New Brunswickers' Experiences With Home Care

2012 Survey Results



Engage. Evaluate. Inform. Recommend. Engager. Évaluer. Informer. Recommander.

About us:

Who we are:

New Brunswickers have a right to be aware of the decisions being made, to be part of the decision-making process, and to be aware of the outcomes delivered by the health system and its cost. The New Brunswick Health Council will foster this transparency, engagement, and accountability by engaging citizens in a meaningful dialogue, measuring, monitoring, and evaluating population health and health service quality, informing citizens on health system performance and recommending improvements to health system partners.

For more information:

New Brunswick Health Council Pavillon J.-Raymond-Frenette 100 des Aboiteaux Street, suite 2200 Moncton, NB E1A 7R1 Phone: 1.877.225.2521 1.506.869.6870 Fax: 1.506.869.6282

www.nbhc.ca

How to cite this document:

New Brunswickers' Experiences with Home Care, 2012 Survey Results (NBHC 2012)

Cette publication est disponible en français sous le titre:

Expérience des Néo-Brunswickois et Néo-Brunswickoises à l'égard des soins à domicile, Résultats du sondage 2012 (CSNB 2012)

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	Э
Introduction	11
Managing Chronic Health Conditions	14
Caregiver Profile	15
Unmet Needs	
Navigation Between Home Care Services in New Brunswick	
Quality of Service	
Extra-Mural Program	
Home Support Services	19
Home Care Communities	20
Glossary	21
Survey Methodology	23
Survey Methodology Target Population	23 24
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design	23 24 25
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design Sampling	23 24 25 25
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design Sampling Communication Plan	23 24 25 25 26
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design Sampling Communication Plan Data Collection	23 24 25 25 26 26
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design Sampling Communication Plan Data Collection Confidentiality and Privacy	23 24 25 25 26 26 27
Survey Methodology Target Population Questionnaire Design Sampling Communication Plan Data Collection	23 24 25 25 26 26 27 27

Survey Results	29
Provincial Results	31
Extra-Mural Program: Comparing health zones to NB	34
Extra-Mural Program: By Respondent Demographics	
Home Support Services: Comparing health zones to NB	41
Home Support Services: By Respondent Demographics	42
Results by Health Zone	
Results by Community	
References	191
Appendix A: Caregiver Profile by Community	193
Appendix B: Definitions	197
Appendix C: Map of 7 Health Zones	201
Appendix D: Map of 28 Communities	205
Appendix E: Cities, Towns and Villages Included in Each Community	209
Appendix F: Survey Questionnaire	215

Executive Summary

Citizens should be given the opportunity to express their opinions about the home care services they receive in New Brunswick. When possible, many New Brunswickers want the ability to be cared for at home, rather than in a hospital, special care home or nursing home. Home care services can help people stay in their own home and function as independently as possible in the context of their daily lives.

The New Brunswick Health Council (NBHC) is releasing the results of its 2012 Home Care Survey. This telephone survey was conducted with citizens across all communities in New Brunswick who have recently received home care services, with the cost being entirely or partially covered by government. The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 4,246 completed surveys.

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey is a unique opportunity for citizens in all communities to share their views and help drive improvements in home care services. The results of this survey will provide baseline data that can help decision-makers with the planning, funding and establishing benchmarks/targets for the improvement of services and related outcomes over time.

From the citizen's point of view, home care navigation and coordination cannot focus exclusively on services received at home, but rather on the continuum of care between all health care sectors. Home care is most cost-effective when it is integrated with other parts of the health care system¹.

For the purposes of this survey, home care services have been divided into two separate categories. The first category of home care includes clinical/medical services provided by health professionals under New Brunswick's two regional health authorities and throughout this report will be referred to as the <u>Extra-Mural Program</u>.

The second category of home care services encompasses personal care services provided by home support workers. This second category will be referred to as <u>Home Support Services</u>. The Department of Social Development funds home support services, entirely or partially, based on eligibility.

¹ Seniors in Need, Caregivers in Distress: What are the home care priorities for seniors in Canada? (April 2012), Health Council of Canada

Survey results in this report are always presented in one of three categories: (1) citizens receiving services from the Extra-Mural Program (n=3,153), (2) citizens receiving home support services (n=1,905), and (3) all home care recipients combined (n=4,246).





Managing Chronic Health Conditions

When asked if a doctor or any other health professional has ever diagnosed them or treated them for a chronic condition, 93% of survey respondents reported they had at least one chronic condition (among arthritis, asthma, chronic pain, emphysema or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cancer, diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, dementia, depression or any other mood disorder, heart disease, stroke, high blood pressure or gastric reflux), and nearly one-third of respondents receiving home care have five or more chronic conditions.

Understanding the health profile of citizens receiving home care is extremely important in managing chronic health conditions and ultimately reducing the number of hospital admissions and visits to the hospital emergency room. **Figure 2.** Citizens Receiving Home Care Services in New Brunswick: Number of Self-reported Chronic Health Conditions



Caregiver Profile

Many citizens receiving home care rely on a caregiver such as family members, friends or others who provide unpaid care. In the New Brunswick Home Care Survey, 62% of respondents reported that a caregiver helps them with their home care, and of these, nearly 6 in 10 get help every day. Caregivers play an important role in ensuring a better quality of life for home care recipients and in helping them to continue living independently in their community.

Unmet Needs

Looking at unmet needs reported by survey respondents, 11% believe that more could have been done to help them stay at home. When asked what could have been done, the top three responses overall were:

- 1. Improve/Increase hours covered (for example nights and weekends)
- 2. Expand scope of home support services available (for example housekeeping, food delivery, or yard work)
- 3. Reduce the burden of cost (for example medications/prescriptions)

Collaboration and Navigation Across Government Departments

Home care services in New Brunswick, when covered entirely or partially by public funds, are administered under two different government departments. The Department of Health funds the Extra-Mural Program and the Department of Social Development funds home support services.

Based on the New Brunswick Home Care Survey, 26% of respondents who receive care from the Extra-Mural Program reported that they also receive home support services. Coordination of care and navigation become key elements of focus for citizens who need both types of home care services to stay in their own home. Therefore, collaboration between the Department of Health and the Department of Social Development is necessary in ensuring that citizens are at the heart of home care services in New Brunswick. More importantly, a well-built collaboration between the different home care providers, who are at the forefront of home care services, is essential in helping citizens navigate between the different types of home care services.

Quality of Service: Extra-Mural Program

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 3,153 completed surveys from citizens who receive services from the Extra-Mural Program.

• In terms of **overall rating of services** received, 97% of New Brunswickers gave the Extra-Mural Program a rating of 8, 9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being the lowest satisfaction rating and 10 the highest. In fact, 94% of survey respondents would recommend the Extra-Mural Program to their family or friends if they needed home care. Satisfaction with health care services is often reflected by the level of **communication** between providers and citizens, and a relationship that is focused on respecting the client's preferences and needs. This holds true for home care services, as citizens have reported a very strong appreciation for the communication/relationship they have experienced from the Extra-Mural Program. The percentage of survey respondents who reported that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" explained things in a way that was easy to understand was 90%, and 96% of respondents said that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" treated them with courtesy and respect.

- Overall, 62% of survey respondents reported that they have received care from more than one person in the last two months under the Extra-Mural Program. For these citizens, the **coordination of care** between different home care providers becomes an important area of focus. Of these survey respondents, 77% said that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" seemed informed and up-to-date about all the care and treatment received at home.
- In terms of **patient-centred care**, providing information to home care recipients and their family caregivers about setting goals/priorities, monitoring progress and managing health conditions is an important dimension of quality care. While only 49% of citizens receiving services from the Extra-Mural Program responded "strongly agree" to staff giving them the information needed to take care of themselves, not more than 43% "strongly agree" that family caregivers were given the information they wanted when they needed it.

Quality of Service: Home Support Services

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 1,905 completed surveys from citizens who receive home support services.

- In terms of overall rating of services received, 88% of New Brunswickers gave a rating of 8, 9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10 for services received from the home support agency or home support workers, with 0 being the lowest satisfaction rating and 10 the highest. However, only 74% of survey respondents would "definitely" recommend the agency or home support worker to their family or friends if they needed home care. Satisfaction with home care services can be reflected by the level of communication between home care workers and citizens, and a relationship that is focused on respecting the client's preferences and needs. The percentage of survey respondents who reported that home support workers "always" explained things in a way that was easy to understand was 84%, and 90% of respondents said that home support workers "always" treated them with courtesy and respect.
- Under accessibility, 80% of New Brunswickers reported that they received home support services as soon as they thought they needed it. While only 59% of survey respondents said it was "very easy" to contact the home care agency or home support worker to get help, merely one in five respondents reported that it was "very easy" to get information about home care in New Brunswick before they started receiving services.

- Family caregivers play an important role in ensuring a better quality of life for home care recipients and in helping them to continue living independently in their community. In terms of **patient-centred care**, only 27% of survey respondents receiving home support services "strongly agree" that family caregivers were given the information they wanted when they needed it.
- When asked about **barriers** in receiving home support services, 26% of survey respondents reported that they experienced difficulties with respect to the duration of services or the number of hours available.

The information collected from this survey will allow communities to compare their home care experiences with overall New Brunswick results. Based on a provincial standardized approach, New Brunswick's first home care survey has revealed variations across communities with respect to the quality of service.

Understandably, New Brunswickers want to know how home care services in their community compare to the rest of the province. The NBHC encourages New Brunswickers to visit the NBHC web site, where an interactive map will help citizens locate the results at the provincial level, by health zone, and by community. The map can be located at <u>www.nbhc.ca</u>.

Introduction

Citizens should be given the opportunity to express their opinions about the home care services they receive in New Brunswick. When possible, many New Brunswickers want the ability to be cared for at home, rather than in a hospital, special care home or nursing home. Home care services can help people stay in their own home and function as independently as possible in the context of their daily lives.

The New Brunswick Health Council (NBHC) is releasing the results of its 2012 Home Care Survey. This telephone survey was conducted with citizens across all communities in New Brunswick who have recently received home care services, with the cost being entirely or partially covered by government. The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 4,246 completed surveys.

Survey results in this report are given for twenty-eight (28) New Brunswick communities to provide information for decision-makers to respond to the needs of smaller communities.

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey is a unique opportunity for citizens in all communities to share their views and help drive improvements in home care services. The results of this survey will provide baseline data that can help decision-makers with the planning, funding and establishing benchmarks/targets for the improvement of services and related outcomes over time.

Home care is a range of health and support services received at home that help citizens achieve and maintain optimal health, wellbeing and functional ability through a process of assessment, case coordination, and/or the provision of services. Within the scope of this survey, home care includes clinical/medical services provided by the Extra-Mural Program. These services can be provided by a nurse, social worker, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, speech language pathologist, respiratory therapist, registered dietitian, rehabilitation support personnel, pharmacist, or physician. This home care survey also includes personal care services provided by a home support worker to help with bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, or respite/relief care; they are most often provided by home support agencies.

In New Brunswick, the Department of Health funds the Extra-Mural Program and the Department of Social Development funds home support services, entirely or partially, based on eligibility. Home care services funded by the Department of Health are delivered by New Brunswick's two regional health authorities, Horizon Health Network and Vitalité Health Network, under the Extra-

Mural program. Home care services funded by the Department of Social Development are provided under the Long Term Care Program and the Disability Support Program. The Department of Social Development either retains the services of a home support agency on behalf of its clients, or clients may choose to receive services from private individuals. Agencies are approved by the Department of Social Development through a tendering process and must comply with certain standards.

In this telephone survey, New Brunswickers were asked about their experiences with several key dimensions of quality home care such as accessing and navigating services, meeting the needs of clients and their families, provider/client communication, safety, equity based on preferred language of service, and overall satisfaction with services.

From the citizen's point of view, home care navigation and coordination cannot focus exclusively on services received at home, but rather on the continuum of care between all health care sectors. Home care is most cost-effective when it is integrated with other parts of the health care system¹.

For the purposes of this survey, home care services have been divided into two separate categories. The first category of home care includes clinical/medical services provided by health professionals under New Brunswick's two regional health authorities and throughout this report will be referred to as the <u>Extra-Mural Program</u>.

The second category of home care services encompasses personal care services provided by home support workers. This second category will be referred to as <u>Home Support Services</u>.

Survey results in this report are always presented in one of three categories: (1) citizens receiving services from the Extra-Mural Program (n=3,153), (2) citizens receiving home support services (n=1,905), and (3) all home care recipients combined (n=4,246).





Managing Chronic Health Conditions

When asked if a doctor or any other health professional has ever diagnosed them or treated them for a chronic condition, 93% of survey respondents reported they had at least one chronic condition (among arthritis, asthma, chronic pain, emphysema or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), cancer, diabetes, Alzheimer's disease, dementia, depression or any other mood disorder, heart disease, stroke, high blood pressure or gastric reflux). While 66% have 3 or more chronic conditions, nearly one-third of survey respondents receiving home care have five or more chronic conditions.



Understanding the health profile of citizens receiving home care is extremely important in managing chronic health conditions and ultimately reducing the number of hospital admissions and visits to the hospital emergency room. As seen in Figure 3, survey respondents with a higher number of chronic conditions have made more hospital visits while receiving home care services from the Extra-Mural Program.

Survey respondents with dementia, heart disease, stroke, COPD or asthma reported higher rates of being admitted to the hospital or visiting the hospital emergency room during the time they were getting care from the Extra-Mural Program.

Caregiver Profile

Many citizens receiving home care rely on a caregiver such as family members, friends or others who provide unpaid care. In the New Brunswick Home Care Survey, 62% of respondents reported that a caregiver helps them with their home care, and of these, nearly 6 in 10 get help every day. Caregivers play an important role in ensuring a better quality of life for home care recipients and in helping them to continue living independently in their community. A caregiver profile is provided for each of the 28 communities highlighted in this report. With respect to who helps home care recipients the most, variations across communities are illustrated in Appendix A.

Unmet Needs

Looking at unmet needs reported by survey respondents, 11% believe that more could have been done to help them stay at home. When asked what could have been done, the top three responses overall were:

- 1. Improve/Increase hours covered (for example nights and weekends)
- 2. Expand scope of home support services available (for example housekeeping, food delivery, or yard work)
- 3. Reduce the burden of cost (for example medications/prescriptions)

Survey results have shown that among all chronic conditions reported, home care recipients with Alzheimer's disease or dementia had the highest percent who receive home support services every day (49% and 47% respectively compared to 34% for respondents overall) and the highest percent who receive help every day from a family caregiver (73% and 75% respectively compared to 59% for respondents overall).

Although home care recipients with Alzheimer's disease or dementia had the highest percent who receive services/help every day, they also had a significantly higher percent who reported that something else could have been done to help them stay at home (18% and 22% respectively compared to 11% for respondents overall). In comparison to 11% of respondents overall, 17% of home care recipients with a mood disorder including depression reported that something else could have been done to help them stay at home.

Collaboration and Navigation Across Government Departments

Home care services in New Brunswick, when covered entirely or partially by public funds, are administered under two different government departments. The Department of Health funds the Extra-Mural Program and the Department of Social Development funds home support services.

Based on the New Brunswick Home Care Survey, 26% of respondents who receive care from the Extra-Mural Program reported that they also receive home support services. Coordination of care and navigation become key elements of focus for citizens who need both types of home care services to stay in their own home. Therefore, collaboration between the Department of Health and the Department of Social Development is necessary in ensuring that citizens are at the heart of home care services in New Brunswick. More importantly, a well-built collaboration between the different home care providers, who are at the forefront of home care services, is essential in helping citizens navigate between the different types of home care services.

Quality of Service

An overall rating of home care services, taken from the citizen's point of view, is a measure of satisfaction because it reflects all experiences of care provided. However, by examining specific areas of the citizen's experiences with home care such as accessibility, communication, coordination and safety, this can provide a better guide to where the service provider is performing well or poorly, and hence which areas of performance should be addressed.

These dimensions of care experience have been evaluated separately for the Extra-Mural Program and for home support services.

Extra-Mural Program

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 3,153 completed surveys from citizens who receive services from the Extra-Mural Program.

In terms of overall rating of services received, 97% of New Brunswickers gave the Extra-Mural Program a rating of 8, 9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10, with 0 being the lowest satisfaction rating and 10 the highest. In fact, 94% of survey respondents would recommend the Extra-Mural Program to their family or friends if they needed home care.

The survey highlighted a number of positive areas worth mentioning with respect to the quality of care from the Extra-Mural Program:

• Good **communication** between providers and citizens is an important dimension of quality care. Satisfaction with health care services is often reflected by the level of communication between providers and citizens, and a relationship that is focused on respecting the client's preferences and needs. This holds true for home care services, as citizens have reported a very strong appreciation for the communication/relationship they have experienced from the Extra-Mural Program.

The percentage of survey respondents who reported that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" explained things in a way that was easy to understand was 90%, and 96% of respondents said that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" treated them with courtesy and respect.

- Under **accessibility**, 93% of New Brunswickers reported that they received care from the Extra-Mural Program as soon as they thought they needed it, and 97% always got help when contacting the Extra-Mural office.
- In terms of equity based on preferred language of service, 96% of survey respondents receiving home care services from the Extra-Mural Program reported that they "always" received the service they needed in the language of their choice (English or French). While 98% of respondents who prefer English as their language of service "always" received their service in English, 93% of respondents who prefer French as their language of service "always" received their service in French.

The survey also highlighted some opportunities for improvement:

• Overall, 62% of survey respondents reported that they have received care from more than one person in the last two months under the Extra-Mural Program. For these citizens, the **coordination of care** between different home care providers becomes an important area of focus. Of these survey respondents, 77% said that providers from the Extra-Mural Program "always" seemed informed and up-to-date about all the care and treatment received at home, and 82% said they "never" received conflicting information from different providers.

From the citizen's point of view, home care navigation and coordination cannot focus exclusively on services received at home, but rather on the continuum of care between all health care sectors. With 77% of respondents receiving care from the Extra-Mural Program after a visit to a hospital or rehabilitation centre, the acute care sector plays an important role in

informing clients of the care they will receive at home, just as primary health care providers need to be informed and up-todate about all the care and treatment received at home.

Navigation and coordination between health care sectors is essential for citizens who (1) receive care from the Extra-Mural program after a visit to a hospital/rehabilitation centre and (2) who receive care from more than one Extra-Mural provider. Of these survey respondents, only 62% reported that:

- 1. Staff at the hospital or rehabilitation centre explained what services they would be getting from the Extra-Mural Program, and
- 2. Extra-Mural providers seem informed and up-to-date about all the care or treatment received at home, and
- 3. Their personal family doctor or nurse practitioner seems informed and up-to-date about their care from the Extra-Mural Program.

Integrating home care into the larger health care system can ensure that seamless transitions are created for patients, their families, and providers in a way that leads to higher quality care, greater efficiency, and sustainability. Integration is particularly important in home care to ensure that there are strong connections and good communication between home care, hospitals, and primary health care^{2,3}.

- In terms of **patient-centred care**, providing information to home care recipients and their family caregivers about setting goals/priorities, monitoring progress and managing health conditions is an important dimension of quality care. While only 49% of citizens receiving services from the Extra-Mural Program responded "strongly agree" to staff giving them the information needed to take care of themselves, not more than 43% "strongly agree" that family caregivers were given the information they wanted when they needed it.
- Under client **safety**, 72% of survey respondents reported that Extra-Mural staff talked with them about how to set up their home so they can move around safely, and 72% said that the staff talked with them about all the medication they were taking.

Home Support Services

The New Brunswick Home Care Survey has resulted in a sample of 1,905 completed surveys from citizens who receive home support services.

In terms of overall rating of services received, 88% of New Brunswickers gave a rating of 8, 9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10 for services received from the home support agency or home support workers, with 0 being the lowest satisfaction rating and 10 the highest. However, only 74% of survey respondents would "definitely" recommend the agency or home support worker to their family or friends if they needed home care.

The survey highlighted some positive areas worth mentioning with respect to the quality of care from home support workers:

• Good **communication** between providers and citizens is an important dimension of quality care. Satisfaction with health care services is often reflected by the level of communication between providers and citizens, and a relationship that is focused on respecting the client's preferences and needs.

The percentage of survey respondents who reported that home support workers "always" explained things in a way that was easy to understand was 84%, and 90% of respondents said that home support workers "always" treated them with courtesy and respect.

• In terms of **equity** based on preferred language of service, 95% of survey respondents receiving home support services reported that they "always" received the service they needed in the language of their choice (English or French). While 97% of respondents who prefer English as their language of service "always" received their service in English, 91% of respondents who prefer French as their language of service "always" received their service in French.

The survey also highlighted some opportunities for improvement:

• Under **accessibility**, 80% of New Brunswickers reported that they received home support services as soon as they thought they needed it. While only 59% of survey respondents said it was "very easy" to contact the home care agency or home support worker to get help, merely one in five respondents reported that it was "very easy" to get information about home care in New Brunswick before they started receiving services.

- Overall, 46% of survey respondents reported that they have received care from more than one home support worker in the
 last two months. For these citizens, the coordination of care becomes an important area of focus. Of these survey
 respondents, 68% said that home support workers "always" seemed informed and up-to-date about all the care received at
 home, and 75% said they "never" received conflicting information from different home support workers.
- Family caregivers play an important role in ensuring a better quality of life for home care recipients and in helping them to continue living independently in their community. In terms of **patient-centred care**, only 27% of survey respondents receiving home support services "strongly agree" that family caregivers were given the information they wanted when they needed it.
- As home support services (funded by the Department of Social Development) are not covered by Medicare, a contribution scale is used to determine the citizen's contribution towards the cost of services. Overall, 22% of survey respondents reported that the **cost** for home care services is too high.
- When asked about **barriers** in receiving home support services, 26% of survey respondents reported that they experienced difficulties with respect to the duration of services or the number of hours available.

Home Care Communities

Based on a provincial standardized approach, New Brunswick's first home care survey has revealed variations across communities with respect to the quality of service. This data will provide the NBHC, the regional health authorities, the Department of Health, and the Department of Social Development with baseline information that will be used to establish benchmarks and monitor improvements over time.

Understandably, New Brunswickers want to know how home care services in their community compare to the rest of the province. The NBHC encourages New Brunswickers to visit the NBHC web site, where an interactive map will help citizens locate the results at the provincial level, by health zone, and by community. The map can be located at <u>www.nbhc.ca</u>.

Glossary

Home Care - Home care is a range of health and support services received at home that help citizens achieve and maintain optimal health, well-being and functional ability through a process of assessment, case coordination, and/or the provision of services.

Home Care Survey - The New Brunswick Health Council Home Care Survey evaluates home care services provided to citizens whose costs are being entirely or partially covered by public funds. In New Brunswick, home care services are funded by the Department of Health and/or the Department of Social Development.

Extra-Mural Program - Home care services funded by the Department of Health are delivered by New Brunswick's two regional health authorities, Horizon Health Network and Vitalité Health Network, under the Extra-Mural program. Home care from the Extra-Mural Program includes clinical/medical services that can be provided by a nurse, social worker, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, speech language pathologist, respiratory therapist, registered dietitian, rehabilitation support personnel, pharmacist or physician.

Home Support Services - Home support services are provided by a home support worker to help with bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, respite/relief care or any other type of personal care. These home care services, funded by the Department of Social Development, are provided under the Long Term Care Program and the Disability Support Program. The Department of Social Development either retains the services of a home support agency on behalf of its clients, or clients may choose to receive services from private individuals. Agencies are approved by the Department of Social Development through a tendering process and must comply with certain standards.

Home Care Client/Recipient - The person who is receiving home care services.

Home Care Provider - Any paid professional or worker who provides home care services.

Home Support Worker - A paid worker who provides personal care such as bathing or home cleaning.

Caregiver - Family members, friends or others who provide unpaid care.

Chronic condition – A chronic condition is a prolonged health condition that generally cannot be prevented by vaccines or cured completely. A chronic condition typically lasts more than 12 months, may require continuous treatment, and is severe enough to create some limitations in usual activity.

Community – Results of the NBHC 2012 Home Care Survey are reported for 28 New Brunswick communities. These communities were first created by the NBHC to report results for the NBHC 2011 Primary Health Care Survey. By providing information at the community level for home care services in New Brunswick, decision-makers can respond to the needs of smaller communities.

