherapist	18.5	17.7
Speech language pathologist	4.9	3.3
Rehabilitation assistant	2.2	2.1
How long have you been getting services?		
More than 1 year	51.6	49.2
How often do you receive services?		
Every day	5.4	4.6
A few times a week	18.3	19.9
Once a week or less	76.3	75.5

	Sussex 2015 (%)	New Brunswick 2015 (%)
USE OF SERVICES (Home Support Services)		
	<i>n=127</i>	<i>n=2,796</i>
Service received in the last two months		
Housekeeping (cleaning, laundry)	94.0	93.4
Meal preparation	88.1	75.7
Bathing	52.4	45.1
Grooming or dressing	47.0	44.6
Transferring (from place to place, inside the home)	24.8	22.4
Feeding or nutrition care	24.1	23.0
Respite/Relief to family, friends or volunteers	23.8	22.7
How long have you been getting services from this home support worker?		
More than 1 year	72.4	72.6
How often do you receive services?		
Every day	53.3	51.2
A few times a week	42.8	38.1
Once a week or less	3.8	10.7

	Sussex 2012 (%)	Sussex 2015 (%)	New Brunswick 2015 (%)
QUALITY OF SERVICES (Extra-Mural Program)			
	<i>n=115</i>	<i>n=166</i>	<i>n=4,757</i>
Accessibility			
Did home care services start as soon as you thought you needed it? (yes)	97.4	94.2	94.3
Always got help when contacting the office (yes)	97.9	96.1	97.3
How often service was received in language of choice (always)	English	English	English
	97.4	97.5	96.8
	French	French	French
	-	-	92.3

Symbols indicate a statistically significant difference calculated at a 95% level of confidence:

▲ ▼ = Favourable change from 2012 to 2015
 ▲ ▼ = Unfavourable change from 2012 to 2015

■ = Favourable result compared to NB
 □ = Unfavourable result compared to NB

Sussex Area

	Sussex 2012 (%) n=115	Sussex 2015 (%) n=166	New Brunswick 2015 (%) n=4,757
QUALITY OF SERVICES (Extra-Mural Program)			
Appropriateness			
How often providers seemed informed about all care/treatment received at home (always)	76.6	79.3	73.3
How often clients received conflicting information from different providers (never)	88.3	93.0	86.4
Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (strongly agree)	47.6	52.7	48.3
Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (strongly agree)	42.3	51.1 ■	42.3
Effectiveness			
Citizens with a chronic health condition ¹ who are confident in controlling and managing their health condition (very confident)	32.4	▽ 15.0 □	22.4
Safety			
Staff talked with clients about how to set up their home to move around safely (yes)	72.1	▲ 92.0 ■	80.4
Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (yes)	68.1	▲ 90.8 ■	83.4
Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (yes)	-	-	1.3
Efficiency			
Admitted to hospital or visited hospital emergency room while receiving services (yes)	34.5	△ 47.3	46.4
Continuity of care across hospital services, home care services and primary health ²	68.2	67.4	67.1
Communication			
How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (always)	91.4	89.6	86.0
How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (always)	98.2	94.7	93.6
Satisfaction			
Satisfaction with home care services received (8, 9 or 10 on a scale of zero to ten)	98.2	95.4	95.1
Would recommend Extra-Mural Program to family or friends (definitely yes)	94.6	92.3	88.5
Satisfaction with number of times care was received (very satisfied)	76.5	81.3	75.8
Reported difficulties in receiving care			
Limits or reductions in...			
Types of service/care available (yes)	-	-	8.2
Duration of service or hours available (yes)	-	-	6.6

Symbols indicate a statistically significant difference calculated at a 95% level of confidence:

▲ ▼ = Favourable change from 2012 to 2015

■ = Favourable result compared to NB

△ ▽ = Unfavourable change from 2012 to 2015

□ = Unfavourable result compared to NB

¹ Self-reported by respondent: Alzheimer's disease, arthritis, asthma, cancer, chronic pain, dementia, depression, diabetes, emphysema or COPD, gastric reflux (GERD), heart disease, hypertension, stroke, mood disorder other than depression

² Staff at the hospital or rehabilitation centre explained what type of home care services would be received, AND home care providers seemed informed about all services received at home, AND primary health provider seemed informed about home care services

Sussex Area

	Sussex 2012 (%) <i>n</i> =72	Sussex 2015 (%) <i>n</i> =127	New Brunswick 2015 (%) <i>n</i> =2,796
QUALITY OF SERVICES (Home Support Services)			
Accessibility			
Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (<i>very easy</i>)	27.1	31.6	29.4
Did home care services start as soon as you thought you needed it? (<i>yes</i>)	81.9	85.1	79.4
Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (<i>very easy</i>)	86.7	77.7 ■	63.7
How often service was received in language of choice (<i>always</i>)	English	English	English
	97.0	98.3	96.1
	French	French	French
	-	-	91.6
Appropriateness			
How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home (<i>always</i>)	70.2	57.3	55.9
How often clients received conflicting information from different providers (<i>never</i>)	91.0	81.7	74.2
Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (<i>strongly agree</i>)	25.5	35.8	28.7
Safety			
Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (<i>yes</i>)	-	-	2.5
Communication			
How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (<i>always</i>)	87.5	82.4	77.8
How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (<i>always</i>)	93.2	90.2	86.4
Satisfaction			
Satisfaction with home care services received (8, 9 or 10 on a scale of zero to ten)	88.8	93.2	88.7
Would recommend agency or home support worker to family or friends (<i>definitely yes</i>)	84.1	77.4	73.6
Satisfaction with number of times care was received (<i>very satisfied</i>)	78.8	83.3 ■	65.8
Reported difficulties in receiving care			
Have you ever found the cost for home care services too high? (<i>yes</i>)	21.1	19.2	21.7
Limits or reductions in...			
Types of service/care available (<i>yes</i>)	12.0	12.6 ■	23.0
Duration of service or hours available (<i>yes</i>)	23.5	19.8 ■	30.4

Symbols indicate a statistically significant difference calculated at a 95% level of confidence:

▲ ▼ = Favourable change from 2012 to 2015
 ▲ ▽ = Unfavourable change from 2012 to 2015

■ = Favourable result compared to NB
 □ = Unfavourable result compared to NB