Zone (Health Zone) – New Brunswick has seven zone boundaries (health regions) as defined by Statistics Canada and these zones are currently used for higher level statistical reporting for the population. Extra-Mural offices in zone 2 (Saint John), zone 3 (Fredericton and Upper River Valley) and zone 7 (Miramichi) are managed by Horizon Health Network. Extra-Mural offices in zone 4 (Northwest), zone 5 (Restigouche) and zone 6 (Acadie-Bathurst) are managed by Vitalité Health Network. Extra-Mural offices in zone 1 (Moncton/Beauséjour) are managed by either Horizon Health Network or Vitalité Health Network.

Survey Methodology

The New Brunswick Health Council (NBHC) has been established as an independent organization that measures, monitors and evaluates New Brunswick's health care system performance and population health, and engages citizens in the improvement of health service quality. The 2012 Home Care Survey was conducted by MQO Research, an independent research company, on behalf of the NBHC.

For the purposes of this survey, home care services have been divided into two separate categories. The first category of home care includes clinical/medical services provided by health professionals under New Brunswick's two regional health authorities and throughout this report will be referred to as the <u>Extra-Mural Program</u>.

Home care services funded by the Department of Health are delivered by New Brunswick's two regional health authorities, Horizon Health Network and Vitalité Health Network, under the Extra-Mural Program. Home care from the Extra-Mural Program includes clinical/medical services that can be provided by a nurse, social worker, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, speech language pathologist, respiratory therapist, registered dietitian, rehabilitation support personnel, pharmacist or physician.

The second category of home care services encompasses personal care services provided by home support workers. This second category will be referred to as <u>Home Support Services</u>.

Home support services are provided by a home support worker to help with bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, respite/relief care or any other type of personal care. These home care services, funded by the Department of Social Development, are provided under the Long Term Care Program or the Disability Support Program. The Department of Social Development either retains the services of a home support agency on behalf of its clients, or clients may choose to receive services from private individuals. Agencies are approved by the Department of Social Development through a tendering process and must comply with certain standards.

Definitions are given in Appendix B for health professionals under the Extra-Mural Program as well as the different types of home support services.

Target Population

This telephone survey was conducted with New Brunswickers who are living in private dwellings and who have recently received home care services, with the cost being entirely or partially covered by government. Participation in this survey was voluntary. Client data files were submitted to the NBHC and served as the base for the home care survey target population.

Citizens are excluded from the survey if they are living in a special care home or a nursing home. The home care recipient can give permission for a caregiver to complete the survey on their behalf. Caregivers include family members, friends, neighbors and volunteers who provide unpaid care, assistance or emotional support.

This survey excludes clients if their telephone number is not on file and/or their database record does not have a complete mailing address. The mailing address was required to send a notification letter prior to making the survey calls, informing clients of the upcoming survey and giving them the opportunity to remove their name from the survey list or contact the NBHC for any questions or concerns.

The target population for the Extra-Mural Program includes clients of <u>all ages</u> who have recently received services <u>at home</u>. Clients who have only received services at school are not eligible and are excluded from the survey. If a client is under the age of 16 and is eligible, a parent/guardian is asked to complete the survey on their behalf.

Within the scope of this survey, home care services under the Extra-Mural Program include rehabilitation, palliative care, long term care assessment, acute care, chronic/supportive care and the Home Oxygen Program. A definition for each of these services is provided in Appendix B.

The target population for home support services includes clients aged 19 years or older who have recently received home care services under the Long Term Care Program or the Disability Support Program.

Within the scope of this survey, home care services under these programs include bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, respite/relief care or any other type of personal care delivered in the client's home. A definition for these services is provided in Appendix B.

Questionnaire Design

The Home Care Survey questionnaire is an adaptation from the 2010 Home Health Care CAHPS[®] Survey⁴ implemented in the United States. Questions in the Home Health Care CAHPS Survey have been rigorously tested and mostly relate to accessibility, communication with providers, coordination of care, safety, patient-centred care and overall satisfaction. Every effort was made to keep the same wording of questions and response options throughout the design process for the New Brunswick Home Care Survey. However, some modifications were made in the questionnaire to better align with home care services received in New Brunswick.

In keeping with NBHC's mandate to measure, monitor and evaluate New Brunswick's health system performance under six quality dimensions (accessibility, appropriateness, effectiveness, efficiency, equity and safety), the Home Care Survey questionnaire includes questions under accessibility, safety and equity, using a similar approach to NBHC's 2010 Acute Care Survey⁵ and 2011 Primary Health Care Survey⁶.

The NBHC Home Care Survey evaluates experiences from the citizen's point of view. Since these experiences include several types of home care providers and a wide array of home care services across the province, feedback from a broad range of stakeholders was crucial in the development of the questionnaire. This resulted in improvements being made to the questionnaire design.

Several steps were taken to ensure that New Brunswickers could respond to the survey in either official language (English or French) of their choice. The French version of the NBHC questionnaire was based on the English version. It was translated into French by a professional translator. The translated questionnaire was then fully reviewed by the NBHC and MQO Research teams.

A pilot test was conducted to ensure that respondents would understand the survey questions. A representative sample of New Brunswickers was selected based on language, gender, age, literacy level, and geographical location. During this pilot test, citizens were asked to provide their feedback on the survey questionnaire, and further changes to the questionnaire were implemented based on the results of the testing process.

Sampling

A census approach was used for the Extra-Mural Program, by which all eligible clients who received clinical/medical home care services between February 26, 2012 and April 26, 2012 were asked to participate in the home care survey. Clients were deemed eligible if they were living in a private dwelling and services were delivered at home. The length of the survey averaged 24 minutes for clients who had received services from the Extra-Mural Program but had not received home support services.

The sampling methodology for home support services consisted of two separate phases. The first phase consisted of asking all clients who received Extra-Mural services between February 26, 2012 and April 26, 2012 if they also receive home support services. In the second phase, the Social Development (SD) home care client data file was cross-matched with the Extra-Mural client data file. All eligible clients in the SD database who were receiving home support services on May 25, 2012 but who do not receive services from the Extra-Mural Program were asked to participate in the home care survey.

The length of the survey averaged 23 minutes for clients who had received home support services but had not received clinical/medical services from the Extra-Mural Program. The average time to complete the survey was 36 minutes if the client had received both home support services and clinical/medical services from the Extra-Mural Program.

Communication Plan

A communication and awareness campaign was launched to promote participation in the home care survey by ensuring New Brunswickers understood that their participation would give them a unique opportunity to affect the future of home care in the province.

The communication plan consisted of announcing the survey through a media release, as well as strategically placed paper ads and radio ads across all regions of the province.

A number of contact measures were established so as to provide citizens and participants a variety of channels to obtain additional information or voice any concerns they may have about the survey. All clients eligible to participate in the survey were sent a notification letter prior to making the survey calls, informing them of the upcoming survey and giving them the opportunity to remove their name from the survey list or contact the NBHC for any questions or concerns.

A toll-free number to the NBHC was published on all letters and messages related to this survey. Question and answer documentation relating to the survey was also available on the NBHC web site.

Data collection

Once a final version of the questionnaire was created, specifications were drawn up and a CATI (Computerized-Assisted Telephone Interviewing) application was used to collect data directly from survey respondents through telephone interviews. The CATI method

is a telephone surveying technique in which the interviewer follows a script provided by a software application. The CATI application ensures that only valid question responses are entered and that all the correct flows are followed.

Interviewers were given information on the survey content through training sessions and mock interviews to become familiar with the survey, its concepts, definitions and the CATI application itself. The telephone interviews were conducted by MQO Research at offices in Richibucto, New Brunswick and Saint John's, Newfoundland.

All respondents were asked to identify their language of preference (English or French) prior to proceeding with the interview. In the rare occasion where an English speaking interviewer was asked to continue in French, the call was transferred to a bilingual interviewer for an immediate call-back.

Confidentiality and Privacy

In order to protect the confidentiality of the information being provided by the NBHC as well as that being provided by the citizens themselves at the time of contact, MQO Research and all parties involved in the conduct of this survey followed strict data security procedures. Information was transmitted only through a secure file transfer site and all parties followed strict data transfer and data security protocols in place to deal with sensitive information.

The privacy laws of New Brunswick and Canada were respected in the conduct of this home care survey. Various confidentiality rules were applied to all data released or published to prevent the publication or disclosure of any information deemed confidential. If necessary, data is suppressed to prevent direct or residual disclosure of identifiable data.

Data Limitations

All data are self-reported and are therefore subject to recall errors, and over or under-reporting. The timing of the submission of home support services client data resulted in collecting survey responses from citizens who do not receive their home support services through the Department of Social Development. Although this resulted in having respondents (approximately 15%) who received home support services not deemed as publically funded, the home support services are provided from the same pool of New Brunswick home support agencies.

Estimation

Survey data was weighted by age based on a complete database "snapshot" of all clients who had recently received home care services under the Extra-Mural Program or through the Department of Social Development. This estimation method is used so that survey results are representative of the actual population of citizens receiving home care services in New Brunswick. Confidence intervals were calculated at the 95% confidence level to help assess statistical significance.

The coefficient of variation is used to determine the quality level of the estimates. Survey results with a coefficient of variation in the range of 16.6% to 33.3% are considered marginal and are flagged with the letter E accompanied by a warning to caution subsequent users about the high level of variability associated with the estimate. Survey results with a coefficient of variation in excess of 33.3% are considered too unreliable to be published and have been suppressed from this report.

Survey Results

Survey results in this report are presented for overall New Brunswick, by health zone (7) and by community (28). Overall New Brunswick results are also provided by gender, age group, education level, language of service preference, household income, and Aboriginal identity.

New Brunswick has seven zone boundaries (health regions) as defined by Statistics Canada and these zones are currently used for higher level statistical reporting for the population (see Appendix C). The 28 New Brunswick communities were first created by the NBHC to report results for the NBHC 2011 Primary Health Care Survey⁶ (see Appendix D).

In using a standardized approach across the province for citizens to express their opinions about the home care services they receive, the information collected from this survey will allow communities to compare their home care experiences with overall New Brunswick results, and variations across communities can be highlighted.

Survey results in this report are always presented in one of three client categories: (1) Extra-Mural Program refers to clients who receive clinical/medical services, (2) Home Support Services refers to clients receiving personal care from a home support worker, and (3) all home care clients combined.

Demographic and health profiles at the provincial, zone and community levels are given for all citizens receiving home care services. Home care services "at a glance" are reported separately for clients under the Extra-Mural Program and clients receiving home support services. A caregiver profile is included for all clients receiving home care services, as well as a qualitative analysis on unmet needs. Finally, indicators for the quality of service are provided separately for clients under the Extra-Mural Program and clients receiving home support services.

Provincial Results

Overall New Brunswick By Age Group By Gender By Education Level By Household Income By Language of Service Preference By Aboriginal Identity



New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

New Brunswick

Survey Respondents (n=4,246)



Demo	graphic profile	Citizens Receiving Home Care (%)	NB General Population (%) ²			
	Under 18	3.0	23.4			
	18-64	30.8	61.1			
Age group	65-74	19.7	8.6			
	75-84	25.6	4.9			
	85+	20.9	2.1			
		Citizens Receiving Home Care (%)	NB General Population (%) ³			
Gender	Male	35.8	48.1			
Genuer	Female	64.2	51.9			
Education	No high school diploma	51.8	13.8			
Level	High school or higher	48.2	86.2			
Household	Less than \$25,000	62.1	21.7			
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	37.9	78.3			
Preferred	English	72.8	75.7			
language of service	French	27.2	24.3			
Aboriginal	% yes	3.0	2.7			

Chronic Health Conditions	Citizens Receiving Home Care (%)	NB General Popul. (%) ³
High blood pressure or hypertension	56.8	25.7
Arthritis	48.3	18.0
Chronic pain	40.7	15.0
Heart disease	35.3	8.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.5	16.1
Diabetes	30.4	9.2
Cancer	26.2	7.0
Depression	24.5	12.7
Asthma	20.3	10.3
Emphysema or COPD	18.3	2.7
Stroke	15.8	2.0
Dementia	6.8	-
Mood disorder other than depression ⁴	3.7	2.5
Alzheimer	3.5	-
'-' indicates the data is not available		

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition								
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Citizens Receiving Home Care (%)	NB General Popul. (%) ³						
Very confident	25.5	39.6						
Confident	55.9	53.5						
Not very confident	12.2	5.8						
Not at all confident	6.4	1.1						

All surveyed New Brunswick residents

Included in this profile:

who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

Citizens Receiving Home Care										
15.8	8 27.3	34.5	22.4							
NB General Population ³ (%)										
	53.3	30.9	11.9 3. 9							

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health									
	Citizens	Receiving Hor	ne Care						
	37.5	35.2	20.6	6.7					
	NB Ger	eral Populatio	on⁵ (%)						
	68.3	3	24.7	5.8					
_				1.1					
Ex	cellent/Ver	y good ■ Good	d 🛛 Fair	Poor					

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age ²Source: Statistics Canada 2011 Census ³ Source: New Brunswick Health Council, 2011 Primary Health Care Survey
 ⁴ Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

⁵ Source: Statistics Canada 2010 Canadian Community Health Survey, Public Use 32 | P a g e Microdata File (82M0013XCB)

New Brunswick Health Council	2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance					
Extra-Mural Program (n=3,15	3)	Home Support Services (n=1,90	5)	Caregiver Profile (n=4,246)		
Service Received (in past 2 months)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2 months)	(%)	All home care service recipient	ts	
Nurse Physiotherapist Respiratory therapist Dietitian	NB 82.0 25.5 20.1 19.9	Housekeeping Meal preparation Grooming or dressing Bathing	NB 92.9 72.2 49.6 48.1	% who live alone % with caregiver help Who helps the most? (%)	NB 33.1 61.6	
Social worker	19.9	Respite relief/care	40.1	(among those who receive help n=	2 511)	
Occupational therapist Speech language pathologist Rehabilitation support personnel Pharmacist Doctor/Physician	16.7 16.2 3.5 3.3 2.7 1.7	Transferring Feeding or nutrition care	34.8 30.7	NB Son or daughter 36.9 Spouse or partner 33.4 Other family member 12.1 Mother or father 8.6		
How long have you been getting services? Services for at least 1 year How often do you receive services?	(%) 48.4 (%)	How long have you been getting services? Services for at least 1 year How often do you receive services?	(%) 73.0 (%)	Friend or volunteer 6.9 How often do you get help? (%)	
Every day 5.9		Every day 34.3	. ,	(among those who receive help n=	-	
A few times a week22.6Once/week or less71.5		A few times a week52.0Once/week or less13.7		NB Every day 58.6 A few times a week 20.5	5	
Satisfaction with number of times ca	re was	Satisfaction with number of times care	e was	Once/week or less		
received (in past 2 months)		received (in past 2 months)		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
% Very Satisfied 76.1	80 100	% Very Satisfied 70.6	80 100	Unmet Needs (n=4,246 All home care service recipient % who said 'yes'		
Reported Difficulties in Receiving Car	e	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care	9	Could anything else have been	11 /	
% who reported: NE		% who reported: NB		done/provided to help you stay at home?	11.4	
Limits or reductions in type of service/care available 7.6		Limits or reductions in type of service/care available		Top 3 mentions: What could have been do		
Limits or reductions in duration of 7.6 service or hours available		Limits or reductions in duration 25.6 25.6		More hours / After hour access More home support services More funding needed / High cost	28.1 27.0 17.4	

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



2012 Home Care Survey Results

Overall New Brunswick

Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

								iviui ui ri	
		NB (%)	Zone 1 (%)	Zone 2 (%)	Zone 3 (%)	Zone 4 (%)	Zone 5 (%)	Zone 6 (%)	Zone 7 (%)
Sample Size		n=3,153	n=761	n=768	n=618	n=321	n=178	n=300	n=207
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93.0	92.6	93.7	92.6	92.1	94.4	94.3	91.5
lidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.4 - 93.7)	(91.2 - 93.9)	(92.5 - 95.0)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(90.0 - 94.3)	(92.0 - 96.8)	(92.5 - 96.2)	(88.8 - 94.3)
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96.8	96.1	96.6	97.7	97.3	95.8	96.0	99.0
Ace	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 - 97.5)	(94.5 - 97.6)	(95.2 - 98.0)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(95.4 - 99.2)	(92.4 - 99.3)	(93.7 - 98.2)	(97.6 - 100)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.4	91.4	90.3	92.6	86.2	89.1	87.8	91.3
Communication	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 - 91.1)	(90.0 - 92.8)	(88.8 - 91.8)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(83.5 - 89.0)	(85.8 - 92.4)	(85.2 - 90.5)	(88.5 - 94.1)
nu	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4	97.5	96.8	96.2	94.2	94.4	94.9	98.6
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.9 - 96.8)	(96.7 - 98.3)	(95.9 - 97.7)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(92.3 - 96.0)	(91.9 - 96.8)	(93.1 - 96.7)	(97.4 - 99.7)
E	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.1	78.3	76.7	71.9	82.0	77.2	82.7	74.8
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(75.8 - 78.5)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(73.9 - 79.4)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(77.9 - 86.1)	(71.4 - 82.9)	(78.7 - 86.6)	(69.1 - 80.5)
din	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information								
100	from different providers [Among clients with services	81.7	79.8	83.6	84.6	80.7	79.5	82.0	75.4
0	from more than one person]	(80.5 - 83.0)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(81.2 - 86.0)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(76.6 - 84.9)	(74.1 - 85.0)	(77.9 - 86.0)	(69.8 - 81.1)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3	50.6	52.0	52.2	43.3	45.9	40.7	51.3
ent [.] tred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 - 50.6)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(49.3 - 55.0)	(39.3 - 47.2)	(40.5 - 51.3)	(36.6 - 44.7)	(46.3 - 56.2)
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42.8	44.6	44.6	44.9	34.4	40.9	39.5	43.2
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 - 44.2)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.9 - 48.0)	(30.3 - 38.5)	(35.2 - 46.6)	(35.2 - 43.8)	(38.0 - 48.4)
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96.7	96.1	97.7	96.5	95.3	95.6	98.1	97.0
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 97.1)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.9 - 98.5)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(93.7 - 97.0)	(93.4 - 97.8)	(96.9 - 99.2)	(95.3 - 98.7)
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.2	95.2	94.8	93.8	93.1	94.6	93.4	91.5
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 - 94.8)	(94.1 - 96.3)	(93.6 - 95.9)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(91.1 - 95.1)	(92.2 - 97.0)	(91.4 - 95.4)	(88.8 - 94.2)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.2	69.8	70.4	74.2	75.5	64.5	79.9	72.3
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 - 73.5)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(67.9 - 72.9)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.9 - 79.1)	(59.0 - 70.0)	(76.4 - 83.5)	(67.4 - 77.2)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72.3	70.3	64.9	72.8	81.0	68.7	87.2	71.8
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 - 73.4)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(62.4 - 67.5)	(70.2 - 75.5)	(77.8 - 84.2)	(63.6 - 73.9)	(84.4 - 90.0)	(67.2 - 76.3)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.7 ^E	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 - 0.9)							
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5 92.7	97.3 95.9	98.4 ^F	97.7 84.3 ^E	87.2 89.2	95.7 95.6	96.7 94.4	96.8 91.2
	preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	'96.3 - 98.3) <i>'</i> 93.8 - 97.9)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(79.4 - 95.0) (86.6 - 919)	(92.9 - 98.5) (92.0 - 99.1)	(93.4 - 100) (92.3 - 96.5)	(94.9 - 98.7) (84.3 - 98.1)

^EUse with caution (coefficient of variation between 16.6% and 33.3%)

^FToo unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)



2012 Home Care Survey Results

By Age Group

Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		NB (%)	Under 18	18 - 64	65 - 74	75 or Older	
			(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
	Sample Size		n=124	n=967	n=803	n=1,259	
tγ	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93.0	84.3	91.5	94.2	94.1	
ilidi	it? (% yes)	(92.4 - 93.7)	(79.7 - 88.9)	(90.3 - 92.8)	(93.0 - 95.3)	(93.1 - 95.0)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96.8	94.5	95.4	97.1	98.1	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 - 97.5)	(90.3 - 98.8)	(94.1 - 96.7)	(95.8 - 98.4)	(97.2 - 99.0)	
uo	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.4	89.4	90.7	91.5	89.6	
Communication	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 - 91.1)	(85.5 - 93.3)	(89.4 - 92.0)	(90.1 - 92.9)	(88.4 - 90.9)	
unui	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4	98.4	95.6	97.1	96.3	
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.9 - 96.8)	(96.8 - 100)	(94.7 - 96.6)	(96.3 - 98.0)	(95.6 - 97.1)	
u	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.1	64.8	78.6	80.0	75.3	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(75.8 - 78.5)	(55.7 - 73.9)	(76.3 - 80.9)	(77.4 - 82.5)	(73.0 - 77.6)	
ord	Clients who never received conflicting information	81.7	74.5	78.3	82.0	84.3	
Co	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.5 - 83.0)	(66.3 - 82.8)	(75.9 - 80.6)	(79.5 - 84.4)	(82.4 - 86.2)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3	50.0	58.2	52.1	42.2	
ent- red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 - 50.6)	(43.5 - 56.5)	(55.9 - 60.4)	(49.6 - 54.6)	(40.2 - 44.2)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42.8	34.3	44.7	46.6	40.6	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 - 44.2)	(27.7 - 40.9)	(42.2 - 47.2)	(43.8 - 49.4)	(38.5 - 42.7)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96.7	87.1	95.1	97.2	98.1	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 97.1)	(82.9 - 91.3)	(94.1 - 96.1)	(96.4 - 98.1)	(97.6 - 98.7)	
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.2	83.9	94.6	95.4	94.1	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 - 94.8)	(79.2 - 88.5)	(93.6 - 95.6)	(94.3 - 96.4)	(93.1 - 95.0)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.2	73.5	70.8	72.1	73.0	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 - 73.5)	(66.7 - 80.3)	(68.4 - 73.1)	(69.6 - 74.6)	(71.2 - 74.9)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72.3	59.5	74.4	71.2	72.2	
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 - 73.4)	(52.0 - 67.0)	(72.3 - 76.5)	(68.9 - 73.5)	(70.4 - 74.1)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.7 ^E	F	1.4 ^E	F	F	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 - 0.9)		(0.8 - 1.9)			
t	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5 92.7	95.3 97.2	97.6 92.8	97.2 92.6	97.8 92.3	
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	(92.1-98.5) (93.4-100)	(96.8 - 98.4) (90.5 - 95.1)	(96.3 - 98.1) (89.8 - 95.3)	(97.1-98.5) (90.2 - 94.3)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results By Gender

Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		NB (%	%)	Male	e (%)	Fema	le (%)								
	Sample Size	n=3,15	53	n=1,	,277	n=1,	876								
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you	93.0)	93	.1	93	.0								
ibili	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.4 - 9.	3.7)	(92.1 -	94.1)	(92.2 -	93.8)								
ess	Always got help when contacting the office	96.8	3	97	'.1	96	.6								
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 - 9	7.5)	(96.2 -	98.1)	(95.7 -	97.5)								
on	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.4	1	89	.8	90	.7								
icati	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 - 9.	1.1)	(88.6 -	91.0)	(89.8 -	91.7)								
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4	1	97	'.O	96	.0								
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.9 - 9	6.8)	(96.3 -	97.6)	(95.4 -	96.6)								
	How often providers seemed informed about all	77.1	L	78	.0	76	.5								
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(75.0.7	0.51	(75.0	00.41										
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(75.8 - 7	8.5)	(75.9 -	80.1)	(74.7 -	/8.3)								
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information			80.5		82.5									
ŭ	· · ·		3.0)	(78.5 - 82.5)		(80.9 - 84.1)									
	from more than one person] Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3		49.4		49.2									
nt- ed	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 - 50.6)		(47.4 - 51.4)		(47.6 - 50.9)									
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42.8		44.6		41									
d u	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 - 4	4.2)	(42.4 -	46.7)	(39.8 - 43.3)									
c	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96.7		. 96		96.8									
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 9	7.1)	(95.8 -	97.2)	(96.2 -	97.4)								
isfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.2	2	94	.1	94	.2								
Sat	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 - 94	4.8)	(93.2 -	95.1)	(93.4 -	94.9)								
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.2		72.2		72.2		72.2		72.2		72	.0	72	.4
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 - 7.	3.5)	(70.1 -	74.0)	(70.8 - 74.0)									
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72.3	3	70	.9	73	.2								
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 - 73.4)		(69.0 -	72.8)	(71.7 - 74.7)									
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.7 ^E		7 ^E 0.8 ^E		0.7 E									
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 - 0.9)		(0.5 - 0.9)		(0.4 -	1.1)	(0.4 -	1.0)						
ť	How often service was received in language of	5	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.								
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		92.7	97.5	92.0	97.5	93.1								
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91	14 - 94.0)	(96.8 - 98.2)	(89.9 - 94.2)	(96.9 - 98.1)	(915 - 94.7)								


By Education Level

		ND	(0/)	8th Gr	ade or	Some	High	High S	School	Рс	st-
		NB	(%)	Less	; (%)	Schoo	ol (%)	or GE	D (%)	Sec	. (%)
	Sample Size	n=3,	153	n=	797	n=	597	n=	751	n=	800
ť	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93	.0	94	1.4	94	.0	93.8		91	L.6
ilidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.4 -	93.7)	(93.3	- 95.6)	(92.6 -	(92.6 - 95.3)		- 95.0)	(90.3	- 93.0)
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96	.8	99	9.0	97	.6	96	5.5	94	1.9
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 -	97.5)	(98.2	- 99.8)	(96.2 -	99.0)	(95.0	- 98.0)	(93.3	- 96.4)
uo	How often providers explained things in a way that	90	.4	88	3.4	90	.6	90).9	91	L.7
Communication	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 -	91.1)	(86.8	- 90.0)	(88.9 -	92.3)	(89.4	- 92.4)	(90.3	- 93.1)
unwi	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96	.4	96	5.5	95	.3	96	5.6	96	5.8
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.9 -	96.8)	(95.6	- 97.4)	(94.1 -	96.6)	(95.7	- 97.5)	(95.9	- 97.7)
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77	.1	79	9.2	79	.8	75	5.9	75	5.4
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(75.8 -	78.5)	(76.5	- 82.0)	(76.7 -	82.8)	(73.2	- 78.7)	(72.8	- 78.0)
ord	Clients who \underline{never} received conflicting information	81	81.7).2	79.7		80	80.9		5.2
Co	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.5 -	(80.5 - 83.0)		- 82.9)	(76.7 -	82.7)	(78.3 - 83.4)		(84.1	- 88.3)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49	.3	39	9.9	49	.6	53	8.5	56	5.4
ent- ired	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 -	50.6)	(37.4	- 42.4)	(46.7 -	52.5)	(50.9	- 56.1)	(53.9	- 58.9)
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42	.8	40).6	43	.0	45	5.8	43	3.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 -	44.2)	(38.0	- 43.2)	(39.9 -	46.2)	(42.9	- 48.6)	(41.0	- 46.7)
u	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96	.7	97	7.9	97	.8	97	7.4	95	5.4
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 -	97.1)	(97.2	- 98.6)	(96.9 -	98.6)	(96.6	- 98.2)	(94.3	- 96.4)
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94	.2	93	3.4	94	.8	95	5.3	95	5.0
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 -	94.8)	(92.2	- 94.7)	(93.5 -	96.1)	(94.2	- 96.4)	(93.9	- 96.1)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72	.2	73	8.9	71	.1	71	.8	71	L.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 -	73.5)	(71.5	- 76.2)	(68.3 -	73.9)	(69.3	- 74.3)	(68.6	- 73.7)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72	.3	75	5.8	72	.7	71	5	69	9.7
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 - 73.4)		(73.6	- 78.0)	(70.0 -	75.3)	(69.1	- 73.9)	(67.2	- 72.1)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.7 ^E			F	F	:		F		F
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 -	0.9)								
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5	92.7	96.4	91.7	98.0	89.0	98.0	97.3	98.0	93.9
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)	(95.2 - 97.7)	(89.5 - 93.9)	(97.1- 98.9)	(85.3 - 92.8)	(97.2 - 98.8)	(95.2 - 99.4)	(97.2 - 98.8)	(91.3 - 96.5)



By Household Income

		ND	(0/)	Less	than	\$25 <i>,</i>	000-	\$60,0	00 or
		NB	(%)	\$25,00	00 (%)	\$60,0	00 (%)	mor	e (%)
	Sample Size	n=3,	153	n=1,	374	n=	982	n=	261
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93	.0	93	.7	93	8.4	93.4	
ilidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.4 -	93.7)	(92.7 -	94.6)	(92.2	94.5)	(91.3 -	95.6)
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96	.8	96	.4	97	'.9	94	.8
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 -	97.5)	(95.3 -	97.5)	(97.0	98.9)	(92.0 -	97.6)
uo	How often providers explained things in a way that	90	.4	88	.8	91	4	92	.3
icati	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 -	91.1)	(87.6 -	90.0)	(90.1	92.7)	(90.0 -	94.7)
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96	.4	95	.6	97	'.5	97	'.4
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.9 -	96.8)	(94.8 -	96.4)	(96.8	- 98.2)	(96.0 -	98.8)
<u>د</u>	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77	.1	74	.3	80).5	78	3.4
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(75.8 -	78.5)	(72.1 -	76.4)	(78.3	- 82.7)	(74.2 -	82.7)
rdin	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	81	7		5	85	. 0	. 84	7
COO	from different providers [Among clients with services	_		77.5				_	
	from more than one person]	(80.5 -	83.0)	(75.4 -	79.6)	(83.0	- 87.0)	(81.1 -	88.4)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49	.3	45	.2	55	5.5	58	.9
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 -	50.6)	(43.3 -	47.1)	(53.3	57.8)	(54.6 -	63.2)
Pati cen [.]	Family caregivers were given information they	42	.8	43	.5	43	8.8	46	i.2
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 -	44.2)	(41.4 -	45.5)	(41.3	- 46.2)	(41.4 -	51.1)
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96	.7	96	.3	97	'.3	95	.9
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 -	97.1)	(95.6 -	97.1)	(96.6	- 98.1)	(94.1 -	97.6)
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94	.2	94	.1	95	5.0	95	.4
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 -	94.8)	(93.2 -	95.0)	(94.0	96.0)	(93.6 -	97.3)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72	.2	72	.0	74	.3	74	.3
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 -	73.5)	(70.2 -	73.8)	(72.2	- 76.5)	(69.7 -	78.8)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72	.3	74	.5	71	8	73	.5
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 -	73.4)	(72.8 -	76.2)	(69.6	- 73.9)	(69.4 -	77.7)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.	.7 ^E	1.	0 ^E		-	0	.0
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 -	0.9)	(0.7 -	1.4)			(0.0 -	0.0)
Ľ	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5	92.7	95.9	91.3	98.8	93.7	98.6	91.2
ш	preference] (% always)	′97.0 - 98.0) ₍	(914 - 94.0)	(95.0 - 96.8)	(89.3 - 93.2)	98.2 - 99.3)	(914 - 95.9)	(97.4 - 99.7)	(85.9 - 96.4)



		NB (%)	English (%)	French (%)
	Sample Size	n=3,153	n=2,270	n=795
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you	93.0	93.2	92.5
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(92.4 - 93.7)	(92.5 - 94.0)	(91.1 - 93.8)
ssa	Always got help when contacting the office	96.8	96.3	97.9
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 - 97.5)	(95.4 - 97.1)	(96.9 - 99.0)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.4	90.8	88.8
nicati	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 - 91.1)	(90.0 - 91.7)	(87.2 - 90.4)
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4	96.7	95.3
Con	and respect (% always)	(95.9 - 96.8)	(96.2 - 97.3)	(94.3 - 96.4)
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.1	75.9	80.8
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(75.8 - 78.5)	(74.3 - 77.5)	(78.2 - 83.5)
ordir	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	81.7	81.8	81.4
Co	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.5 - 83.0)	(80.3 - 83.2)	(78.8 - 84.0)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3	51.6	44.3
ent- :red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 - 50.6)	(50.1 - 53.1)	(41.8 - 46.8)
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42.8	45.1	37.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 - 44.2)	(43.5 - 46.8)	(35.1 - 40.4)
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96.7	96.5	97.6
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 97.1)	(95.9 - 97.0)	(96.8 - 98.4)
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.2	94.1	94.5
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 - 94.8)	(93.4 - 94.7)	(93.4 - 95.6)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.2	71.1	75.5
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 - 73.5)	(69.7 - 72.6)	(73.1 - 77.8)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72.3	68.5	82.8
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 - 73.4)	(67.0 - 69.9)	(80.9 - 84.8)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.7 ^E	0.7 ^E	F
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 - 0.9)	(0.5 - 1.0)	
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5 92.7	97.5 -	- 92.7
ш (preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0) (97.0 - 98.0)	(914 - 94.0)



By Aboriginal Identity

	Are you an Aboriginal person?	NB	(%)	Yes	(%)	No	(%)
	Sample Size	n=3,	153	n=	97	n=3	,021
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you	93	.0	92	2.6	93	.0
ibili	needed it? (% yes)	(92.4 -	93.7)	(88.8 - 96.4)		(92.3 -	93.7)
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96	.8	95	5.5	96	i.8
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.1 -	97.5)	(91.0 -	- 99.9)	(96.1 -	97.5)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90	.4	91	1.1	90).3
nicati	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.6 -	91.1)	(87.0 -	- 95.3)	(89.6 -	91.1)
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4		96	5.8	96	5.3
Cor	and respect (% always)	(95.9 -	96.8)	(94.3 -	- 99.3)	(95.8 -	96.8)
ſ	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77	.1	79).2	77	'.1
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(75.8 -	785)	(71.8)	- 86.6)	(75.7 -	78 5)
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		,				
oor	from different providers [Among clients with services	81	.7	67.5		82.4	
0	from more than one person]	(80.5 -	83.0)	(59.0 -	- 76.0)	(81.1 -	83.6)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3		44	1.9	49	.6
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 -	50.6)	(37.7 - 52.1)		(48.3 - 50.9	
Pati cent	Family caregivers were given information they	42	.8	48.9		42.6	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.4 -	44.2)	(41.4 -	- 56.4)	(41.2 -	44.0)
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96	.7	92	2.1	96	5.9
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 -	97.1)	(88.2 -	- 95.9)	(96.4 -	97.3)
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94	.2	91	1.1	94	.3
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 -	94.8)	(87.0 -	- 95.1)	(93.7 -	94.9)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72	.2	70).7	72	.4
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 -	73.5)	(64.0 -	- 77.4)	(71.2 -	73.7)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72	.3	73	8.8	72	1
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(71.1 -			- 80.3)	(70.9 -	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.	7 ^E		F	0	.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0.5 -	0.9)			(0.4 -	0.9)
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.5	92.7	94.5	95.2	97.6	92.8
ш	preference] (% always)	′97.0 - 98.0) ₍	(914 - 94.0)	(90.8 - 98.3)	(88.5 - 100)	(97.2 - 98.1)	(915 - 94.2)



Overall New Brunswick

Quality of Service Home Support Services

		NB (%)	Zone	1 (%)	Zone	2 (%)	Zone 3	8 (%)	Zone	4 (%)	Zone	5 (%)	Zone	6 (%)	Zone	7 (%)
	Sample Size	n=1,9	905	n=3	885	n=4	105	n=42	20	n=1		n=	121	n=2	224	n=1	.62
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.	4	17	.2	27	.5	23.	8	12.	7 ^E	14	1.2 ^E	14	.4	12	.9 ^E
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 - 2	20.8)	(14.2 -	20.2)	(24.1 -	30.8)	(20.7 - 2	26.9)	(9.2 - 1	6.3)	(9.5 -	18.9)	(11.1 -	17.8)	(8.9 - 1	16.8)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.	1	75	.1	85	.0	82.	2	81.	7	75	5.9	77	.0	80	.0
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(78.8 - 8	81.4)	(72.0 -	78.2)	(82.5 -	87.5)	(79.6 - 8	84.9)	(77.7 -	85.6)	(70.4	- 81.3)	(73.1 -	81.0)	(75.6 -	84.5)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58.	6	62	.1	71	.8	76.	2	21.	7	47	7.3	33	.1	58	.9
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 - 6	50.3)	(58.6 -	65.7)	(68.6 -	75.1)	(73.2 - 7	79.2)	(17.4 -	26.1)	(40.6	- 53.9)	(28.6 -	37.6)	(53.3 -	64.4)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	84.	5	89	.4	85	.0	86.	0	72.	4	85	5.4	82	.2	84	.4
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 8	85.7)	(87.1 -	91.7)	(82.4 -	87.5)	(83.5 - 8	88.4)	(67.6 -	77.1)	(80.8	- 90.0)	(78.6 -	85.9)	(80.3 -	88.5)
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	90.	3	90	.7	90	.1	91.	5	84.	2	89	9.6	92	.4	91	.7
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 - 9	91.2)	(88.6 -	92.7)	(88.0 -	92.2)	(89.5 - 9	93.4)	(80.4 -	87.9)	(85.7	- 93.5)	(89.9 -	94.9)	(88.6 -	94.7)
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.	8	68	.2	63	.8	67.	2	54.	2	71	1.1	78	.4	78	.9
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(65.5 - 7	70 1)	(62.8 -	72 51	(59.0 -	69 61	(62.9 - 7	71 6)	(44.9 -	62 1)	(62.2	- 80.0)	(72.0 -	0101	(71.3 -	96 61
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)						,		,	·	,				,		
pore	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75.	5	72	.1	78	.5	74.	2	60.	9	83	3.2	80	.7	81	.6
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.4 - 7	77.6)	(67.0 -	77.2)	(74.4 -	82.6)	(70.2 - 7	78.2)	(52.1 -	69.7)	(75.5	- 90.9)	(74.5 -	86.9)	(74.3 -	88.9)
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	27.	3	25	.8	32	.0	32.	8	16	6 ^E	22	2.2 ^E	23	.0	27	.4
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.8 - 2	28.9)	(22.3 -	29.3)	(28.4 -	35.6)	(29.3 - 3	36.2)	(12.4 -	20.9)	(16.5	- 28.0)	(18.8 -	27.2)	(22.1 -	32.7)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87.	9	86	.2	87	.1	89.	6	77.	5	89	9.6	93	.9	91	.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 - 8	88.9)	(83.7 -	88.7)	(84.8 -	89.5)	(87.5 - 9	91.7)	(73.2 -	81.8)	(85.7	- 93.6)	(91.6 -	96.1)	(88.9 -	94.9)
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	74.	3	69	.0	70	.9	78.	6	64.	7	76	5.8	79	.4	86	.4
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 - 7	75.7)	(65.6 -	72.3)	(67.7 -	74.1)	(75.8 - 8	81.4)	(59.7 -	69.6)	(71.3	- 82.3)	(75.6 -	83.2)	(82.6 -	90.2)
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.8	3 ^E	F		F		F		5.) ^E		F	F		F	
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)	(1.4 - 2	2.3)							(2.7 -	7.2)						
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	90.7	98.6	81.5	97.8	Т	97.6	т	78.3	89.9	92.8	87.5	94.7	96.4	99.2	92.7
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9) (8						(96.6 - 98.7)		(67.2 - 89.4) ((89.8 - 99.6)	(94.4 - 98.4)	(98.0 - 100)	(87.0 - 98.3)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.	1	26.0		23.4		23.4 18.2		28.1		28.1 22.2 ^E		16.8		21.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 - 2	23.5)	(22.7 -	29.3)	(20.4 -	26.5)	(15.5 - 2	20.9)	(23.3 -	32.9)	(16.7	- 27.7)	(13.2 -	20.3)	(16.4 -	25.7)

^{*^L}Use with caution (coefficient of variation between 16.6% and 33.3%)* ^{*^F}Too unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)*</sup></sup>



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		NB	(%)	Unde (%		65 - 7	4 (%)	75 - 8	34 (%)		Older %)
	Sample Size	n=1,	905	n=6	520	n=3	308	n=	519	n=	458
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19	.4	15	.8	20	.4	21	21.2		L.9
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 -	20.8)	(13.7 -	18.0)	(16.9 -	(16.9 - 23.8)		(18.5 - 23.9)		- 24.8)
sibil	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80	.1	74	.8	80	.5	84	1.2	83	3.1
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(78.8 -	81.4)	(72.3 -	77.2)	(77.3 -	83.6)	(82.0	- 86.5)	(80.6	- 85.6)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58	.6	53	.9	64	.3	58	3.5	61	L.8
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 -	60.3)	(51.1 -	56.8)	(60.4 -	68.2)	(55.3	- 61.6)	(58.5	- 65.0)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	84	.5	83	.7	83	.6	84	1.9	85	5.6
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 -	85.7)	(81.5 -	85.8)	(80.5 -	86.6)	(82.7	- 87.2)	(83.2	- 88.0)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	90	.3	87	.5	92	.2	90).9	92	2.3
Corr	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 -	91.2)	(85.6 -	89.3)	(90.0 -	94.3)	(89.2	- 92.7)	(90.6	- 94.1)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67	.8	61	.6	73	.7	68	3.4	71	L.1
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with	(65.5 - 70.1)		(57.2	66.01	(68.1 - 79.4)		(63.9 - 72.8)			
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(05.5 -	70.1)	(57.3 -	66.0)	(08.1 -	79.4)	(03.9	- 72.8)	(07.0	- / 5.2)
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75	.5	69	.1	81	.0	74.0		80).4
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.4 -	77.6)	(65.0 -	73.2)	(76.0 -	86.0)	(69.8	- 78.2)	(76.8	- 84.0)
it- ed		27	3	29	1	26	6	2:	3.8	28	3.7
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)										-
Ра се	wanted when they needed it (% strongly dgree)	(25.8 -	28.9)	(26.3	- 32)	(22.6 -	30.5)	(21.0	- 26.6)	(25.6	- 31.9)
Б	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87	.9	84	.8	90	.2	88	3.5	90	0.0
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 -	88.9)	(82.7 -	86.8)	(87.9 -	92.6)	(86.6	- 90.5)	(88.0	- 91.9)
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	74	.3	69	.6	75	.4	74	1.8	79	9.4
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 -		(67.0 -	72.2)	(71.9 -			- 77.5)		- 82.1)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.	.8 ^E	3.	1	F	:		F		F
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)	(1.4 -	2.3)	(2.1 -	4.1)						
۲y	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	90.7	96.0	92.0	95.0	89.2	98.1	91.0	99.1	88.9
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9	(88.8 - 92.5	(94.7 - 97.4)	(89.3 - 94.8)	(92.9 - 97.0)	(84.1- 94.2)	(97.1-99.1)	(87.5 - 94.5)(98.4 - 99.8)	(84.7 - 93.1)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22	.1	19	.0	24	.7	26	5.2	20).7
Barı	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 - 23.5)		(16.7 -	21.4)	(21.2 -	28.2)	(23.4	- 29.0)	(18.0	- 23.4)

^EUse with caution (coefficient of variation between 16.6% and 33.3%)

^{*F}</sup>Too unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)*</sup>



2012 Home Care Survey Results By Gender

		NB	(%)	Male	e (%)	Fema	le (%)
	Sample Size	n=1,	.905	n=	522	n=1,	,383
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19	.4	19	0.0	19	9.6
it	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 -	20.8)	(16.4 -	21.6)	(18 -	21.2)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80	.1	80).1	80).1
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(78.8 -	81.4)	(77.6 -	82.6)	(78.6 -	- 81.6)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58	.6	59).2	58	3.4
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 - 60.3)		(56.1 -	62.3)	(56.5 -	- 60.3)
io	How often home support workers explained things in	84.5		84	.7	84	.4
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 -	85.7)	(82.4 -	86.9)	(83.0 -	- 85.8)
mu	How often home support workers treated clients	90	.3	91	8	89	9.7
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 -	91.2)	(90.2 -	93.5)	(88.6 -	- 90.9)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67	.8	62	.1	70).1
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	h		-		57.6 - 66.6) (67.5 - 72	
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(65.5 -	70.1)	(57.6 - 66.6)		(67.5 -	- /2./)
pore	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75	.5	74.0		76	5.1
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.4 - 77.6)		(70.0 -	78.0)	(73.6 -	- 78.6)
d r		27	' 3	27.5		27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they		27.3		27.5		.5
Pa ce	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.8 -	28.9)	(24.6 - 30.5)		(25.4 - 29.1)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87	.9	89	.4	87	' .3
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 -	88.9)	(87.6 -	91.3)	(86.0 -	- 88.5)
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	74	.3	76.1		73	3.7
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 -		(73.4 -	- 78.7)	(72.0 -	- 75.3)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.	.8 ^E	2	.3 ^E	1	.7 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(1.4 - 2.3)		(1.4 -	3.2)	(1.2 -	- 2.2)
Ę	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	90.7	97.6	93.1	97.1	89.7
ш	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)	(96.5 - 98.7)	(90.1-96.1)	(96.4 - 97.9)	(87.5 - 92.0)
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.1		21	9	22.2	
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 -	23.5)	(19.2 -	24.5)	(20.6 -	- 23.8)



By Education Level

	NR	(%)	8th G	irade	Some	H.S.	H.S. o	r GED	Post	-Sec.
	IND	(/0)	or Les	ss (%)	(%	6)	(%	6)	(%	6)
Sample Size	n=1,	905	n=6	583	n=3	377	n=	394	n=	362
Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19	.4	18	.9	21	.4	19	9.8	19	0.0
care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 -	20.8)	(16.7 -	21.1)	(18.2 -	(18.2 - 24.6)		- 22.8)	(15.9 - 22.2)	
Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80	.1	79	.9	85.2		81	.3	75.0	
needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(78.8 -	81.4)	(77.7 -	82.0)	(82.6 -	87.7)	(78.5	- 84.1)	(71.8 -	- 78.3)
Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58	.6	54	.4	62	.9	60).2	62	7
support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 -	60.3)	(51.6 -	57.1)	(59.3 -	66.5)	(56.7	- 63.7)	(59.1 -	66.4)
How often home support workers explained things in	84	.5	84	.0	84	.3	83	8.8	86	5.6
a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 -	85.7)	(82.0 -	86.0)	(81.6 -	87.0)	(81.2	- 86.5)	(84.0 -	89.2)
How often home support workers treated clients	90	.3	93	.0	91	.0	87	' .6	88	3.2
with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 -	91.2)	(91.7 -	94.4)	(88.9 -	93.0)	(85.3	- 89.9)	(85.8 -	90.6)
How often home support workers seemed informed	67	.8	71	.4	73	.5	65	5.2	64	.3
about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	105.5	70 1)	1675	75 21	1000	70 51	(60.2 70.0)			
	(05.5 -	70.1)	(07.5 -	75.2)	(08.0 -	78.5)	(00.3	- 70.0)		
	75	.5	76	.5	80	.7	70).2	74	.6
	(73.4 - 77.6)		(72.9 -	80.1)	(76.3 -	85.1)	(65.6	- 74.8)	(69.8 -	- 79.4)
	27	.3	25	.4	28	.8	30).6	27	'.5
wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.9	28 01	(77.0	27.0)	1757	22 1)	(27.0	21 21	(72 0	21 21
		,				,		,		
-										-
		,	•	,	· ·	,				
	74	.3	76	.7	78	.4	70).2	71	1
worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)			(74.4 -	79.0)		-	(67.0	- 73.4)	(67.8 -	- 74.5)
Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.	8 ^E	1.	.4	F		2	.3	2	.7 [±]
or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(1.4 -	2.3)	(0.8 -	2.1)			(1.2	- 3.3)	(1.5 -	3.9)
How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	90.7	98.9	90.9	95.9	89.8	96.2	91.6	98.0	89.7
preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)	(98.2 - 99.6)	(88.4 - 93.4)	(94.2 - 97.6)	(85.4 - 94.3)	(94.7 - 97.7)	(86.9 - 96.2)	(96.8 - 99.1)	(84.3 - 95.2)
Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22	.1	20	.6	19	.9	22	2.3	28	3.3
too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 -	23.5)	(18.4 -	22.8)	(16.9 -	22.9)	(19.2	- 25.4)	(24.8 -	· 31.7)
	care services before starting (% very easy)Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)Satisfaction rating: home care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)	Sample Sizen=1,Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)19Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)58How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)84How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)90How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person]67Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]73Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)74Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)74How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)67.3Have you ever found the cost for home care services for home support as received in language of preference] (% always)67.3Have you ever found the cost for home care services for home service was received in language of preference] (% always)67.3Have you ever found the cost for home care services22	Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy) 19.4 $(18.1 - 20.8)$ Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes) 80.1 $(78.8 - 81.4)$ Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy) 80.1 $(78.8 - 81.4)$ How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always) 84.5 $(83.3 - 85.7)$ How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) 90.3 $(89.3 - 91.2)$ How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] 67.8 $(73.4 - 77.6)$ Family caregivers were given information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person] 75.5 $(73.4 - 77.6)$ Satisfaction rating: home care services received $(\% 8.9 or 10 \text{ on a scale of 0 to 10})$ 87.9 $(72.8 - 28.9)$ Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) 1.8 $Fr.97.3$ 90.7 $(86.7 - 97.3) (88.8 - 82.5)$ How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always) 87.9 $(72.9 - 75.7)$ How often service was received in language of preference] (% always) 87.9 $(72.9 - 75.7)$ How often service was received in language of preference] (% always) 87.9 $(72.9 - 75.7)$ How often service was received in language of preference] (% always) 87.9 $(86.7 - 97.3) (88.8 - 92.5)$ How often service was received in language of preference] (% al	NB (%)or Less or LessEase or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)19.418Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)(18.1 - 20.8)(16.7 -Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80.179Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)58.654How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)84.584How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)90.393How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person]67.871Clients who never from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person](73.4 - 77.6)(72.9 -Family caregivers were given information (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)(86.8 - 88.9)(88.5 -Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)(1.4 - 2.3)(0.8 -How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)74.376How often service was received in language of preference] (% always)67.8 -88.9Would recommend agency or home support74.376Would recommend agency or home support(1.4 - 2.3)(0.8 -How often service was received in language of preference [Mong those who specified a preferen	Sample Sizen=1,905n=683Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)(18.1 - 20.8)(16.7 - 21.1)Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80.179.9(78.8 - 81.4)(77.7 - 82.0)Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(51.6 - 57.1)How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)84.584.0(83.3 - 85.7)(82.0 - 86.0)How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)90.393.093.0How often home support workers seemed informed about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person]65.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]75.576.5Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)(72.9 - 75.7)(72.9 - 80.1)Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)(72.9 - 75.7)(74.4 - 72.0)Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)1.81.4EHow often service was received in language of preference] (% always)67.967.967.4How often service was received in language of preference] (% always)(72.9 - 75.7)(74.4 - 72.0)Do you believe you were	NB (%)or Less (%)(%)Sample Sizen=683n=683Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)19.418.9(16.7 - 21.1)(18.2 -Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80.1 79.9 85Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 -How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)84.584.084How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)(89.3 - 91.2)(91.7 - 94.4)(88.9 -How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person](73.4 - 77.6)(72.9 - 80.1)(76.3 -Family caregivers were given information (% 8.9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)(86.8 - 88.9)(88.5 - 91.7)(88.3 -Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)(72.9 - 87.7)(74.4 - 79.0)(75.4 -Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)(1.4 - 2.3)(0.8 - 2.1)(75.4 -How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)Fr.EngFr.EngFree or mistake? (% yes)(1.4 - 2.3)(0.8 - 2.1)(75.4 -76.7 -How often service was received in language of preference	NB (%) or Less (%)(%) r Less (%)(%) (%)Sample Sizen=1,005n=683n=377Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)(18.1 - 20.8)(16.7 - 21.1)(18.2 - 24.6)Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)80.1 79.9 85.2Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(52.6 - 87.7)Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home support worker for help (% very easy)(53.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 - 65.7)How often home support workers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)(83.3 - 85.7)(82.0 - 86.0)(81.6 - 87.0)How often home support workers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)(90.393.091.091.0How often home support workers seemed informed about all care received at home [Among clents with services from more than one person] (% always)(55.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)(68.6 - 78.5)Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clents with services form more than one person](27.325.428.8Family caregivers were given information they (% 8.9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)(68.8 - 88.9)(88.5 - 91.7)(88.3 - 92.5)Would recommend agency or home support (% 8.9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)(28.8 - 28.9)(22.9 - 27.9)(25.2 - 32.4)Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)(14 2.3) <td< th=""><th>NB (%)or Less (%)(%)(%)Sample Sizen=37n=37Ease or difficulty getting information about home19.418.921.4119.6Care services before starting (% very easy)(18.1 - 20.8)(16.7 - 21.1)(18.2 - 24.6)(18.2Did home care start as soon as you thought you80.179.985.288.1Did home care start as soon as you thought you(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 - 66.5)(56.7Lase or difficulty contacting agency office or home58.654.462.966.7Support worker for help (% very easy)(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 - 66.5)(65.7How often home support workers treated clients90.391.087.9Muth contresy and respect (% always)(65.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)(66.6 - 78.5)(66.7Colspan="4">Tot colspan="4">(66.6 - 78.5)(66.71.473.565.5Support workers treated clients90.7(65.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)(68</th><th>$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$</th><th>NB (%) or Less (%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)<!--</th--></th></td<>	NB (%)or Less (%)(%)(%)Sample Sizen=37n=37Ease or difficulty getting information about home19.418.921.4119.6Care services before starting (% very easy)(18.1 - 20.8)(16.7 - 21.1)(18.2 - 24.6)(18.2Did home care start as soon as you thought you80.179.985.288.1Did home care start as soon as you thought you(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 - 66.5)(56.7Lase or difficulty contacting agency office or home58.654.462.966.7Support worker for help (% very easy)(57.0 - 60.3)(51.6 - 57.1)(59.3 - 66.5)(65.7How often home support workers treated clients90.391.087.9Muth contresy and respect (% always)(65.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)(66.6 - 78.5)(66.7Colspan="4">Tot colspan="4">(66.6 - 78.5)(66.71.473.565.5Support workers treated clients90.7(65.5 - 70.1)(67.5 - 75.2)(68	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	NB (%) or Less (%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%)(%) </th



By Household Income

		NB (%)	\$25 > (9		\$2 \$60,00		\$60,0 (%			
	Sample Size	n=1,9	05	n=1,	·	<u>360,00</u> n=2		n=4			
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.4		18		22	.8	18	.4 ^E		
₹	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 - 2	20.8)	(16.7 - 19.8)		(19.1 -	26.5)	(10.2 -	26.7)		
ilidi	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.:	1	79	.7	78	.3	85	.0		
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(78.8 - 8	31.4)	(78.2 -	81.3)	(74.8 -	81.7)	(77.8 -	92.3)		
Acc	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58.0	6	55	.5	63	.5	73	.8		
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 - 6	50.3)	(53.5 -	57.4)	(59.5 -	67.6)	(64.7 -	82.9)		
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	84.	5	82	.4	89	.9	86	.7		
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 8	35.7)	(80.9 -	84.0)	(87.3 -	92.4)	(79.6 -	93.8)		
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	90.3	3	90	.1	91	.2	89	.1		
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 - 9	91.2)	(88.9 -	91.2)	(88.9 -	93.5)	(82.8 -	95.5)		
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.8	8	67	.1	64	.1	53	.7 ^E		
tion	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with					(50.5					
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(65.5 - 7	/0.1)	(64.3 -	69.9)	(58.5 -	69.6)	(38.5 -	68.9)		
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75.	5	73	.2	81	.8	65	.4		
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.4 - 7	77.6)	(70.5 -	75.9)	(77.3 -	86.3)	(50.5 -	80.3)		
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	27.3	3	26	.1	30	.4	35	.3 ^E		
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.8 - 2	28.9)	(24.2 -	(24.2 - 28.0)		34.4)	(25.1 - 45.5			
L L	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87.9	9	87	.8	87	.6	85	.3		
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 - 8	38.9)	(86.5 -	89.0)	(84.8 -	90.3)	(78.0 -	92.5)		
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	74.3	3	74	.6	73	.7	71	.5		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 - 7		(72.9 -	76.3)	(70.0 -	77.3)	(62.2 -	80.8)		
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.8	8 ^E	1.	9 ^E	F	:	0.	0		
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(1.4 - 2.3)		.4 - 2.3) (1.4 - 2.5)				(0 -	0)		
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	90.7	96.6	91.0	98.3	88.7	94.2	100.0		
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)		92.5)(95.8 - 97.5)(88.9 - 93.		2.5) (95.8 - 97.5) (88.9 - 93.0		(97.1- 99.6)	(83.2 - 94.3)		
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.:	1	21	.2	28	.3	21	.3 ^E		
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 - 2	23.5)	(19.5 -	22.8)	(24.5 -	32.1)	(12.9 -	29.7)		



By Language of Service Preference¹

	Preferred language of service	NB (%)	English (%)	French (%)
	Sample Size	n=1,905	n=1,339	n=511
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.4	22.3	13.1
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 - 20.8)	(20.5 - 24.0)	(10.9 - 15.2)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.1	81.2	78.2
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(78.8 - 81.4)	(79.7 - 82.7)	(75.6 - 80.8)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58.6	71.7	24.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 - 60.3)	(69.9 - 73.4)	(21.8 - 27.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	84.5	86.5	78.6
licat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 85.7)	(85.1 - 87.8)	(76.0 - 81.3)
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	90.3	90.7	88.6
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 - 91.2)	(89.6 - 91.8)	(86.7 - 90.6)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.8	68.3	66.2
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with			
linat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(65.5 - 70.1)	(65.7 - 70.9)	(61.2 - 71.2)
ord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75.5	75.1	75.8
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(73.4 - 77.6)	(72.7 - 77.5)	(71.2 - 80.4)
م لا	from more than one person]	27.2	21 5	17.0
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	27.3	31.5	17.6
Pat	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.8 - 28.9)	(29.5 - 33.4)	(15.1 - 20.2)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87.9	88.2	87.3
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 - 88.9)	(86.9 - 89.4)	(85.2 - 89.3)
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	74.3	74.0	74.9
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 - 75.7)	(72.3 - 75.7)	(72.2 - 77.6)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.8 ^E	1.4 ^E	3.0 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(1.4 - 2.3)	(0.9 - 1.8)	(2.0 - 4.1)
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3 90.7	97.3 -	- 90.7
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5	5)(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.1	21.9	22.6
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 - 23.5)	(20.3 - 23.6)	(20.0 - 25.3)



	Are you an Aboriginal person?	NB (%)	Yes (%)	No (%)
	Sample Size	n=1,905	n=69	n=1,808
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.4	24.4 ^E	19.1
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(18.1 - 20.8)	(16.7 - 32.2)	(17.8 - 20.5)
lidi	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.1	86.3	79.8
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(78.8 - 81.4)	(80.5 - 92.2)	(78.4 - 81.1)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58.6	45.9	59.4
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(57.0 - 60.3)	(37.4 - 54.5)	(57.7 - 61.0)
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	84.5	80.5	84.8
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 85.7)	(73.7 - 87.3)	(83.6 - 86.0)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	90.3	92.1	90.3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.3 - 91.2)	(87.5 - 96.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.8	75.7	67.2
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(65.5 - 70.1)	(62.9 - 88.5)	(64.8 - 69.5)
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(05.5 - 70.1)	. ,	(04.8 - 09.5)
pore	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75.5	61.6 ^E	76.0
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.4 - 77.6)	(47.1 - 76.1)	(73.9 - 78.1)
ון- ed	Family caregivers were given information they	27.3	30.2 ^E	27.4
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(05.0.00.0)		
ã õ		(25.8 - 28.9)	(21.8 - 38.7)	(25.8 - 29.0)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87.9	91.0	87.9
act	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 - 88.9)	(86.2 - 95.9)	(86.8 - 89.0)
Satisfaction	Would recommend agency or home support	74.3	67.6	74.6
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(72.9 - 75.7)	(59.5 - 75.6)	(73.2 - 76.1) E
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	1.8 ^E	F	1.6
Sa	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(1.4 - 2.3)		(1.2 - 2.1)
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3 90.7	92.8 100.0	97.4 90.2
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)		(96.8 - 98.0) (88.3 - 92.1)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.1	18.5 ^E	22.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.7 - 23.5)	(11.7 - 25.4)	(20.7 - 23.5)

Results by Zone





Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.6	3.0
	18-64	31.8	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.4	19.7
	75-84	26.4	25.6
	85+	17.9	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	37.0	35.8
Gender	Female	63.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	49.1	51.8
Level	High school or higher	50.9	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	59.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	40.1	37.9
Preferred	English	74.2	72.8
language of service	French	25.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.3	3.0

Included in this Zone:

Dieppe, Memramcook, Moncton, Riverview, Beaubassin-est/East, Cap-Pelé, Saint-Antoine, Shediac, Bouctouche, Rexton, Richibucto, Saint-Louis-de-Kent, Dorchester, Port Elgin, Sackville, Petitcodiac, Salisbury, Alma, Hillsborough, Riverside-Albert

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	55.5	56.8
Arthritis	44.7	48.3
Chronic pain	37.9	40.7
Heart disease	33.2	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	30.3	31.5
Diabetes	30.9	30.4
Cancer	28.0	26.2
Depression	24.8	24.5
Asthma	17.6	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	14.4	18.3
Stroke	12.9	15.8
Dementia	4.6	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	4.2	3.7
Alzheimer	1.6	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) health condition from table above] Very confident 28.5 25.5 Confident 54.0 55.9 Not very confident 12.5 12.2 4.9 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed Zone 1 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	2	Zone (%)	
17.7	27.1	34.0	21.2
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Brun Health Co			2012 Home Care Sur Home Care at a (•	lts			Zone
Extra-Mural Program (Zo	one 1, n=	=761)	Home Support Services	(Zone 1, n	n=385)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 1,	n=972)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)	All home care s	ervice recipie	ents
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	82.8	82.0	Housekeeping	93.3	92.9	% who live alone	33.5	33.1
Physiotherapist	24.9	25.5	Meal preparation	63.4	72.2	% with caregiver help	60.2	61.6
Respiratory therapist	16.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	47.4	49.6			
Dietitian	15.0	19.9	Bathing	44.6	48.1	Who helps th	ne most?(᠀	%)
Social worker	14.7	16.7	Respite relief/care	39.4	41.6	(among those who	receive help	o n=565)
Occupational therapist	11.6	16.2	Transferring	27.4	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	3.6	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	28.3	30.7	Son or daughter	30.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	3.5	3.3				Spouse or partner	40.0	33.4
Pharmacist	4.1	2.7				Other family member	11.5	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.2	1.7				Mother or father	7.2	8.6
How long have you been getti	ing service	1	How long have you been ge	tting services	s? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.5	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	45.9	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	66.2	73.0	How often do y		
How often do you receive s		(%)	How often do you receive	e services? (1	(among those who	receive help	o n=570)
Every day	6.2	5.9	Every day	28.1	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	25.5	22.6	A few times a week	53.6	52.0	Every day	58.6	58.6
Once/week or less	68.3	71.5	Once/week or less	18.2	13.7	A few times a week	19.2	20.5
						Once/week or less	20.5	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times ca	are was	Satisfaction with number	r of times ca	re was			
received (in past 2 m	nonths)		received (in past 2	months)		Unmet Ne		
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB	All home care s	ervice recipie	ents
% Very Satisfied	78.6	76.1	% Very Satisfied	70.1	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB
Reported Difficulties in Re	eceiving Ca Zone	re NB	Reported Difficulties in I	Receiving Car Zone	re NB	Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	11.7	11.4
% who reported:	Zone	IND	% who reported:	20116	IND	Top 3 mentions: What co	uld have been	$done 2^{1} \left(0/ \right)$
Limits or reductions in types of			Limits or reductions in types of			More hours/Access after-hr.	20.1	28.1
service/care available	7.3	7.6	service/care available	26.5	19.8	More home support services	20.1	20.1

service/care available

Limits or reductions in duration

of service or hours available

27.8

25.6

6.9

7.6

Limits or reductions in duration of

service/care available

service or hours available

27.0

17.4

More home support services

More funding/high cost

31.4

16.3



Zone 1

		Zone	1 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=	761	n=3	,153
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you	92	.6	93	3.0
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(91.2 -	(91.2 - 93.9)		- 93.7)
sess	Always got help when contacting the office	96	5.1	96	5.8
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(94.5 -	97.6)	(96.1	- 97.5)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91	4	90).4
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(90.0 -	92.8)	(89.6	- 91.1)
mur	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	97	'.5	96	5.4
Communication	and respect (% always)	(96.7 -	98.3)	(95.9	- 96.8)
	How often providers seemed informed about all	78	3		7.1
ion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	,,,	78.5		.1
inat	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(75.6 - 81.0)		(75.8	- 78.5)
Coordination	Clients who never received conflicting information	79.8		81	L.7
Co	from different providers [Among clients with services	(77 2 82 4)		(80.5 - 83.0)	
	from more than one person]	(77.2 - 82.4)			
고 고	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	50).6	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(48.0 -	53.2)	53.2) (48.0 - 50.6	
Pat cer	Family caregivers were given information they	44	44.6 42.8		2.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.8 -	(41.8 - 47.4) (41.4 - 44		- 44.2)
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96	96.1 96.7		5.7
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.1 -	97.1)	(96.2	- 97.1)
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	95	5.2	94	1.2
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(94.1 -	96.3)	(93.6	- 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	69	9.8	72	2.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(67.2 - 72.4)		(71.0	- 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	70.3		72	2.3
Saf	they were taking (% yes)			(71.1	- 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F		0	.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5	- 0.9)
it<	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
inp	preference [Among those who specified a	97.3	95.9	97.5	92.7
	preference] (% always)	(96.3 - 98.3)	′93.8 - 97.9)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(914 - 94.0)



		Zone	1 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=	385	n=1,	.905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	17	.2	19	.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(14.2 -	20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)		
sibil	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	75	5.1	80	.1	
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(72.0 - 78.2)		(78.8 -	81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	62	2.1	58	.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(58.6 -	- 65.7)	(57.0 -	60.3)	
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	89).4	84	.5	
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(87.1 -	91.7)	(83.3 -	85.7)	
nm	How often home support workers treated clients	90).7	90	.3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(88.6 - 92.7)		(89.3 -	91.2)	
c	How often home support workers seemed informed	68.2		67	.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(62.8 - 73.5)		(65.5 - 70.1)		
din	services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information			75 5		
COOL	from different providers [Among clients with services	72.1		75.5		
Ŭ	from more than one person]	(67.0 -	- 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)		
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	25.8 27.3		.3		
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(22.3 - 29.3) (25.8 - 2		28.9)		
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	86	86.2 87.9		.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(83.7 -	88.7)	(86.8 -	88.9)	
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	69	0.0	74	.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(65.6 - 72.3)		(72.9 -		
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		1.	.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 -	2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.6 81.5		97.3	90.7	
	preference] (% always)			(96.7 - 97.9)		
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	26.0		22	1	
Bai	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(22.7 -	29.3)	(20.7 -	23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Included in this Zone:



Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.2	3.0
	18-64	29.0	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.9	19.7
	75-84	27.6	25.6
	85+	21.4	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	38.6	35.8
	Female	61.4	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	43.6	51.8
Level	High school or higher	56.4	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	57.4	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	42.6	37.9
Preferred	English	99.7	72.8
language of <u>service</u>	French	0.3	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.1	3.0

Grand Bay-Westfield, Hampton, Quispamsis, Rothesay, Saint John, Norton, St. Martins, Sussex, Sussex Corner, Saint Andrews, St. Stephen, Blacks Harbour, Campobello Island, Grand Manan, St. George

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	56.8	56.8
Arthritis	52.0	48.3
Chronic pain	43.3	40.7
Heart disease	37.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	30.9	31.5
Diabetes	32.7	30.4
Cancer	28.6	26.2
Depression	23.7	24.5
Asthma	19.1	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	21.0	18.3
Stroke	18.7	15.8
Dementia	7.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.3	3.7
Alzheimer	3.9	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) *health condition from table above*] Very confident 23.8 25.5 Confident 55.9 55.8 Not very confident 12.7 12.2 7.7 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed Zone 2 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Brunsy Health Cour			2012 Home Care Surve Home Care at a Gl			C		Zone 2 spondents
Extra-Mural Program (Zo	one 2, n=	768)	Home Support Service	s (Zone 2, n	=405)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 2,	n=973)
Service Received (in past 2 mor	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care se	ervice recipie	ents
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	79.9	82.0	Housekeeping	94.5	92.9	% who live alone	32.0	33.1
Physiotherapist	22.5	25.5	Meal preparation	73.4	72.2	% with caregiver help	63.8	61.6
Respiratory therapist	21.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	51.3	49.6			
Dietitian	18.4	19.9	Bathing	51.1	48.1	Who helps th	ne most? (%	6)
Social worker	12.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	38.5	41.6	(among those who	receive help	o n=589)
Occupational therapist	16.5	16.2	Transferring	36.1	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	2.3	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	25.5	30.7	Son or daughter	39.1	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	2.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	34.1	33.4
Pharmacist	1.7	2.7				Other family member	12.1	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.5	1.7				Mother or father	7.5	8.6
How long have you been getti	ng services	? (%)	How long have you been g	etting services	;? (%)	Friend or volunteer	5.7	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	54.1	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	72.7	73.0	How often do y	ou get helpa	? (%)
How often do you receive se	often do you receive services? (%)		How often do you receive services? (%)		(among those who	receive help	o n=592)	
Every day	5.2	5.9	Every day	39.0	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	20.0	22.6	A few times a week	50.6	52.0	Every day	58.7	58.6
Once/week or less	74.8	71.5	Once/week or less	10.4	13.7	A few times a week	20.8	20.5
						Once/week or less	19.8	19.8
Satisfaction with number of	of times car	re was	Satisfaction with numb	er of times ca	re was			
received (in past 2 m	onths)		received (in past	2 months)		Unmet Nee	eds (n=97	3)
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB	All home care se	ervice recipie	ents
% Very Satisfied	74.6	76.1	% Very Satisfied	73.7	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB
						Could anything else have		
Reported Difficulties in Re	ceiving Car	е	Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Car	e	been done or provided to	10.5	11.4
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB	help you stay at home?		
% who reported:			% who reported:			Top 3 mentions: What cou	uld have been	done? ¹ (%)

Limits or reductions in types of

Limits or reductions in duration

of service or hours available

service/care available

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

Limits or reductions in duration of

Limits or reductions in types of

service/care available

service or hours available

5.3

5.3

7.6

7.6

28.1

27.0

17.4

30.8

21.6

24.0

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost

19.8

25.6

16.4

23.6



Zone 2

		Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=768	n=3,153	
N	Did care start as soon as you thought you	93.7	93.0	
ibilit	needed it? (% yes)	(92.5 - 95.0)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
ess	Always got help when contacting the office	96.6	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(95.2 - 98.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.3	90.4	
Communication Accessibility	was easy to understand (% always)	(88.8 - 91.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Inmu	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.8	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(95.9 - 97.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	76.7	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(73.9 - 79.4)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(73.3 - 73.4)	(73.8 - 78.3)	
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	83.6	81.7	
0	from more than one person]	(81.2 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	52.0	49.3	
ed ed	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred		, ,		
e o	Family caregivers were given information they	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
tion	Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	97.7	96.7	
sfac		(96.9 - 98.5) 94.8	(96.2 - 97.1) 94.2	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes)	94.8 (93.6 - 95.9)	94.2 (93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	70.4	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(67.9 - 72.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
ity	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	64.9	72.3	
Safety	they were taking (% yes)	(62.4 - 67.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
qui	preference [Among those who specified a	98.4 ^F	97.5 92.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Zone	2 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=4	405	n=1	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	27	.5	19.4	
ltγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(24.1 -	(24.1 - 30.8)		20.8)
sibil	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	85	.0	80).1
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(82.5 -	87.5)	(78.8 -	81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	71	.8	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(68.6 -	75.1)	(57.0 -	60.3)
u	How often home support workers explained things in	85	.0	84	.5
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(82.4 -	87.5)	(83.3 -	85.7)
mur	How often home support workers treated clients	90	.1	90).3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(88.0 -	92.2)	(89.3 -	91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	63	.8	67	'.8
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with	(50.0	68 6)) (65.5 - 70.1)	
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(59.0 - 68.6)		1	
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	78.5		75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(74.4 -	82.6)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	32	32.0 27.3		'.3
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(28.4 -	35.6)	(25.8 -	- 28.9)
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	87	.1	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.8 -	89.5)	(86.8 -	88.9)
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	70	.9	74	.3
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(67.7 - 74.1)		(72.9 -	,
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	:	1	.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 -	2.3)
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.8	т	97.3	90.7
	preference] (% always)	(96.8 - 98.8)		(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	23	.4	22	.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.4 -	26.5)	(20.7 -	23.5)





Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.6	3.0
	18-64	28.2	30.8
Age group	65-74	21.5	19.7
	75-84	25.0	25.6
	85+	21.7	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	35.0	35.8
Gender	Female	65.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	46.9	51.8
Level	High school or higher	53.1	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	59.3	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	40.7	37.9
Preferred	English	99.2	72.8
language of service	French	0.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.0	3.0

Included in this Zone:

Fredericton, Harvey, New Maryland, Bath, Centreville, Florenceville-Bristol, Hartland, Woodstock, Fredericton Junction, Gagetown, Oromocto, Tracy, Doaktown, Stanley, Upper Miramichi, Canterbury, McAdam, Meductic, Millville, Nackawic, Aroostook, Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Cambridge-Narrows, Chipman, Minto

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	56.6	56.8
Arthritis	51.1	48.3
Chronic pain	40.0	40.7
Heart disease	38.0	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	30.4	31.5
Diabetes	32.1	30.4
Cancer	24.2	26.2
Depression	25.1	24.5
Asthma	19.6	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	21.7	18.3
Stroke	16.9	15.8
Dementia	8.7	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.7	3.7
Alzheimer	5.3	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) *health condition from table above*] Very confident 26.2 25.5 Confident 53.1 55.9 Not very confident 12.9 12.2 Not at all confident 7.8 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed Zone 3 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

							70	
New Brunswie Health Counc		2	012 Home Care Survey Results			Zone 3 Overall Respondents		
			Home Care at a Gland	ce			erali kespo	naents
Extra-Mural Program (Zo	ne 3, n=	618)	Home Support Services	(Zone 3, n	=420)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 3,	n=861)
Service Received (in past 2 mon	ths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)	All home care se	ervice recipie	nts
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	78.7	82.0	Housekeeping	92.7	92.9	% who live alone	35.8	33.1
Physiotherapist	26.9	25.5	Meal preparation	75.0	72.2	% with caregiver help	63.9	61.6
Respiratory therapist	23.2	20.1	Grooming or dressing	51.9	49.6			
Dietitian	27.5	19.9	Bathing	50.3	48.1	Who helps th	e most? (%)
Social worker	15.4	16.7	Respite relief/care	45.2	41.6	(among those who	receive help	n=525)
Occupational therapist	19.0	16.2	Transferring	32.3	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.2	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	28.4	30.7	Son or daughter	41.1	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	3.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	31.7	33.4
Pharmacist	3.1	2.7				Other family member 12.0 12		
Doctor/Physician	1.4	1.7				Mother or father	7.3	8.6
How long have you been gettir	ng services	? (%)	How long have you been get	ting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	6.8	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	44.5	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	73.9	73.0	How often do ye	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive se	ervices? (%)	How often do you receive	services? (%)	(among those who	o receive help n=530)	
Every day	6.5	5.9	Every day	33.7	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	22.2	22.6	A few times a week	53.6	52.0	Every day	55.5	58.6
Once/week or less	71.3	71.5	Once/week or less	12.7	13.7	A few times a week	20.6	20.5
						Once/week or less	23.1	19.8
Satisfaction with number o	of times car	re was	Satisfaction with number	r of times cai	re was			
received (in past 2 mo	onths)		received (in past 2 i	months)		Unmet Nee	eds (n=861	L)
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB	All home care service recipients		
% Very Satisfied	74.6	76.1	% Very Satisfied	74.3	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB
						Could anything else have		
Reported Difficulties in Rec	-	1	Reported Difficulties in R	-	1	been done or provided to help you stay at home?	10.1	11.4
« who reported	Zone	NB	% who reported:	Zone	NB	Top 3 mentions: What cou	uld have been	d_{a}
% who reported: Limits or reductions in types of			<i>‰ who reported:</i> Limits or reductions in types of			Nore hours/Access after-hr.		
service/care available	8.0	7.6	service/care available	17.5	19.8	More home support services	21.7 27.8	28.1 27.0
Limits or reductions in duration of	8.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration	22.8	25.6	More funding/high cost	10.2	17.4

22.8

25.6

service or hours available

8.4

7.6

of service or hours available



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

Sample Size n=618 n=3,153 Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes) 92.6 93.0 Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes) (96.4 - 99.0) (96.1 - 97.5) Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes) (96.4 - 99.0) (96.1 - 97.5) How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always) 96.2 90.4 How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) (95.1 - 97.3) (95.9 - 96.8) How often providers seemed informed about all care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] 71.9 77.1 Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person] 84.6 81.7 Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (92.4 - 95.2) (93.6 - 94.8) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes)			Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
Image: Note of the services from more than one person](91.1 - 94.1)(92.4 - 93.7)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](96.4 - 99.0)(96.1 - 97.5)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](91.1 - 94.1)(89.6 - 91.1)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](91.1 - 94.1)(89.6 - 91.1)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](91.1 - 94.1)(89.6 - 91.1)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(95.1 - 97.3)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(75.8 - 78.5)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(80.5 - 83.0)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(80.5 - 83.0)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(80.5 - 83.0)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(80.5 - 83.0)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](81.9 - 87.2)(80.5 - 83.0)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](41.4 - 44.2)42.8Image: Note of the services from more than one person](41.9 - 48.0)(41.4 - 44.2)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](95.4 - 97.5)(96.2 - 97.1)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](95.4 - 97.5)(96.2 - 97.1)Image: Note of the services from more than one person](95.4 - 97.5)(96.7 - 72.2)Image: Note of the service from more than one person](95.4		Sample Size	n=618	n=3,153	
Upper providers Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) 92.6 90.4 Staff falked with clients about hey needed it (% strongly agree) (91.1 - 94.1) (89.6 - 91.1) Wow often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) 96.2 96.4 How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) (95.1 - 97.3) (95.9 - 96.8) How often providers seemed informed about all care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (68.6 - 75.2) (75.8 - 78.5) Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers (Among clients with services from more than one person] 84.6 81.7 Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% 29.0) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) (0.5 - 0.9) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Fr. Eng <td< td=""><td>.≻</td><td>Did care start as soon as you thought you</td><td>92.6</td><td>93.0</td></td<>	.≻	Did care start as soon as you thought you	92.6	93.0	
Upper providers Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) 92.6 90.4 Staff falked with clients about hey needed it (% strongly agree) (91.1 - 94.1) (89.6 - 91.1) Wow often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) 96.2 96.4 How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) (95.1 - 97.3) (95.9 - 96.8) How often providers seemed informed about all care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (68.6 - 75.2) (75.8 - 78.5) Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers (Among clients with services from more than one person] 84.6 81.7 Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% 29.0) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) (0.5 - 0.9) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Fr. Eng <td< td=""><td>ibilit</td><td>needed it? (% yes)</td><td>(91.1 - 94.1)</td><td>(92.4 - 93.7)</td></td<>	ibilit	needed it? (% yes)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Upper providers Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) 92.6 90.4 Staff falked with clients about hey needed it (% strongly agree) (91.1 - 94.1) (89.6 - 91.1) Wow often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) 96.2 96.4 How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always) (95.1 - 97.3) (95.9 - 96.8) How often providers seemed informed about all care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (68.6 - 75.2) (75.8 - 78.5) Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers (Among clients with services from more than one person] 84.6 81.7 Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% 29.0) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) (0.5 - 0.9) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Fr. Eng <td< td=""><td>essi</td><td>Always got help when contacting the office</td><td>97.7</td><td>96.8</td></td<>	essi	Always got help when contacting the office	97.7	96.8	
Image: Note of the service of the serv	Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
Image: Note of the service of the serv	ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	92.6	90.4	
Image: Note of the service of the serv	nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Image: Note of the service of the serv	mur	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.2	96.4	
The services from more than one person] (% always) The services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person] Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) Staff gave information needed if (% strongly agree) Staff gave information they meeded it (% strongly agree) Staff gave information they needed it (% strongly agree) Staff gave information they meeded it (% strongly agree) Staff gave information they meeded it (% strongly agree) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home Staff talked with clients about how to set up home Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	Com	and respect (% always)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
Image: Program Provide Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (81.9 - 87.2) (80.5 - 83.0) Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) (95.4 - 97.5) (96.2 - 97.1) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes) (92.4 - 95.2) (93.6 - 94.8) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Former former formation format		How often providers seemed informed about all	71.9	77.1	
Image: Program Provide Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (81.9 - 87.2) (80.5 - 83.0) Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) (95.4 - 97.5) (96.2 - 97.1) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes) (92.4 - 95.2) (93.6 - 94.8) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Former former formation format	tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(60.6.75.2)		
Image: space spa	lina		(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Image: space spa	oord		84.6	81.7	
Staff gave information needed for clients to take care of themselves (% strongly agree) 52.2 49.3 (49.3 - 55.0) (48.0 - 50.6) Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) 44.9 42.8 Wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) 95.4 - 97.5) (96.2 - 97.1) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes) 93.8 94.2 Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) Foo.7 E (0.5 - 0.9) How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.	ŭ		(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
Total gave information necessary of themselves (% strongly agree)(49.3 - 55.0)(48.0 - 50.6)Care of themselves (% strongly agree)(41.9 - 48.0)42.8Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)(41.9 - 48.0)(41.4 - 44.2)Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)95.4 - 97.5)(96.2 - 97.1)Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes)93.894.2Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes)74.272.2Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes)72.872.3Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)Fo.7*(0.5 - 0.9)How often service was received in language ofEngFr.EngFr.			52.2	49.3	
Wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Satisfaction rating: home health care services 96.5 96.7 received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) (95.4 - 97.5) (96.2 - 97.1) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends 93.8 94.2 (% definitely yes) (92.4 - 95.2) (93.6 - 94.8) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines 72.8 72.3 they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) (0.5 - 0.9) How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.	ed -t-	-	_	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree) (41.9 - 48.0) (41.4 - 44.2) Satisfaction rating: home health care services 96.5 96.7 received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) (95.4 - 97.5) (96.2 - 97.1) Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends 93.8 94.2 (% definitely yes) (92.4 - 95.2) (93.6 - 94.8) Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines 72.8 72.3 they were taking (% yes) (70.2 - 75.5) (71.1 - 73.4) Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) (0.5 - 0.9) How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.	atiel entr				
Satisfaction rating: home health care services received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) 96.5 96.7 Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes) 93.8 94.2 Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) 74.2 72.2 Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.	ä ö			-	
received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10) $(95.4 - 97.5)$ $(96.2 - 97.1)$ Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes) 93.8 94.2 (93.6 - 94.8)Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) 74.2 72.2 Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) $71.4 - 76.9$) $(71.0 - 73.5)$ Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) 0.7^{E} How often service was received in language ofEngFr.EngFr.					
Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) 74.2 72.2 Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of the service was received in langua	tion	_			
Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) 74.2 72.2 Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of the service was received in langua	fact				
Staff talked with clients about how to set up home to move around safely (% yes) 74.2 72.2 Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) (71.4 - 76.9) (71.0 - 73.5) Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of the service was received in langua	atis			-	
to move around safely (% yes) $(71.4 - 76.9)$ $(71.0 - 73.5)$ Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)F 0.7^{E} How often service was received in language ofEngFr.EngFr.	0,				
Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) 72.8 72.3 Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.					
Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of the service was received	<u>></u>		. ,		
Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) F 0.7 ^E How often service was received in language of the service was received	afet		-	_	
Do you believe you were narmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes) 0.7 How often service was received in language of the s	S				
How often service was received in language of Eng Fr. Eng Fr.					
			Eng Er		
	uity				
<i>u</i> <i>preference</i>] (% always) (96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100) (97.0 - 98.0) (914 - 94.0)	Equ				

^FToo unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)



		Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=420	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	23.8	19.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	82.2	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	76.2	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
Б	How often home support workers explained things in	86.0	84.5	
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
nu	How often home support workers treated clients	91.5	90.3	
Con	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
<u>د</u>	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.2	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
rdin	services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information	74.2	75.5	
000	from different providers [Among clients with services	74.2	/5.5	
	from more than one person]	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	32.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	89.6	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
itisf	Would recommend agency or home support	78.6	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ťy	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.6 ^т	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	18.2	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demographic profile		Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.6	3.0
	18-64	40.1	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.9	19.7
	75-84	21.6	25.6
	85+	14.8	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	37.0	35.8
Gender	Female	63.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	58.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	41.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	65.6	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	34.4	37.9
Preferred	English	11.4	72.8
language of <u>service</u>	French	88.6	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	4.5	3.0

Included in this Zone:

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	56.3	56.8
Arthritis	45.5	48.3
Chronic pain	42.2	40.7
Heart disease	34.0	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	33.0	31.5
Diabetes	25.8	30.4
Cancer	21.5	26.2
Depression	25.5	24.5
Asthma	24.1	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	18.4	18.3
Stroke	15.0	15.8
Dementia	5.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	5.9	3.7
Alzheimer	3.8	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) *health condition from table above*] Very confident 27.1 25.5 Confident 55.9 57.7 Not very confident 11.1 12.2 Not at all confident 4.1 6.4

Included in this profile:

Baker-Brook, Clair, Edmundston, Lac-Baker, Rivière-Verte, Saint-François-de-Madawaska, Saint-Hilaire, Drummond, Grand-Sault / Grand Falls, Saint-André, Sainte-Anne-de-Madawaska, Saint-Léonard, Kedgwick, Saint-Quentin

All surveyed Zone 4 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Brunswick Health Council			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G					Zone 4
Extra-Mural Program (Z	one 4, n=	321)	Home Support Service	es (Zone 4, n	=188)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 4,	n=433)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care s	ervice recipie	ents
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	82.9	82.0	Housekeeping	94.4	92.9	% who live alone	35.8	33.1
Physiotherapist	26.1	25.5	Meal preparation	60.8	72.2	% with caregiver help	54.7	61.6
Respiratory therapist	22.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing	35.4	49.6			
Dietitian	20.9	19.9	Bathing	34.5	48.1	Who helps tl	ne most? (%	6)
Social worker	23.1	16.7	Respite relief/care	32.4	41.6	(among those who	receive help	o n=232)
Occupational therapist	15.8	16.2	Transferring	35.9	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	4.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	28.0	30.7	Son or daughter	29.9	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	6.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	35.7	33.4
Pharmacist	2.2	2.7				Other family member	10.8	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.9	1.7				Mother or father	11.9	8.6
How long have you been gett	ing services	? (%)	How long have you been g	getting services	s? (%)	Friend or volunteer 8.0		6.9
Services for at least 1 year	50.3	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	79.0	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive s	services?	(%)	How often do you recei	ive services? (%)	(among those who	receive help	o n=227)
Every day	7.2	5.9	Every day	24.7	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	21.4	22.6	A few times a week	50.6	52.0	Every day	53.0	58.6
Once/week or less	71.5	71.5	Once/week or less	24.7	13.7	A few times a week	25.8	20.5
						Once/week or less	20.3	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times ca	re was	Satisfaction with number of times care was					
received (in past 2 m	nonths)		received (in past	2 months)		Unmet Ne		
Zone NB				Zone	NB	All home care service recipients		

Limits or reductions in duration

of service or hours available

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

35.8

% who said 'yes'

Could anything else have

been done or provided to

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost

help you stay at home?

	Zone	NB		Zone	
% Very Satisfied	76.9	76.1	% Very Satisfied	56.2	
Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	5	Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	e
	Zone	NB		Zone	
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	11.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	26.6	

12.5

7.6

¹ Among those who indicated unmet needs.	

Limits or reductions in duration of

service or hours available

15.0	17.4
	62 P a g e

NB

11.4

28.1

27.0

Zone

12.7

22.4

28.0

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)



		Zone 4 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=321	n=3,153	
ť	Did care start as soon as you thought you	92.1	93.0	
Communication Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(90.0 - 94.3)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
ess	Always got help when contacting the office	97.3	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(95.4 - 99.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	86.2	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(83.5 - 89.0)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Inmu	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.2	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(92.3 - 96.0)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
_	How often providers seemed informed about all	82.0	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(77.0.00.1)	(75.070.5)	
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(77.9 - 86.1)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	80.7	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(76.6 - 84.9)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	43.3	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(39.3 - 47.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	34.4	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(30.3 - 38.5)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.3	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(93.7 - 97.0)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
atisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93.1	94.2	
ŝ	(% definitely yes)	(91.1 - 95.1)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	75.5	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.9 - 79.1)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	81.0	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(77.8 - 84.2)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	_	(0.5 - 0.9)	
lity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equit)	preference [Among those who specified a	87.2 89.2	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(79.4 - 95.0) (86.6 - 91.9)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



Zone 4

		Zone	4 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=:	188	n=1,	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	12	7 ^E	19	.4
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(9.2 -	16.3)	(18.1 -	20.8)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	81	7	80).1
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(77.7 -	85.6)	(78.8 -	81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	21	7	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(17.4 -	26.1)	(57.0 -	60.3)
u	How often home support workers explained things in	72	.4	84	.5
iicati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(67.6 -	77.1)	(83.3 -	85.7)
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	84	.2	90).3
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(80.4 -	87.9)	(89.3 -	91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	54	.2	67	'.8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(44.0	(2.4)		
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(44.9 -	63.4)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
ooc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information			75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(52.1 -	69.7)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
d t		16	16.6 ^E 27.3		' 3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)			27.5	
Ра се	wanted when they needed it (% strongly dgree)	(12.4 -	20.9)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
ы	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	77	.5	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(73.2 -	81.8)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	64	.7	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(59.7 -		(72.9 -	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	5.0 ^E		1	.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(2.7 -	7.2)	(1.4 -	- 2.3)
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	78.3	89.9	97.3	90.7
	preference] (% always)	(67.2 - 89.4)	(86.6 - 93.3)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	28.1		22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(23.3 -	32.9)	(20.7 -	23.5)



Included in this Zone:



Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	4.3	3.0
	18-64	25.7	30.8
Age group	65-74	17.6	19.7
	75-84	25.5	25.6
	85+	26.9	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	28.0	35.8
Genuer	Female	72.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	61.1	51.8
Level	High school or higher	38.9	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	68.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	31.1	37.9
Preferred	English	59.0	72.8
language of service	French	41.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.8	3.0

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	54.3	56.8
Arthritis	56.5	48.3
Chronic pain	46.7	40.7
Heart disease	35.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	34.3	31.5
Diabetes	23.5	30.4
Cancer	24.0	26.2
Depression	23.1	24.5
Asthma	26.4	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	19.7	18.3
Stroke	19.2	15.8
Dementia	7.6	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.2	3.7
Alzheimer	3.4	3.5

Balmoral, Belledune, Charlo, Dalhousie, Eel River Crossing, Atholville, Campbellton, Tide Head

Confidence: Ability to control and man	age health c	ondition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Zone (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	24.0	25.5
Confident	58.7	55.9
Not very confident	12.6	12.2
Not at all confident	4.7	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed Zone 5 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Brunsv Health Cour	wick ncil		2012 Home Care Surve Home Care at a G				Z Overall Res	Zone 5 spondents
Extra-Mural Program (Zo	one 5, n=	178)	Home Support Service	s (Zone 5, r	=121)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 5,	n=245)
Service Received (in past 2 mor	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care se	ervice recipie	nts
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	85.4	82.0	Housekeeping	96.5	92.9	% who live alone	34.2	33.1
Physiotherapist	31.1	25.5	Meal preparation	76.1	72.2	% with caregiver help	58.9	61.6
Respiratory therapist	20.5	20.1	Grooming or dressing	53.9	49.6			
Dietitian	12.2	19.9	Bathing	48.6	48.1	Who helps the most? (%))
Social worker	20.7	16.7	Respite relief/care	46.5	41.6	(among those who	receive help	n=139)
Occupational therapist	27.8	16.2	Transferring	36.8	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	4.6	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	40.4	30.7	Son or daughter	41.1	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	3.2	3.3				Spouse or partner	26.0	33.4
Pharmacist	4.0	2.7				Other family member	10.2	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.5	1.7				Mother or father	12.9	8.6
How long have you been getting	ng services	? (%)	How long have you been g	etting services	s? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.1	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	55.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	78.3	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive se	ervices?	(%)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%)	(among those who	receive help	n=138)
Every day	2.3	5.9	Every day	42.9	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	17.3	22.6	A few times a week	48.3	52.0	Every day	59.2	58.6
Once/week or less	80.4	71.5	Once/week or less	8.8	13.7	A few times a week	17.9	20.5
						Once/week or less	20.6	19.8
Satisfaction with number of	of times ca	re was	Satisfaction with numb		re was			

Satisfaction with number of times care was				
received (in past 2 months)				
Zone NB				
% Very Satisfied 74.3 76.1				

Reported Difficulties in Re	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care		
	Zone	NB	
% who reported:			% wł
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	10.3	7.6	Limits or red service/care
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.7	7.6	Limits or red of service or

Satisfaction with number of times care was				
received (in past 2 months)				
	Zone	NB		
% Very Satisfied	70.4	70.6		

ng Care Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care		е		
ne	NB		Zone	NB
		% who reported:		
).3	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	22.1	19.8
.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	22.9	25.6

Unmet Needs (n=245)						
All home care s	ervice recipie	ents				
% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	13.3	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What co	uld have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	37.9	28.1				
More home support services	30.8	27.0				
More funding/high cost	13.1	17.4				



		Zone 5 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=178	n=3,153	
ý	Did care start as soon as you thought you	94.4	93.0	
Communication Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.0 - 96.8)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
essi	Always got help when contacting the office	95.8	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(92.4 - 99.3)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	89.1	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(85.8 - 92.4)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Inmi	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.4	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(91.9 - 96.8)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	77.2	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(74,4, 02,0)		
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(71.4 - 82.9)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
pord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	79.5	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(74.1 - 85.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	45.9	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(40.5 - 51.3)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient [.] centred	Family caregivers were given information they	40.9	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(35.2 - 46.6)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.6	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(93.4 - 97.8)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.6	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(92.2 - 97.0)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	64.5	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(59.0 - 70.0)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	68.7	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(63.6 - 73.9)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
lity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equit)	preference [Among those who specified a	95.7 95.6 (92.9 - 98.5) (92.0 - 99.1)	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(92.0 - 98.5) (92.0 - 99.1)	(91.4 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Zone	5 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=:	121	n=1,	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	14.2 ^E		14.2 ^E 19.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(9.5 -	<i>(9.5 - 18.9)</i> 75.9		- 20.8)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	75).1
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)		81.3)	(78.8 -	- 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	47	.3	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(40.6 -	53.9)	(57.0 -	- 60.3)
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	85	.4	84	l.5
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(80.8 -	90.0)	(83.3 -	- 85.7)
nu	How often home support workers treated clients	89	.6	90).3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(85.7 -	93.5)	(89.3 -	91.2)
c	How often home support workers seemed informed	71	1	67	' .8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(62.3 - 80.0)		(65.5 - 70.1)	
din	services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information				
Coor	from different providers [Among clients with services	83.2		75.5	
Ŭ	from more than one person]	(75.5 - 90.9)		(73.4 -	77.6)
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	22	.2 ^E	27	' .3
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(16.5 -	28.0)	(25.8 -	- 28.9)
۲	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	89	89.6 87.9		'.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(85.7 -	93.6)	(86.8 -	- 88.9)
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	76	.8	74	.3
Sat	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(71.3 -	82.3)	(72.9 -	- 75.7)
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		1	.8 ^E
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 -	- 2.3)
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	92.8	87.5	97.3	90.7
	preference] (% always)	(88.4 - 97.2)		(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.2 ^E		22	2.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(16.7 -	27.7)	(20.7 -	- 23.5)



Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.1	3.0
	18-64	32.8	30.8
Age group	65-74	15.8	19.7
	75-84	23.2	25.6
	85+	26.0	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	33.2	35.8
Gender	Female	66.8	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	68.2	51.8
Level	High school or higher	31.8	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	69.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	30.1	37.9
Preferred	English	20.0	72.8
language of service	French	80.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.4	3.0

Included in this Zone:

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	59.2	56.8
Arthritis	44.2	48.3
Chronic pain	39.6	40.7
Heart disease	29.7	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	34.1	31.5
Diabetes	29.8	30.4
Cancer	21.9	26.2
Depression	24.9	24.5
Asthma	25.3	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	14.0	18.3
Stroke	13.8	15.8
Dementia	8.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	4.9	3.7
Alzheimer	3.5	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) *health condition from table above*] Very confident 23.5 25.5 Confident 55.9 60.8 Not very confident 9.4 12.2 6.3 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

Bathurst, Beresford, Nigadoo, Petit-Rocher, Pointe-Verte, Lamèque, Le Goulet, Sainte-Marie-Saint-Raphaël, Shippagan, Bas-Caraquet, Bertrand, Caraquet, Grande-Anse, Maisonnette, Paquetville, Saint-Léolin, Saint-Isidore, Tracadie-Sheila

All surveyed Zone 6 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Z	one (%)	
14.8	28.2	37.7	19.2
		NB (%)	_
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

35.2

37.5

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

20.6 6.7

New Brunsv Health Cour			2012 Home Care Surve Home Care at a Gl					Zone 6 spondents
Extra-Mural Program (Zone 6, n=300)			Home Support Services (Zone 6, n=224)			Caregiver Profile (Zone 6, n=445)		
Service Received (in past 2 mor	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients			
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	88.1	82.0	Housekeeping	87.7	92.9	% who live alone	27.8	33.1
Physiotherapist	28.2	25.5	Meal preparation	82.3	72.2	% with caregiver help	63.1	61.6
Respiratory therapist	19.3	20.1	Grooming or dressing	49.2	49.6			
Dietitian	23.2	19.9	Bathing	48.5	48.1	Who helps the most? (%)		6)
Social worker	25.8	16.7	Respite relief/care	40.3	41.6	(among those who	receive help	n=266)
Occupational therapist	16.2	16.2	Transferring	38.9	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	2.7	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	39.5	30.7	Son or daughter	39.6	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	5.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	28.3	33.4
Pharmacist	1.1	2.7				Other family member	13.5	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.3	1.7				Mother or father	9.9	8.6
How long have you been getting	ng services	? (%)	How long have you been g	etting service	s? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.5	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	46.2	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	74.5	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive se	ervices? ((%)	How often do you receive services? (%)			(among those who receive help n=271)		
Every day	7.5	5.9	Every day	33.1	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	28.9	22.6	A few times a week	54.4	52.0	Every day	62.4	58.6
Once/week or less	63.6	71.5	Once/week or less	12.5	13.7	A few times a week	21.1	20.5
						Once/week or less	14.7	19.8
Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)		Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)		Unmet Ne	eds (n=44)	5)		

i times car	C Was			
nths)		Unmet Nee	eds (n=445	5)
Zone	NB	All home care se	ervice recipie	nts
70.6	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB
		Could anything else have		
eiving Car	e	been done or provided to	12.2	11.4
Zone	NB	help you stay at home?		
		Top 3 mentions: What cou	uld have been	done? ¹ (%)
14.2	19.8	More hours/Access after-hr.	27.9	28.1
14.2	19.0	More home support services	27.5	27.0
20.4	25.6	More funding/high cost	22.0	17.4
20.4	25.0			

Satisfaction with number of times care was
received (in past 2 months)Satisfaction
receivedZoneNB% Very Satisfied76.576.1% Very Satisfied76.576.1

Reported Difficulties in Re	ceiving Care	2	Reported Difficulties in Re	ceiv
	Zone	NB		Zo
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	6.6	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	1
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	2

⁻¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



		Zone 6 (%)) NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=300	n=3,153	
Y:	Did care start as soon as you thought you	94.3	93.0	
bilit	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(92.5 - 96.2)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
essi	Always got help when contacting the office	96.0	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(93.7 - 98.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	87.8	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(85.2 - 90.5)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
mur	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.9	96.4	
Communication Accessibility	and respect (% always)	(93.1 - 96.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	82.7	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients		(75.0	
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(78.7 - 86.6)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	82.0	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(77.9 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	40.7	49.3	
ent- red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(36.6 - 44.7)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	39.5	42.8	
20	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(35.2 - 43.8)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
n	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.9 - 99.2)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93.4	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(91.4 - 95.4)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	79.9	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(76.4 - 83.5)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	87.2	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(84.4 - 90.0)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	96.7 94.4	1 97.5 92.7 6.5) (97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.	
	preference] (% always)	(92.3 - 96	5.5) (91.4 - 96.0) (91.4 - 94.	



		Zone 6 (%)		NB (%)		
	Sample Size	n=2	224	n=1,905		
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	14.4		19.4		
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(11.1 -	17.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)		
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	77	77.0 80.			
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(73.1 -	81.0)	(78.8 - 81.4)		
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	33	.1	58.6		
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(28.6 -	37.6)	(57.0 - 60.3)		
u	How often home support workers explained things in	82	.2	84	l.5	
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(78.6 -	85.9)	(83.3 -	- 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	92	.4	90).3	
Corr	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.9 -	94.9)	(89.3 -	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	78.4 (72.0 - 84.8) 80.7 (74.5 - 86.9)		67.8		
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with			(65.5 - 70.1)		
dina	services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information					
Coor	from different providers [Among clients with services			75.5		
Ŭ	from more than one person]			(73.4 - 77.6)		
nt- red	Family caregivers were given information they	23.0		27.3		
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(18.8 - 27.2)		(25.8 - 28.9)		
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	93.9		87.9		
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(91.6 -	(91.6 - 96.1)		(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	79.4		74.3		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(75.6 - 83.2)		(72.9 - 75.7)		
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	:	1.8 ^E		
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)			(1.4 - 2.3)		
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	94.7	96.4	97.3	90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(89.8 - 99.6)	(94.4 - 98.4)	(96.7 - 97.9)	9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	16	.8	22	2.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(13.2 - 20.3)		(20.7 - 23.5)		


2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Included in this Zone:



Demo	graphic profile	Zone (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.2	3.0
	18-64	28.6	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.7	19.7
	75-84	27.6	25.6
	85+	22.9	20.9
		Zone (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	34.7	35.8
Gender	Female	65.3	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	59.3	51.8
Level	High school or higher	40.7	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	67.7	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	32.3	37.9
Preferred	English	78.1	72.8
language of service	French	21.9	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	4.8	3.0

Blackville, Miramichi, Rogersville, Neguac

Chronic Health Conditions	Zone (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	60.8	56.8
Arthritis	43.7	48.3
Chronic pain	38.0	40.7
Heart disease	35.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	32.0	31.5
Diabetes	29.2	30.4
Cancer	33.1	26.2
Depression	24.0	24.5
Asthma	16.5	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	17.7	18.3
Stroke	14.1	15.8
Dementia	7.3	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.1	3.7
Alzheimer	3.4	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition [Among clients with at least one chronic Zone (%) NB (%) *health condition from table above*] Very confident 21.5 25.5 Confident 58.2 55.9 Not very confident 13.4 12.2 7.0 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed Zone 7 residents who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

		Zone (%)	
15.6	26.3	37.9	20.2
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Brunsv Health Cour			2012 Home Care Surve Home Care at a Gl			(Overall Res	Zone 7
Extra-Mural Program (Zo	ne 7, n=	=207)	Home Support Services	s (Zone 7, r	n=162)	Caregiver Profile	e (Zone 7,	n=317)
Service Received (in past 2 mon	ths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2 r	months)	(%)	All home care se	ervice recipie	nts
	Zone	NB		Zone	NB		Zone	NB
Nurse	83.3	82.0	Housekeeping	91.1	92.9	% who live alone	31.1	33.1
Physiotherapist	24.8	25.5	Meal preparation	79.4	72.2	% with caregiver help	62.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	15.7	20.1	Grooming or dressing	58.1	49.6			
Dietitian	21.2	19.9	Bathing	58.3	48.1	Who helps the most? (%)		5)
Social worker	17.8	16.7	Respite relief/care	54.6	41.6	(among those who receive help n=195		n=195)
Occupational therapist	14.5	16.2	Transferring	47.5	34.8		Zone	NB
Speech language pathologist	1.4	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	39.5	30.7	Son or daughter	39.1	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	1.3	3.3				Spouse or partner	27.4	33.4
Pharmacist	1.5	2.7				Other family member	14.5	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.4	1.7				Mother or father	10.4	8.6
How long have you been gettin	ng services	s? (%)	How long have you been g	etting service	s? (%)	Friend or volunteer	6.6	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	42.4	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	74.6	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive se	How often do you receive services? (%)		How often do you receive services? (%)		(%)	(among those who	receive help	n=194)
Every day	4.6	5.9	Every day	45.1	34.3		Zone	NB
A few times a week	20.6	22.6	A few times a week	48.8	52.0	Every day	67.4	58.6
Once/week or less	74.8	71.5	Once/week or less	6.1	13.7	A few times a week	18.0	20.5
						Once/week or less	14.1	19.8

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)			
	Zone	NB	
% Very Satisfied	77.1	76.1	%

Reported Difficulties in Rec	eiving Care	9	Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	è
	Zone	NB		Zone	
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	8.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	16.3	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.3	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	30.0	

1	
¹ Among those who indicated unmet needs.	

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months) NB Zone Very Satisfied 72.3 70.6

Unmet Needs (n=317)					
All home care se	ervice recipie	nts			
% who said 'yes'	Zone	NB			
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	13.2	11.4			
Top 3 mentions: What coι	ıld have been	done? ¹ (%)			
More hours/Access after-hr.	56.2	28.1			
More home support services	22.1	27.0			
More funding/high cost	19.1	17.4			

NB

19.8

25.6



		Zone 7 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=207	n=3,153	
<u>></u>	Did care start as soon as you thought you	91.5	93.0	
bilit	needed it? (% yes)	(88.8 - 94.3)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
essi	Always got help when contacting the office	99.0	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(97.6 - 100)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91.3	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(88.5 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	98.6	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(97.4 - 99.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	74.8	77.1	
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(69.1 - 80.5)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(03.1 - 80.5)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	75.4	81.7	
C	from more than one person]	(69.8 - 81.1)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	51.3	49.3	
ent- :red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(46.3 - 56.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	43.2	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(38.0 - 48.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.0	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.3 - 98.7)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	91.5	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(88.8 - 94.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.3	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(67.4 - 77.2)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	71.8	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)			
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
lity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equ	preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)	96.8 91.2 (94.9 - 98.7) (84.3 - 98.1)	97.5 92.7 (97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	
	prejerencej (% uiwuys)	(34.3 - 30.7) (04.3 - 98.1)	(91.0 - 90.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Zone	7 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=:	162	n=1	,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	12	9 ^E	19.4		
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(8.9 -	16.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)		
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80	0.0	80).1	
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(75.6 -	84.5)	(78.8 -	81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	58	.9	58	6.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(53.3 -	64.4)	(57.0 -	60.3)	
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	84	.4	84	.5	
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(80.3 -	88.5)	(83.3 -	85.7)	
mur	How often home support workers treated clients	91	7	90	.3	
Con	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(88.6 - 94.7)		(89.3 -	91.2)	
c	How often home support workers seemed informed	78.9		78.9 67.8		
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(71.3 - 86.6)		(65.5 - 70.1)		
dina	services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information					
oor	from different providers [Among clients with services	81.6		75.5		
0	from more than one person]	(74.3 - 88.9)		(73.4 - 77.6)		
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	27.4		27	'.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(22.1 -	32.7)	(25.8 -	28.9)	
5	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	91	.9	87	'.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(88.9 -	94.9)	(86.8 -	88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	86.4		.4 74.3		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(82.6 - 90.2)		(72.9 -	75.7)	
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		1	.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)			(1.4 -		
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	99.2	92.7	97.3	90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(98.0 - 100)	(87.0 - 98.3)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	21	1	22	.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(16.4 -	25.7)	(20.7 -	23.5)	

Results by Community



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	0	3.0
	18-64	56.7	30.8
Age group	65-74	15.3	19.7
	75-84	15.4	25.6
	85+	12.6	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	53.3	35.8
Genuer	Female	46.7	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	56.5	51.8
Level	High school or higher	43.5	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	67.5	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	32.5	37.9
Preferred	English	2.2	72.8
language of service	French	97.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	8.1	3.0

Included in this community:

Kedgwick, Kedgwick River, Saint-Quentin

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	57.4	56.8
Arthritis	30.3	48.3
Chronic pain	37.0	40.7
Heart disease	24.8	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	28.0	31.5
Diabetes	23.6	30.4
Cancer	34.6	26.2
Depression	16.4	24.5
Asthma	27.4	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	20.1	18.3
Stroke	14.5	15.8
Dementia	2.2	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.2	3.7
Alzheimer	2.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and mai	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	26.5	25.5
Confident	61.8	55.9
Not very confident	11.7	12.2
Not at all confident	0.0	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	6)					
9.6	41.0		27.2		22.3	
_		NB	s (%)	_		
15.8	27.3		34.5		22.4	

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Brunswick Health Council			2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance			Community 1		
Extra-Mural Program	(C1, n=33	3)	Home Support Serv	rices (C1, n=1	0)	Caregiver Pro	ofile (C1, n:	=40)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care s	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	90.4	82.0	Housekeeping	-	92.9	% who live alone	32.5	33.1
Physiotherapist	25.0	25.5	Meal preparation	-	72.2	% with caregiver help	58.1	61.6
Respiratory therapist	30.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	-	49.6			
Dietitian	19.4	19.9	Bathing	-	48.1	Who helps t	he most? (%)
Social worker	18.6	16.7	Respite relief/care	-	41.6	(among those whe	o receive help	n=22)
Occupational therapist	11.9	16.2	Transferring	-	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.7	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	-	30.7	Son or daughter	23.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	3.2	3.3				Spouse or partner	45.3	33.4
Pharmacist	0.0	2.7				Other family member	9.0	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				Mother or father	9.0	8.6
How long have you been getti	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services?	[,] (%)	Friend or volunteer	9.0	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	40.0	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	-	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive s	ervices? (%)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those whe	o receive help	n=23)
Every day	18.8	5.9	Every day	-	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	27.4	22.6	A few times a week	-	52.0	Every day	48.5	58.6
Once/week or less	53.8	71.5	Once/week or less	-	13.7	A few times a week	21.9	20.5
						Once/week or less	25.1	19.8

Satisfaction with numb	per of times care	Iſ	Satisfaction with number of times care was				
received (in past 2 months)				received (in past 2 months)			
	Community	NB			Community ²	NB	
% Very Satisfied	79.7	76.1		% Very Satisfied	-	70.6	

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	-	19.8
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	17.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	-	25.6

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs. ²Sample size is too small for results to be reported. NB

11.4

28.1

27.0

17.4

Unmet Needs (n=40) All home care service recipients

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)

Community

12.4

20.6

19.8

19.8

% who said 'yes'

Could anything else have been done or provided to

help you stay at home?

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost



		Commu	ınity (%)	Zone	4 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=	=33	n=	321	n=3	,153	
itγ	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	90.7		92.1		93.0		
ilidi	it? (% yes)	(83.6	- 97.8)	(90.0	- 94.3)	(92.4 -	93.7)	
sess	Always got help when contacting the office	10	0.0	97	'.3	96	5.8	
Act	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 -	- 100)	(95.4	- 99.2)	(96.1 -	97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	89	9.4	86	5.2	90).4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(81.6	- 97.1)	(83.5	- 89.0)	(89.6 -	91.1)	
Immi	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	86	5.7	94	l.2	96	i.4	
Communication Accessibility	and respect (% always)	(78.3	- 95.1)	(92.3	96.0)	(95.9 -	96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	71	L.6	82	2.0	77	'.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(55.2	07.01	(77.0	06.41	(75.0	70.51	
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(55.3	- 87.9)	(77.9	- 86.1)	(75.8 -	- 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	100.0		80.7		80.7 81.7		
Ŭ	S from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(100 - 100)		(76.6 - 84.9)		(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	42	2.8 ^E	43	3.3	49	.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(30.6	- 55.1)	(39.3	- 47.2)	(48.0 -	50.6)	
Pati cent	Family caregivers were given information they	40.5 ^E		40.5 ^E 34		42	.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(27.3	(27.3 - 53.7)		- 38.5)	(41.4 -	44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	91	91.4 95.		5.3	96	i.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.5 -	- 98.2)	(93.7	97.0)	(96.2 - 97.1)		
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	97	7.1	93.1		94.2		
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.0	- 100)	(91.1	95.1)	(93.6 -	94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	61	L.2	75	5.5	72	.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(47.0	- 75.4)	(71.9	- 79.1)	(71.0 -	- 73.5)	
ety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes) Do you believe you were harmed because of an		3.9	81	.0	72	.3	
Saf			- 89.5)	(77.8	- 84.2)	(71.1 -		
			F	F		0.7 ^E		
	error or mistake? (% yes)					(0.5 -	0.9)	
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	Т	83.9	87.2	89.2	97.5	92.7	
	preference] (% always)		(74.8 - 93.0)	(79.4 - 95.0)	(86.6 - 91.9)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community ⁽ (%)	Zone 4 (%)	NB (%)		
	Sample Size	n=10	n=188	n=1,905		
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home		12.7 ^E	19.4		
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	-	(9.2 - 16.3)	(18.1 - 20.8)		
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you		81.7	80.1		
ces	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	-	(77.7 - 85.6)	(78.8 - 81.4)		
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home		21.7	58.6		
	support worker for help (% very easy)	-	(17.4 - 26.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)		
ion	How often home support workers explained things in		72.4	84.5		
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	-	(67.6 - 77.1)	(83.3 - 85.7)		
mur	How often home support workers treated clients		84.2	90.3		
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	-	(80.4 - 87.9)	(89.3 - 91.2)		
	How often home support workers seemed informed		54.2	67.8		
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	-				
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)		(44.9 - 63.4)	(65.5 - 70.1)		
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		60.9	75.5		
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	-	(52.1 - 69.7)	(73.4 - 77.6)		
<u>م</u> ب			16.6 ^E	27.3		
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	-	10.0	27.5		
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(12.4 - 20.9)	(25.8 - 28.9)		
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received		77.5	87.9		
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	-	(73.2 - 81.8)	(86.8 - 88.9)		
tisf	Would recommend agency or home support		64.7	74.3		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	-	(59.7 - 69.6)	(72.9 - 75.7)		
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		5.0 ^E	1.8 ^E		
Safe	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	-	(2.7 - 7.2)	(1.4 - 2.3)		
Ę	How often service was received in language of		Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		78.3 89.9	97.3 90.7		
ш	preference] (% always)		(67.2 - 89.4) (86.6 - 93.3)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)		
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services		28.1	22.1		
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	-	(23.3 - 32.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)		





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.1	3.0
	18-64	26.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	17.0	19.7
	75-84	29.6	25.6
	85+	23.5	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	26.8	35.8
Gender	Female	73.2	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	55.5	51.8
Level	High school or higher	44.5	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	64.2	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	35.8	37.9
Preferred	English	53.7	72.8
language of service	French	46.3	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.5	3.0

Included in this community:

Atholville, Campbellton, Glencoe, Glenlevit, Robinsonville, Saint-Arthur, Squaw Cap, Tide Head, Val-d'Amour

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	51.2	56.8
Arthritis	57.4	48.3
Chronic pain	46.5	40.7
Heart disease	34.5	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	39.3	31.5
Diabetes	23.5	30.4
Cancer	30.5	26.2
Depression	22.2	24.5
Asthma	28.3	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	22.2	18.3
Stroke	22.8	15.8
Dementia	6.9	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	4.6	3.7
Alzheimer	2.0	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 22.7 25.5 Confident 55.9 58.8 Not very confident 15.0 12.2 3.4 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Con	nmunity (%)	
15.9	27.2	32.1	24.7
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G				Commu Overall Res	•
Extra-Mural Program	ı (C2 <i>,</i> n=97)	Home Support Serv	ices (C2, n=5	53)	Caregiver Pro	file (C2 <i>,</i> n=:	125)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipien	its
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	86.5	82.0	Housekeeping	94.0	92.9	% who live alone	37.2	33.1
Physiotherapist	28.6	25.5	Meal preparation	69.5	72.2	% with caregiver help	64.8	61.6
Respiratory therapist	25.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing	54.9	49.6			
Dietitian	10.5	19.9	Bathing	50.6	48.1	Who helps t	the most? (%)	
Social worker	21.1	16.7	Respite relief/care	43.9	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=77)
Occupational therapist	28.4	16.2	Transferring	36.2	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.1	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	36.7	30.7	Son or daughter	37.6	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	1.2	3.3				Spouse or partner	23.7	33.4
Pharmacist	5.2	2.7				Other family member	12.3	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.2	1.7				Mother or father	12.3	8.6
How long have you been gett	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been g	etting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	10.4	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	55.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	78.8	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive s	services? (%	6)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=76)
Every day	3.3	5.9	Every day	43.5	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	16.6	22.6	A few times a week	43.6	52.0	Every day	59.4	58.6
Once/week or less	80.1	71.5	Once/week or less	12.9	13.7	A few times a week	19.7	20.5
						Once/week or less	17.7	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with numb	per of times car	e was			
received (in past 2 n	nonths)		received (in past	2 months)			eeds (n=125	
	Community	NB		Community	NB		service recipien	
% Very Satisfied	69.4	76.1	% Very Satisfied	72.7	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Community	NB
						Could anything else have		
Reported Difficulties in Re	-		Reported Difficulties in	_		been done or provided to	12.5	11.4
	Community	NB		Community	NB	help you stay at home?		1
% who reported:			% who reported:			Top 3 mentions: What co		
Limits or reductions in types of	8.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of	16.4	19.8	More hours/Access after-hr.	29.7	28.1

19.8

25.6

More home support services

More funding/high cost

18.3

Limits or reductions in duration of

service/care available

service or hours available

5.2

7.6

service/care available

Limits or reductions in duration

of service or hours available

27.0

17.4

25.2

6.4



		Community (%)	Zone 5 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=97	n=178	n=3,153	
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.5	94.4	93.0	
ibili	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(88.7 - 96.3)	(92.0 - 96.8)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
ess	Always got help when contacting the office	92.1	95.8	96.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(85.7 - 98.5)	(92.4 - 99.3)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	86.7	89.1	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(81.9 - 91.6)	(85.8 - 92.4)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	95.2	94.4	96.4	
Corr	and respect (% always)	(92.2 - 98.3)	(91.9 - 96.8)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
c	How often providers seemed informed about all	75.7	77.2	77.1	
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(68.2 - 83.1)	(71.4 - 82.9)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(08.2 - 85.1)	(71.4 - 82.9)	(73.8 - 78.3)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	83.8	79.5	81.7	
O	from more than one person]	(77.5 - 90.1)	(74.1 - 85.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	43.1	45.9	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(35.8 - 50.4)	(40.5 - 51.3)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	39.6	40.9	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(31.7 - 47.4)	(35.2 - 46.6)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.0	95.6	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(94.5 - 99.4)	(93.4 - 97.8)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
atisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	96.3	94.6	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.7 - 99.0)	(92.2 - 97.0)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	60.4	64.5	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(52.7 - 68.2)	(59.0 - 70.0)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	62.8	68.7	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(55.7 - 70.0)	(63.6 - 73.9)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
lity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	93.8 91.7	95.7 95.6	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(03.3 - 98.3) (85.2 - 98.2) (92.9 - 98.5) (92.0 - 99.1)	(91.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Commu	inity	Zone	E (0/)	NB	(0/)		
		(%)		20116	5 (/0)	ND	(/0)		
	Sample Size	n=53	3		121	n=1,	,905		
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	F		14	.2 ^E	19	.4		
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)			(9.5 -	18.9)	(18.1 -	20.8)		
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	76.8	3	75	.9	80).1		
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(68.6 - 8	85.1)	(70.4 -	81.3)	(78.8 -	81.4)		
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	43.9		47	'.3	58	3.6		
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(33.8 - 53.9)		(40.6 -	53.9)	(57.0 -	60.3)		
u	How often home support workers explained things in	83.0	C	85	5.4	84	.5		
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(75.5 - 9	0.5)	(80.8 -	90.0)	(83.3 -	85.7)		
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	89.2	2	89	9.6	90	.3		
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(83.3 - 9	5.2)	(85.7 -	93.5)	(89.3 -	91.2)		
	How often home support workers seemed informed	68.8		71.1		67	'.8		
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with						70.41		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(55.0 - 82.6)		(62.3 - 80.0)		(62.3 - 80.0) (65.5 - 70.			
ord	Clients who never received conflicting information	83.9		83.2		75	.5		
ပိ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(72.1 - 95.7)		(72.1 - 95.7) (75.5 - 90.9)		(73.4 -	77.6)		
	from more than one person]	E			, E		,		
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	20.4	4	22	2.2 ^E	27	.3		
Pat cen	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(11.8 - 29.0)		(11.8 - 29.0)		(16.5 -	- 28.0)	(25.8 -	28.9)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	89.7	89.7 89.6		87	'.9			
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(83.8 - 9.	5.6)	(85.7 -	93.6)	(86.8 -	88.9)		
tisf	Would recommend agency or home support	85.1		85.1 76.8		74	.3		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(78.2 - 92.0)		(71.3 -	82.3)	(72.9 -	75.7)		
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		I	-	1.	8 ^E		
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>					(1.4 -	2.3)		
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	86.5 8	85.6	92.8	87.5	97.3	90.7		
	preference] (% always)	(76.5 - 96.5)(76				(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)		
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	19.5	5	22	2.2 ^E	22	.1		
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(11.2 - 2	7.8)	(16.7 -	27.7)	(20.7 -	23.5)		



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	5.5	3.0
Age group	18-64	24.5	30.8
	65-74	18.2	19.7
	75-84	21.4	25.6
	85+	30.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	29.2	35.8
Gender	Female	70.8	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	67.2	51.8
Level	High school or higher	32.8	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	73.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	26.1	37.9
Preferred	English	64.4	72.8
language of service	French	35.6	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	6.1	3.0

Included in this community:

Balmoral, Belledune, Charlo, Dalhousie, Dundee, Eel River Bar First Nation, Eel River Crossing, Lorne, McLeods, Point La Nim

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	57.4	56.8
Arthritis	55.6	48.3
Chronic pain	47.0	40.7
Heart disease	37.3	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	28.9	31.5
Diabetes	23.5	30.4
Cancer	17.2	26.2
Depression	24.0	24.5
Asthma	24.4	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	17.3	18.3
Stroke	15.4	15.8
Dementia	8.2	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.6	3.7
Alzheimer	4.9	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition				
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)		
Very confident	25.3	25.5		
Confident	58.6	55.9		
Not very confident	10.0	12.2		
Not at all confident	6.1	6.4		

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Community (%)					
17.2	21.5	34.6	26.7			
_		NB (%)				
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4			

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Brunsy Health Cour			2012 Home Care Surv				Commu	-
Health Cou	ncii		Home Care at a Glance			Overall Respondents		
Extra-Mural Program	(C3, n=81	.)	Home Support Serv	ices (C3, n=6	58)	Caregiver Pro	ofile (C3 <i>,</i> n=	120)
Service Received (in past 2 mor	Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipier	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	84.2	82.0	Housekeeping	98.4	92.9	% who live alone	31.1	33.1
Physiotherapist	34.3	25.5	Meal preparation	81.3	72.2	% with caregiver help	52.8	61.6
Respiratory therapist	14.9	20.1	Grooming or dressing	53.2	49.6			
Dietitian	14.3	19.9	Bathing	46.9	48.1	Who helps t	the most? (%))
Social worker	20.1	16.7	Respite relief/care	48.6	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=62)
Occupational therapist	27.1	16.2	Transferring	37.2	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	3.9	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	43.4	30.7	Son or daughter	45.4	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	5.5	3.3				Spouse or partner	28.8	33.4
Pharmacist	2.5	2.7				Other family member	7.7	12.1
Doctor/Physician	4.2	1.7				Mother or father	13.7	8.6
How long have you been getting	ng services?	(%)	How long have you been a	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	2.9	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	55.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	77.8	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive se	ervices? (9	%)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=62)
Every day	1.2	5.9	Every day	42.5	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	18.1	22.6	A few times a week	52.0	52.0	Every day	59.0	58.6
Once/week or less	80.7	71.5	Once/week or less	5.6	13.7	A few times a week	15.6	20.5
						Once/week or less	24.0	19.8
Satisfaction with number of	of times car	e was	Satisfaction with num	ber of times car	e was			
received (in past 2 m	-		received (in past	2 months)			eeds (n=120	
	Community	NB		Community	NB	All home care	service recipier	nts
% Very Satisfied	80.3	76.1	% Very Satisfied	68.6	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Community	NB
						Could anything else have		

NB

19.8

25.6

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	26.7
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	10.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	26.5

11.4

28.1

27.0

17.4

14.2

45.4

35.9

19.2

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)

been done or provided to

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost

help you stay at home?

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Community 3

		Commu	nity (%)	Zone	5 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=	81	n=:	178	n=3	,153	
ty	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	96	.7	94	.4	93	3.0	
ilidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(93.9 -	99.5)	(92.0 -	96.8)	(92.4 -	- 93.7)	
ssa	Always got help when contacting the office	100.0		95	.8	96	5.8	
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 -	100)	(92.4 ·	99.3)	(96.1 -	- 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	92.0		89).1	90).4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(87.8 - 96.3)		(85.8 -	92.4)	(89.6 -	- 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	93	.3	94	.4	96	5.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(89.4 -	97.2)	(91.9 -	96.8)	(95.9	- 96.8)	
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	79	.6	77	'.2	77	7.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(70.7 -	88.6)	(71.4 -	82.9)	(75.8)	- 78.5)	
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information	, ,						
oor	from different providers [Among clients with services	72.2		72.2 79.5		.5	81	L.7
0	from more than one person]	(62.2 - 82.1)		(74.1 - 85.0)		(80.5 -	- 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.2		49.2 45.9		49.3		
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(41.2 -	(41.2 - 57.2) (40.5 - 51.3)		51.3)	(48.0 - 50.6)		
Pati cent	Family caregivers were given information they	42	42.3).9	42	2.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(34.0 - 50.6)		(35.2 -	46.6)	(41.4	- 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	93.9		95	.6	96	5.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(90.2 -	(90.2 - 97.7) (93.4 -		(93.4 - 97.8) (96.2 - 92		- 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	92.5		92.5 94.6		94	1.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(88.5 -	(88.5 - 96.6)		97.0)	(93.6 - 94.8)		
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	69.1		64.5		72	2.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(61.4 - 76.8)		(59.0 -	70.0)	(71.0	- 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	76.4		68	8.7	72	2.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(69.3 - 83.5)		(69.3 - 83.5) (63.6 - 7			- 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	-		:	0	.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)						- 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.1	100.0	95.7	95.6	97.5	92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(95.4 - 100)	(100 - 100)	(92.9 - 98.5)	(92.0 - 99.1)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Communit	y Zone 5 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	(%) n=68	n=121	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.1 ^E	14.2 ^E	19.4	
≥	care services before starting (% very easy)	(12.0 - 26.3)	(9.5 - 18.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
ibili	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	75.1	75.9	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(67.8 - 82.4)	(70.4 - 81.3)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Act	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	49.9	47.3	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(41.1 - 58.7)	(40.6 - 53.9)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	87.2	85.4	84.5	
Communication	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(81.5 - 92.9)	(80.8 - 90.0)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
nu	How often home support workers treated clients	89.9	89.6	90.3	
Corr	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(84.7 - 95.0)	(85.7 - 93.5)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	72.9	71.1	67.8	
Itior	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(61.4 - 84.5)	(62.3 - 80.0)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)				
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	82.7	83.2	75.5	
0	from more than one person]	(72.5 - 92.9) (75.5 - 90.9		(73.4 - 77.6)	
nt- ed	Family caregivers were given information they	23.6 ^E 22.2 ^E		27.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)				
čŏ		(15.9 - 31.2) (16.5 - 28.0)		(25.8 - 28.9)	
ion	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	89.6 89.6		87.9	
ifact	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.4 - 94.8)	(85.7 - 93.6)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend agency or home support worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	70.2 (62.2 - 78.1)	76.8	74.3	
		(62.2 - 78.1) F	(71.3 - 82.3) F	(72.9 - 75.7) 1.8 ^E	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error or mistake? (% yes)				
			- Frank Frank	(1.4 - 2.3)	
Equity	How often service was received in language of preference [Among those who specified a	Eng Fr. 96.1 90.1	<i>Eng Fr.</i> 1 92.8 87.5	Eng Fr. 97.3 90.7	
Eq	preference] (% always)		L 92.8 87.5 9.3) (88.4 - 97.2) (80.9 - 94.0		
e	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	24.0 ^E	22.2 ^E	22.1	
Barrier	too high? (% yes)		(16.7 - 27.7)	(20.7 - 23.5)	
-	too high? (% yes) (16.7 - 31.4)		(10.7 - 27.7)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.5	3.0
	18-64	35.4	30.8
Age group	65-74	17.9	19.7
	75-84	20.6	25.6
	85+	24.6	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	35.5	35.8
Gender	Female	64.5	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	65.1	51.8
Level	High school or higher	34.9	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	68.5	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	31.5	37.9
Preferred	English	36.9	72.8
language of service	French	63.1	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.6	3.0

Included in this community:

Allardville, Bathurst, Beresford, Big River, Dunlop, Laplante, Madran, Nicholas Denys, Nigadoo, North Tetagouche, Pabineau First Nation, Petit-Rocher, Pointe-Verte, Robertville, Sainte-Anne, Sainte-Thérèse Sud, Saint-Laurent, Saint-Sauveur, Salmon Beach, South Tetagouche, Tremblay

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	62.4	56.8
Arthritis	48.6	48.3
Chronic pain	43.9	40.7
Heart disease	27.7	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	39.2	31.5
Diabetes	30.3	30.4
Cancer	22.1	26.2
Depression	26.4	24.5
Asthma	25.3	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	16.6	18.3
Stroke	16.2	15.8
Dementia	6.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.9	3.7
Alzheimer	4.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 25.0 25.5 Confident 55.9 57.0 Not very confident 11.1 12.2 6.8 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

Community (%)				
10.9	28.1	40.2	20.8	
_		NB (%)		
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4	

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

Home Care at a Glance

Community 4

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C4, n=108)			Home Support Services (C4, n=111)			
Service Received (in past 2 months)		(%)	Service Received (in past 2 months)		(%)	
	Community	NB		Community	NE	
Nurse	89.0	82.0	Housekeeping	89.8	92.	
Physiotherapist	29.8	25.5	Meal preparation	78.3	72.	
Respiratory therapist	22.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	40.9	49.	
Dietitian	20.3	19.9	Bathing	37.9	48.	
Social worker	20.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	40.5	41.	
Occupational therapist	11.4	16.2	Transferring	40.0	34.	
Speech language pathologist	4.6	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	35.1	30.	
Rehabilitation support personn	e 0.9	3.3				
Pharmacist	0.8	2.7				
Doctor/Physician	3.4	1.7				
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	
Services for at least 1 year	45.2	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	76.0	73.	
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	vive services? (%	6)	
Every day	7.3	5.9	Every day	31.0	34.	
A few times a week	31.1	22.6	A few times a week	57.4	52.	
Once/week or less	61.6	71.5	Once/week or less	11.6	13.	

Meal preparation	78.3	72.2
Grooming or dressing	40.9	49.6
Bathing	37.9	48.1
Respite relief/care	40.5	41.6
Fransferring	40.0	34.8
eeding or nutrition care	35.1	30.7
How long have you been get	ting services	? (%)
rvices for at least 1 year	76.0	73.0
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)
Every day	31.0	34.3
A few times a week	57.4	52.0
Dnce/week or less	11.6	13.7

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

66.4

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

(%)

NB

92.9

Satisfaction with number of times care was				
received (in past 2 months)				
	Community	NB		
% Very Satisfied 73.5 76.1				

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
Community		NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	4.5	7.6	% who reported: Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	10.7
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	12.8

% Very Satisfied

All home care service recipients				
	Community	NB		
% who live alone	30.3	33.1		
% with caregiver help	56.2	61.6		
Who helps	the most?(%)		
(among those whe	o receive help	n=100)		
	Community	NB		
Son or daughter	40.7	36.9		
Spouse or partner	32.9	33.4		
Other family member	8.9	12.1		
Mother or father	9.3	8.6		
Friend or volunteer	6.9	6.9		
How often do	you get help?	(%)		
(among those whe	o receive help	n=103)		
	Community	NB		
Every day	64.1	58.6		
A few times a week	17.0	20.5		
 Once/week or less	18.0	19.8		

Caregiver Profile (C4, n=190)

Unmet Needs (n=190)				
All home care	service recipie	nts		
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB		
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	8.2	11.4		
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)		
More hours/Access after-hr.	18.8	28.1		
More home support services	12.1	27.0		
More funding/high cost	34.9	17.4		



		Community (%)	Zone 6 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=108	n=300	n=3,153
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93.7	94.3	93.0
lidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(90.4 - 97.0)	(92.5 - 96.2)	(92.4 - 93.7)
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	97.9	96.0	96.8
Aci	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(95.1 - 100)	(93.7 - 98.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	88.4	87.8	90.4
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(84.1 - 92.7)	(85.2 - 90.5)	(89.6 - 91.1)
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.3	94.9	96.4
Con	and respect (% always)	(91.1 - 97.4)	(93.1 - 96.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)
ι	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.3	82.7	77.1
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(70.3 - 84.2)	(78.7 - 86.6)	(75.8 - 78.5)
rdin	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information			
000	from different providers [Among clients with services	76.7	82.0	81.7
	from more than one person]	(69.7 - 83.8)	(77.9 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	44.1	40.7	49.3
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(37.3 - 50.8)	(36.6 - 44.7)	(48.0 - 50.6)
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	39.0	39.5	42.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(31.6 - 46.4)	(35.2 - 43.8)	(41.4 - 44.2)
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.1	98.1	96.7
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 99.9)	(96.9 - 99.2)	(96.2 - 97.1)
atisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	95.4	93.4	94.2
š	(% definitely yes)	(92.5 - 98.2)	(91.4 - 95.4)	(93.6 - 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	81.9	79.9	72.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(76.1 - 87.6)	(76.4 - 83.5)	(71.0 - 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	80.4	87.2	72.3
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(74.9 - 85.9)	(84.4 - 90.0)	(71.1 - 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)
lity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.7 92.4 (94.5 - 100) (87.5 - 97.3)	96.7 94.4 (93.4 - 100) (92.3 - 96.5)	97.5 92.7
	preference] (% always)	(34.5 - 100) (87.5 - 97.3)	(93.4 - 100) (92.3 - 96.5)	(91.4 - 94.0)



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community (%)	Zone 6 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=111	n=224	n=1,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	12.9 ^E	14.4	19.4
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(8.3 - 17.5)	(11.1 - 17.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	75.2	77.0	80.1
ces	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(69.4 - 80.9)	(73.1 - 81.0)	(78.8 - 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	34.7	33.1	58.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(28.3 - 41.2)	(28.6 - 37.6)	(57.0 - 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	79.6	82.2	84.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(74.2 - 85.0)	(78.6 - 85.9)	(83.3 - 85.7)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	90.8	92.4	90.3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(86.9 - 94.6)	(89.9 - 94.9)	(89.3 - 91.2)
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	76.5	78.4	67.8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(67.4 .05.0)		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(67.1 - 85.9)	(72.0 - 84.8)	(65.5 - 70.1)
oore	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	89.4	80.7	75.5
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(82.5 - 96.3)	(74.5 - 86.9)	(73.4 - 77.6)
d t		21.4 ^E	23.0	27.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	21.4	23.0	27.5
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(15.4 - 27.3)	(18.8 - 27.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	92.9	93.9	87.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(89.4 - 96.3)	(91.6 - 96.1)	(86.8 - 88.9)
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	75.5	79.4	74.3
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(69.8 - 81.3)	(75.6 - 83.2)	(72.9 - 75.7)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.8	F	1.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(0 - 2.0)		(1.4 - 2.3)
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	96.1 93.2	94.7 96.4	97.3 90.7
	preference] (% always))(89.8 - 99.6)(94.4 - 98.4)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	18.4 ^E	16.8	22.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(13.2 - 23.6)	(13.2 - 20.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.2	3.0
	18-64	30.6	30.8
Age group	65-74	16.5	19.7
	75-84	22.8	25.6
	85+	27.9	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	27.9	35.8
Gender	Female	72.1	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	67.6	51.8
Level	High school or higher	32.4	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	72.1	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	27.9	37.9
Preferred	English	12.9	72.8
language of service	French	87.1	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.2	3.0

Included in this community:

Anse-Bleue, Bas-Caraquet, Bertrand, Canobie, Caraquet, Clifton, Grande-Anse, Janeville, Maisonnette, New Bandon, Notre-Dame-des-Érables, Paquetville, Pokeshaw, Rang-Saint-Georges, Saint-Léolin, Saint-Simon, Stonehaven

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	58.0	56.8
Arthritis	39.8	48.3
Chronic pain	38.6	40.7
Heart disease	26.5	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	30.3	31.5
Diabetes	28.7	30.4
Cancer	16.9	26.2
Depression	26.2	24.5
Asthma	22.3	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	10.5	18.3
Stroke	9.6	15.8
Dementia	5.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.4	3.7
Alzheimer	0.0	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition						
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)				
Very confident	22.7	25.5				
Confident	62.8	55.9				
Not very confident	10.6	12.2				
Not at all confident	3.9	6.4				

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

v Brunswick Ith Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

92.9 72.2

49.6

48.1 41.6

34.8

30.7

73.0

34.3

52.0

13.7

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Once/week or less

Community

82.4

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community 5

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	า (C5 <i>,</i> n=65)	Home Support Services (C5, n=38)		
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	2 months)	(%)
	Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	85.8	82.0	Housekeeping	89.0	92.9
Physiotherapist	31.1	25.5	Meal preparation	92.7	72.2
Respiratory therapist	20.7	20.1	Grooming or dressing	60.3	49.6
Dietitian	11.7	19.9	Bathing	59.0	48.1
Social worker	20.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	41.6	41.6
Occupational therapist	16.5	16.2	Transferring	35.0	34.8
Speech language pathologist	1.8	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	38.3	30.7
Rehabilitation support personne	13.9	3.3			
Pharmacist	1.7	2.7			
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7			
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)
Services for at least 1 year	44.3	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	70.0	73.0
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you receive services? (%)		
Every day	6.0	5.9	Every day	32.5	34.3
A few times a week	28.9	22.6	A few times a week	52.6	52.0
Once/week or less	65.1	71.5	Once/week or less	14.9	13.7

Satisfaction with nur	mber of times care	was	Satisfaction
received (in pa	receiv		
	Community	NB	
% Very Satisfied	77.4	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in Receiving C		
	Community	NB	Commu		
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.1	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	9.0	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	23.8	

Caregiver Profile (C5, n=87)					
All home care service recipients					
	Community	NB			
% who live alone	23.7	33.1			
% with caregiver help	70.7	61.6			
Who helps	the most?(%)			
(among those wh	o receive help	n=56)			
	Community	NB			
Son or daughter	40.7	36.9			
Spouse or partner	31.9	33.4			
Other family member	14.3	12.1			
Mother or father	6.5	8.6			
Friend or volunteer	6.6	6.9			
How often do	you get help?	(%)			
(among those who receive help n=58)					
	Community	NB			
Every day	52.9	58.6			
A few times a week	33.5	20.5			

Unmet N	eeds (n=87)
All home care	service recipie	nts
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	16.7	11.4
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)
More hours/Access after-hr.	32.2	28.1
More home support services	32.3	27.0
More funding/high cost	14.3	17.4

12.2

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

19.8



		Community (%)	Zone 6 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=65	n=3,153		
Accessibility	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it2 (%) used	90.3	94.3	93.0	
it? (% yes)		(85.1 - 95.4)	(92.5 - 96.2)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
cce	Always got help when contacting the office	89.7	96.0	96.8	
٩u	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(81.5 - 97.9)	(93.7 - 98.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
atio	How often providers explained things in a way that	89.0	87.8	90.4	
Communication	was easy to understand (% always)	(83.4 - 94.6)	(85.2 - 90.5)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
ามมเ	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	100.0	94.9	96.4	
Cor	and respect (% always)	(100 - 100)	(93.1 - 96.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
_	How often providers seemed informed about all	87.4	82.7	77.1	
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(70.0.04.0)			
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(79.9 - 94.8)	(78.7 - 86.6)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
ord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	85.3	82.0	81.7	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(77.2 - 93.3)	(77.9 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	45.2	40.7	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(36.6 - 53.8)	(36.6 - 44.7)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	48.7 39.5		42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(39.1 - 58.2)	(35.2 - 43.8)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.2	98.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(94.2 - 100)	(96.9 - 99.2)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	95.4	93.4	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(91.8 - 99.1) (91.4 - 95.4)		(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	home 84.9 79.9 72		72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(78.3 - 91.5) (76.4 - 83.5) (71.0 - 73.5)		(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	nts about all the medicines 86.3 87.2		72.3	
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(79.8 - 92.7)	(84.4 - 90.0)	, , ,	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0 F		0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equit)	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0 96.2	96.7 94.4	97.5 92.7	
- W	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100) (92.6 - 99.8)	(93.4 - 100) (92.3 - 96.5	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

			Community (%)		6 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=3	38 .5 ^E	n=224		n=1,	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home			14.4		19).4
it√	∠ care services before starting (% very easy)		30.9)	(11.1 - 17.8)		(18.1 -	20.8)
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	nt you 73.7		77	.0	80).1
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(63.8 -		(73.1 -	81.0)	(78.8 -	81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	25	.2 ^E	33	.1	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(15.2 -	35.2)	(28.6 -	37.6)	(57.0 -	60.3)
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	82	.0	82	.2	84	l.5
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(73.3 -	90.7)	(78.6 -	85.9)	(83.3 -	85.7)
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	94	.4	92	.4	90).3
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.2 -	99.6)	(89.9 -	94.9)	(89.3 -	91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	53	.5 ^E	· · · · ·		67	' .8
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with		-	_		-	_
linat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(34.1 -	72.9)	(72.0 - 84.8)		(65.5 - 70.1)	
ord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75	75.6 80.7		.7	75.5	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(58.9 -	92.3)	92.3) (74.5 - 86.9) (2		(73.4 -	77.6)
م ل	from more than one person]				0	27	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	26.3		23	.0	27	.3
Pat	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(15.9 - 36.7)		(18.8 -	27.2)	(25.8 -	28.9)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	92.6		93.9		87	' .9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.6 -	98.5)	(91.6 -	96.1)	(86.8 -	88.9)
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	86	.2	79.4		74	.3
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes) (78.3 - 94.1)		(75.6 -	83.2)	(72.9 -	75.7)
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.	0	F	F		.8 ^E
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(1.4 -	2.3)		
۲.	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0	100.0	94.7	96.4	97.3	90.7
ũ	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100)	(100 - 100)	(89.8 - 99.6)	(94.4 - 98.4)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
ier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	F		16	.8	22	2.1
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>			(13.2 -	20.3)	(20.7 -	23.5)

New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Community 6 Overall Respondents (n=81)



Demo	Demographic profile		NB (%)
	Under 18	3.5	3.0
	18-64	30.2	30.8
Age group	65-74	12.3	19.7
	75-84	22.9	25.6
	85+	31.1	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	37.4	35.8
Gender	Female	62.6	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	76.7	51.8
Level	High school or higher	23.3	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	71.6	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	28.4	37.9
Preferred	English	7.6	72.8
language of service	French	92.4	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	7.3	3.0

Included in this community:

Cap-Bateau, Chiasson Office, Evangeline, Haut-Lamèque, Haut-Shippagan, Inkerman, Inkerman Ferry, Lamèque, Landry Office, Le Goulet, Maltempec, Miscou, Petite-Lamèque, Petite-Rivière-de l'Île, Petit-Shippagan, Pigeon Hill, Pointe-Alexandre, Pointe-Canot, Pokemouche, Sainte Rose, Sainte-Cécile, Sainte-Marie-Saint-Raphaël, Savoie Landing, Shippagan, Six Roads

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	51.4	56.8
Arthritis	42.1	48.3
Chronic pain	30.3	40.7
Heart disease	25.4	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.0	31.5
Diabetes	28.8	30.4
Cancer	24.5	26.2
Depression	21.5	24.5
Asthma	25.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	14.5	18.3
Stroke	16.1	15.8
Dementia	10.3	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.5	3.7
Alzheimer	5.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 19.0 25.5 Confident 55.9 62.8 Not very confident 8.2 12.2 Not at all confident 10.0 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

_	Con	nmunity (%)	
15.7	28.4	29.9	25.9
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou

Home Care at a Glance

92.9

72.2

49.6

48.1

41.6

34.8

30.7

73.0

34.3

52.0

13.7

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Community

75.2

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community 6

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	n (C6 <i>,</i> n=57	Home Support Services (C6, n=40)				
Service Received (in past 2 months)			Service Received (in past 2	2 months)	(%)	
	Community	NB		Community	NB	
Nurse	86.2	82.0	Housekeeping	82.2	92.9	
Physiotherapist	26.9	25.5	Meal preparation	80.7	72.2	
Respiratory therapist	21.7	20.1	Grooming or dressing	49.5	49.6	
Dietitian	28.4	19.9	Bathing	53.0	48.1	
Social worker	34.3	16.7	Respite relief/care	41.7	41.6	
Occupational therapist	23.9	16.2	Transferring	39.8	34.8	
Speech language pathologist	1.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	43.7	30.7	
Rehabilitation support personn	e 1.6	3.3				
Pharmacist	0.0	2.7				
Doctor/Physician	4.0	1.7				
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	
Services for at least 1 year	49.6	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	78.3	73.0	
How often do you receive	services? (9	%)	How often do you rece	vive services? (%	6)	
Every day	10.0	5.9	Every day	34.7	34.3	
A few times a week	20.0	22.6	A few times a week	47.0	52.0	
Once/week or less	70.0	71.5	Once/week or less	18.3	13.7	

Caregiver Profile (C6, n=81)									
All home care service recipients									
	Community	NB							
% who live alone	27.8	33.1							
% with caregiver help	62.3	61.6							
Who helps	the most?(%)							
(among those who receive help n=50)									
	Community	NB							
Son or daughter	40.6	36.9							
Spouse or partner	20.3	33.4							
Other family member	20.7	12.1							
Mother or father	13.0	8.6							
Friend or volunteer	5.4	6.9							
How often do	you get help?	(%)							
(among those wh	o receive help	n=50)							
	Community	NB							
Every day	54.8	58.6							
A few times a week	19.2	20.5							
 Once/week or less	21.7	19.8							

Caragivar Profile (C6 n-81)

Unmet Needs (n=81)							
All home care service recipients							
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB					
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	14.3	11.4					
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been o	done? ¹ (%)					
More hours/Access after-hr.	37.0	28.1					
More home support services	26.6	27.0					
More funding/high cost	18.8	17.4					

Satisfaction with number of times care was						
received (in past 2 months)						
Community NB						
% Very Satisfied 81.2 76.1						

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Car			
	Community		Community		
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	7.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	19.1	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	5.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	29.6	

% Very Satisfied

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



		Community (%)	Zone 6 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=57	n=300	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	96.9	94.3	93.0	
lidi	it? (% yes)	(93.6 - 100)	(92.5 - 96.2)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	92.3	96.0	96.8	
Aci	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(85.1 - 99.5)	(93.7 - 98.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	86.8	87.8	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(80.4 - 93.2)	(85.2 - 90.5)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.8	94.9	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(90.7 - 98.9)	(93.1 - 96.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
_	How often providers seemed informed about all	93.1	82.7	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients				
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(86.4 - 99.8)	(78.7 - 86.6)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	88.6	82.0	81.7	
ŭ	8 from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(77.9 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	41.3	40.7	49.3	
ent- red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(31.9 - 50.7)	(36.6 - 44.7)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	38.3 ^E	39.5	42.8	
шо	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(28.5 - 48.0)	(35.2 - 43.8)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.4	98.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.0 - 100)	(96.9 - 99.2)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	87.4	93.4	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(81.2 - 93.6)	(91.4 - 95.4)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	77.3	79.9	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(68.8 - 85.7)	(76.4 - 83.5)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	90.6	87.2	72.3	
Sai	they were taking (% yes)	(85.1 - 96.1)	(84.4 - 90.0)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	83.2 ^E 95.5	96.7 94.4	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(59.9 - 100) (91.5 - 99.6)	(93.4 - 100) (92.3 - 96.5)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Community (%)	Zone 6 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=40	n=224	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	F	14.4	19.4	
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)		(11.1 - 17.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	70.9	77.0	80.1	
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(60.5 - 81.2)	(73.1 - 81.0)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	40.6 ^E	33.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(29.3 - 51.9)	(28.6 - 37.6)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	82.6	82.2	84.5	
iicati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(73.9 - 91.3)	(78.6 - 85.9)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	94.9	92.4	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(90.0 - 99.7)	(89.9 - 94.9)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	54.2 ^E	78.4	67.8	
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with		_		
inat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(34.1 - 74.3)	(72.0 - 84.8)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
ord	Clients who never received conflicting information	67.3 ^E	80.7	75.5	
ပိ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(47.5 - 87.1)	(74.5 - 86.9)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
	from more than one person]	30.8 ^E			
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	30.8	23.0	27.3	
Pat cen	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(20.2 - 41.5)	(18.8 - 27.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	97.4	93.9	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(93.8 - 100)	(91.6 - 96.1)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	82.6	79.4	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(74.0 - 91.2)	(75.6 - 83.2)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	F	1.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 - 2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	73.3 ^E 97.0	94.7 96.4	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)		(89.8 - 99.6) (94.4 - 98.4)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	F	16.8	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>		(13.2 - 20.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Included in this community:

exile Inkernan Allardville Saint-Isidore Inkernan Allardville Inkernan

Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.2	3.0
	18-64	31.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	13.6	19.7
	75-84	29.8	25.6
	85+	22.5	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	29.8	35.8
	Female	70.2	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	67.6	51.8
Level	High school or higher	32.4	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	69.0	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	31.0	37.9
Preferred	English	3.4	72.8
language of service	French	96.6	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	0.0	3.0

Canton des Basques, Haut-Sheila, Leech, Losier Settlement, Petit Tracadie, Pointe à Tom, Pointe des Robichaud, Pont Lafrance, Pont Landry, Rivière à la Truite, Saint-Irénée, Saint-Isidore, Saint-Pons, Saumarez, Tracadie Beach, Tracadie-Sheila, Val-Comeau

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	60.3	56.8
Arthritis	41.0	48.3
Chronic pain	40.7	40.7
Heart disease	41.3	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	29.5	31.5
Diabetes	31.0	30.4
Cancer	24.0	26.2
Depression	23.4	24.5
Asthma	27.8	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	11.4	18.3
Stroke	10.5	15.8
Dementia	11.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	4.6	3.7
Alzheimer	3.7	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 25.2 25.5 Confident 55.9 65.0 Not very confident 5.4 12.2 Not at all confident 4.4 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Community (%)									
12.2	29.8	43.2	14.7							
		NB (%)								
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4							

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

Brunswick h Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

65.3

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Community 7

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C7, n=70)			Home Support Services (C7, n=35)			Caregiver Profile (C7, n=87)		
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients		
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	90.5	82.0	Housekeeping	86.3	92.9	% who live alone	26.3	33.1
Physiotherapist	24.3	25.5	Meal preparation	85.6	72.2	% with caregiver help	71.4	61.6
Respiratory therapist	10.9	20.1	Grooming or dressing	63.1	49.6			
Dietitian	33.9	19.9	Bathing	65.6	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%))
Social worker	31.6	16.7	Respite relief/care	36.4	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help) n=60)
Occupational therapist	17.1	16.2	Transferring	38.7	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	1.7	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	49.6	30.7	Son or daughter	35.7	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	5.8	3.3				Spouse or partner	23.7	33.4
Pharmacist	1.6	2.7				Other family member	14.7	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.3	1.7				Mother or father	11.2	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	11.0	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	46.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	70.5	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help) n=60)
Every day	7.3	5.9	Every day	38.3	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	32.4	22.6	A few times a week	55.6	52.0	Every day	75.5	58.6
Once/week or less	60.4	71.5	Once/week or less	6.1	13.7	A few times a week	17.6	20.5
								1

Satisfaction with number	Satisfaction		
received (in past 2	receiv		
	Community	NB	
% Very Satisfied	76.4	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	-	Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	4.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	25.5
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	31.4

How often do you get help? (%)						
(among those who receive help n=60)						
	Community	NB				
Every day	75.5	58.6				
A few times a week	17.6	20.5				
Once/week or less	5.3	19.8				

Unmet Needs (n=87)							
All home care service recipients							
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB					
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	14.0	11.4					
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)					
More hours/Access after-hr.	24.9	28.1					
More home support services	41.5	27.0					
More funding/high cost	18.2	17.4					

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



		Commu	inity (%)	Zone	6 (%)	NB	(%)		
	Sample Size	n=70		n=300		n=3,153			
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	97	7.1	94	.3	93.0			
ibili	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(94.3	- 99.9)	(92.5 ·	96.2)	(92.4	- 93.7)		
ess	Always got help when contacting the office	10	0.0	96	5.0	96	5.8		
Acc	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100	- 100)	(93.7 -	98.2)	(96.1	- 97.5)		
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	86	5.7	87	'.8	90).4		
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(81.0	- 92.4)	(85.2 -	90.5)	(89.6	- 91.1)		
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	91	4	94	.9	96	5.4		
Com	and respect (% always)	(86.7	- 96.1)	(93.1 -	96.7)	(95.9	- 96.8)		
-	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	80).9	82	7	77	7.1		
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(72 4	891)	(78.7.	86 6)	(75.8)	- 78.5)		
dine	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(72.4 - 89.4)		(78.7 - 86.6)		(75.0	/0.5/		
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information 83.2		3.2	82	.0	81	l.7		
8 from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(75.1 - 91.4)		(77.9 - 86.0)		(80.5 - 83.0)			
Staff gave information needed for clients to take		30.6 ^E		40).7	49.3			
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(22.7 - 38.5)		(36.6 ·	44.7)	(48.0	- 50.6)		
Pati cent	Family caregivers were given information they	33	33.2 ^E 39		.5	42	2.8		
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(24.8 - 41.6)		(24.8 - 41.6) (35.2 - 43.8)		(41.4	- 44.2)		
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98	98.7 98.1		96	5.7			
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.7	- 100)	(96.9 -	99.2)	(96.2 - 97.1)			
tisfa	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93	8.6	93	.4	94	1.2		
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(89.6	(89.6 - 97.7) (91		95.4)	(93.6	- 94.8)		
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	73.9		73.9		79.9		72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(65.5 - 82.3)		(76.4 - 83.5)		(71.0 - 73.5)			
Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes)		95.5		87.2		72.3			
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(92.0 - 99.1)		(84.4 - 90.0)		(71.1 - 73.4)			
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0		0.0 F		=	0.7 ^E		
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0	- 0)			(0.5	- 0.9)		
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	т	93.8	96.7	94.4	97.5	92.7		
	preference] (% always)		(89.6 - 98.0)	(93.4 - 100)	(92.3 - 96.5)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)		



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Comn (۶		Zone	6 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=35		n=224		n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	F		14.4		19	.4
Ϊţγ	care services before starting (% very easy)			(11.1 -	17.8)	(18.1 -	20.8)
sibil	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	93.5		77.0		80).1
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)			(73.1 -	81.0)	(78.8 -	· 81.4)
β	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	28	.1 ^E	33	.1	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(17.3 -	38.9)	(28.6 -	37.6)	(57.0 -	· 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	91	.4	82	.2	84	.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(84.4 -	98.5)	(78.6 -	85.9)	(83.3 -	85.7)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	92	.3	92	.4	90).3
Con	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(86.0 -	98.6)	(89.9 -	94.9)	(89.3 -	91.2)
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	87	'.9	78.4		78.4 67.8	
tior	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(76.4 - 99.3)		(72.0 - 84.8)		(65.5 - 70.1)	
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)			. ,			
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	02.0		80.7		75.5	
0	from more than one person]			(74.5 - 86.9)		(73.4 -	- 77.6)
nt- ed	Family caregivers were given information they	F 23.0		27	'.3		
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)			(25.0			
äö				(18.8 - 27.2)		(25.8 - 28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	94	94.6 93.9		87	'.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(89.0	-	(91.6 -	-	(86.8 -	
atis	Would recommend agency or home support).7	79		74	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(71.3 - 90.0) ((75.6 -		(72.9 -	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0			-	1	.8 ^E
Sa	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(0 - 0)				(1.4 -	2.3)
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	Т	100.0	94.7	96.4	97.3	90.7
	preference] (% always)			(89.8 - 99.6)			
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services			16	.8	22	.1
Bai	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>			(13.2 -	20.3)	(20.7 -	- 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.3	3.0
	18-64	26.0	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.6	19.7
	75-84	36.5	25.6
	85+	16.6	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	29.3	35.8
Gender	Female	70.7	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	75.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	24.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	85.3	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	14.7	37.9
Preferred	English	22.7	72.8
language of service	French	77.3	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.5	3.0

Included in this community:

Barryville, Brantville, Burnt Church First Nation, Caissie Road, Fairisle, Haut-Rivière-du-Portage, Lagacéville, Lavillette, Neguac, New Jersey, Oak Point, Rivière-du-Portage, Tabusintac, Village-Saint-Laurent

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	71.5	56.8
Arthritis	42.5	48.3
Chronic pain	37.7	40.7
Heart disease	28.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	26.4	31.5
Diabetes	32.1	30.4
Cancer	28.7	26.2
Depression	20.7	24.5
Asthma	21.5	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	12.0	18.3
Stroke	6.9	15.8
Dementia	10.4	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.6	3.7
Alzheimer	4.1	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB health condition from table above] (%) (%) Very confident 19.3 25.5 Confident 55.9 67.8 Not very confident 11.5 12.2 1.3 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New l Healt

runswick Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

92.9 72.2

49.6

48.1

41.6

34.8

30.7

73.0

34.3

52.0

13.7

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Community

62.3

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community 8

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C8, n=39)			Home Support Serv	vices (C8, n=4	19)
Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	۲ months)	(%)
	Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	80.9	82.0	Housekeeping	93.7	92.9
Physiotherapist	26.0	25.5	Meal preparation	90.0	72.2
Respiratory therapist	3.0	20.1	Grooming or dressing	66.9	49.6
Dietitian	30.1	19.9	Bathing	62.2	48.1
Social worker	20.4	16.7	Respite relief/care	57.6	41.6
Occupational therapist	15.5	16.2	Transferring	58.1	34.8
Speech language pathologist	0.0	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	49.4	30.7
Rehabilitation support personr	i€ 0.0	3.3			
Pharmacist	2.9	2.7			
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7			
How long have you been ge	tting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)
Services for at least 1 year	38.1	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	85.5	73.0
How often do you receive	e services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	eive services? (%	6)
Every day	5.6	5.9	Every day	52.7	34.3
A few times a week	19.6	22.6	A few times a week	45.1	52.0
Once/week or less	74.8	71.5	Once/week or less	2.2	13.7

Satisfaction with nur received (in pas	Satisfaction receiv		
	Community	NB	
Very Satisfied	79.1	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	5.1	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	18.1
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	38.9

earegiver i i		, , ,						
All home care service recipients								
	Community							
% who live alone	27.8	33.1						
% with caregiver help	63.8	61.6						
Who helps the most? (%)								
(among those who receive help n=47)								
	Community							
Son or daughter	37.8	36.9						
Spouse or partner	18.3	33.4						
Other family member	21.3	12.1						
Mother or father	14.7	8.6						
Friend or volunteer	5.8	6.9						
How often do	How often do you get help? (%)							
(among those who receive help n=47)								
	Community	NB						
Every day	64.9	58.6						
A few times a week	26.0	20.5						
Once/week or less	9.2	19.8						

Caregiver Profile (C8, n=75)

Unmet Needs (n=75)									
All home care service recipients									
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB							
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	13.5	11.4							
Top 3 mentions: What could have been done? ¹ (%)									
More hours/Access after-hr.	69.9	28.1							
More home support services	0.0	27.0							
More funding/high cost	19.8	17.4							

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

%



		Commu	nity (%)	Zone	7 (%)	NB	(%)	
Sample Size		n=	:39	n=207		n=3	n=3,153	
lity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	87.1			91.5		93.0	
sibi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(79.6	- 94.6)	(88.8	(88.8 - 94.3)		(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	10	0.0	99	9.0	96	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 -	- 100)	(97.6 - 100)		(96.1 - 97.5)		
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	93.0		91.3		90.4		
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(87.3 -	- 98.7)	(88.5 - 94.1)		(89.6 - 91.1)		
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	100.0 (100 - 100)		98.6		96.4		
Con	and respect (% always)			(97.4 - 99.7)		(95.9 - 96.8)		
L	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	86.1 (72.6 - 99.5)		74.8		77.1		
atio	care/treatment received at home [Among clients			(69.1 - 80.5)		(75.8 - 78.5)		
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	80.3		75.4		81.7		
Coo	from different providers [Among clients with services	00.5		75.4		01.7		
	from more than one person]	(65.4 - 95.2)		(69.8 - 81.1)		(80.5 - 83.0)		
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	54.7		51.3		49.3		
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(43.2 - 66.2)		(46.3 - 56.2)		(48.0 - 50.6)		
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	36.3 ^E		43.2		42.8		
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(24.7 - 47.8)		(38.0 - 48.4)		(41.4 - 44.2)		
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.4		97.0		96.7		
acti	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(90.7 - 100)		(95.3 - 98.7)		(96.2 - 97.1)		
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	85.0		91.5		94.2		
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(77.0 - 93.0)		(88.8 - 94.2)		(93.6 - 94.8)		
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	75.5		72.3		72.2		
	to move around safely (% yes)	(64.8 - 86.1)		(67.4 - 77.2)		(71.0 - 73.5)		
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	76.2		71.8		72.3		
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(66.5 - 85.9)		(67.2 - 76.3)		(71.1 - 73.4)		
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F		F		0.7 ^E		
	error or mistake? (% yes)					(0.5 - 0.9)		
ţ	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	90.9	100.0	96.8	91.2	97.5	92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(78.1 - 100) (100 - 100) (94.9 - 98.7) (84.3 - 98.1) (97.0 -				(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)	


Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community (%)	Zone 7 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=49	n=162	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	F	12.9 ^E	19.4	
Ϊţ	care services before starting (% very easy)		(8.9 - 16.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	79.9	80.0	80.1	
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(71.8 - 88.0)	(75.6 - 84.5)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	29.6 ^E	58.9	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(20.3 - 39.0)	(53.3 - 64.4)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	80.6	84.4	84.5	
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(72.4 - 88.7)	(80.3 - 88.5)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	91.9	91.7	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(86.4 - 97.3)	(88.6 - 94.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	50.7 ^E	78.9	67.8	
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with				
linat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(28.5 - 72.8)	(71.3 - 86.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
ord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	81.5	81.6	75.5	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(64.3 - 98.7)	(74.3 - 88.9)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
소 고	from more than one person]	18.6 ^E	27.4	27.2	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	18.0	27.4	27.3	
Pat	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(10.4 - 26.8)	(22.1 - 32.7)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	91.9	91.9	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.4 - 97.3)	(88.9 - 94.9)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	85.4	86.4	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(78.2 - 92.6)	(82.6 - 90.2)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	F	1.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 - 2.3)	
۲۷	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100 91.2	99.2 92.7	97.3 90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)		9) (98.0 - 100) (87.0 - 98.3)(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	19.9 ^E	21.1	22.1	
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(11.7 - 28.0)	(16.4 - 25.7)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.2	3.0
	18-64	29.4	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.7	19.7
	75-84	24.8	25.6
	85+	24.9	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	36.4	35.8
	Female	63.6	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	54.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	45.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	62.3	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	37.7	37.9
Preferred	English	93.9	72.8
language of service	French	6.1	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	5.5	3.0

Included in this community:

Baie-Sainte-Anne, Bay du Vin, Black River Bridge, Blackville, Chaplin Island Road, Collette, Eel Ground, Eel Ground First Nation, Hardwicke, Metepenagiag Mi'kmaq Nation, Miramichi, Napan, Renous, Rogersville, Rosaireville, South Esk, Sunny Corner, Trout Brook, Upper Blackville, Wayerton

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	57.5	56.8
Arthritis	44.0	48.3
Chronic pain	38.1	40.7
Heart disease	38.1	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	33.7	31.5
Diabetes	28.4	30.4
Cancer	34.4	26.2
Depression	25.0	24.5
Asthma	15.0	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	19.5	18.3
Stroke	16.3	15.8
Dementia	6.3	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.0	3.7
Alzheimer	3.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 22.1 25.5 Confident 55.9 55.4 Not very confident 13.9 12.2 8.6 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

Community (%)				
17.0	27.0	35.7	20.3	
		NB (%)		
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4	

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G	_			Commu Overall Resp	
Extra-Mural Program	(C9, n=168	3)	Home Support Servi	ces (C9, n=1	13)	Caregiver Pro	ofile (C9, n=	242)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipiei	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	83.8	82.0	Housekeeping	90.0	92.9	% who live alone	32.2	33.1
Physiotherapist	24.5	25.5	Meal preparation	74.9	72.2	% with caregiver help	62.1	61.6
Respiratory therapist	18.5	20.1	Grooming or dressing	54.3	49.6			
Dietitian	19.1	19.9	Bathing	56.6	48.1	Who helps t	he most? (%)
Social worker	17.2	16.7	Respite relief/care	53.3	41.6	(among those who	o receive help	n=148)
Occupational therapist	14.2	16.2	Transferring	43.2	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	1.7	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	35.3	30.7	Son or daughter	39.5	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	1.6	3.3				Spouse or partner	30.3	33.4
Pharmacist	1.2	2.7				Other family member	12.4	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.6	1.7				Mother or father	9.1	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	6.9	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	43.4	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	70.0	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those who	o receive help	n=147)
Every day	4.4	5.9	Every day	41.9	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	20.9	22.6	A few times a week	50.4	52.0	Every day	68.2	58.6
Once/week or less	74.8	71.5	Once/week or less	7.8	13.7	A few times a week	15.5	20.5
						Once/week or less	15.6	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with num	ber of times car	e was			

received (in past 2 months)

Community

76.6

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with nur	nber of times care	was	Satisfaction
received (in pa	st 2 months)		receiv
	Community	NB	
% Very Satisfied	76.7	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	9.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	15.6
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.6	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	26.4

Unmet Ne	eeds (n=242	2)			
All home care	All home care service recipients				
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB			
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	13.1	11.4			
Top 3 mentions: What co	ould have been d	done? ¹ (%)			
More hours/Access after-hr.	52.0	28.1			
More home support services	29.0	27.0			
More funding/high cost	18.9	17.4			



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 7 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=168	n=207	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.6	91.5	93.0	
lidia	it? (% yes)		(88.8 - 94.3)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	98.8	99.0	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(97.0 - 100)	(97.6 - 100)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.9	91.3	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(87.8 - 94.1)	(88.5 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	98.2	98.6	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(96.8 - 99.7)	(97.4 - 99.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	73.3	74.8	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients			(75.0.70.5)	
lina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(67.2 - 79.5)	(69.1 - 80.5)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	74.7	75.4	81.7	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.6 - 80.8)	(69.8 - 81.1)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	50.5	51.3	49.3	
ent- :red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(45.0 - 56.0)	(46.3 - 56.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	44.8	43.2	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(39.0 - 50.6)	(38.0 - 48.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.4	97.4 97.0		
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.6 - 99.1)	(95.3 - 98.7)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93.1	91.5	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(90.3 - 95.8)	(88.8 - 94.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	71.6	72.3	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(66.1 - 77.0)	(67.4 - 77.2)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	70.7	71.8	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(65.5 - 75.8)	(67.2 - 76.3)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.2 69.7 ^E		97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(95.4 - 99.1) (48.2 - 91.1)	(94.9 - 98.7) (84.3 - 98.1)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	

112 | P a g e



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Commu (%)	inity	Zone	7 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=11			n=162		,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	14.8	3 ^E	12.9 ^E		19.4		
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(9.7 - 19	ə.9)	(8.9 -	16.8)	(18.1 -	20.8)	
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.1	L	80	.0	80).1	
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(74.8 - 8	5.5)	(75.6 -	84.5)	(78.8 -	81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	71.3		58	.9	58	8.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(65.2 - 7	7.4)	(53.3 -	64.4)	(57.0 -	60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	86.0)	84	.4	84	.5	
licati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(81.3 - 9	0.7)	(80.3 -	88.5)	(83.3 -	85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	91.6	5	91	7	90).3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(87.9 - 95.2)		(88.6 -	94.7)	(89.3 -	91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	85.3		78.9		78.9 67.8		
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with							
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(78.0 - 92.6)		(71.3 - 86.6)		(65.5 - 70.1)		
orc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	81.6		81.6		75.5		
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(73.5 - 8	(73.5 - 89.7)		(74.3 - 88.9)		(73.4 - 77.6)	
م ب		31.3	,	27.4		27	2	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	51.5	5	27.4		21	.5	
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(24.6 - 3	7.9)	(22.1 - 32.7)		(25.8 -	28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	91.9	Э	91.9		87	'.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(88.3 - 9	5.6)	(88.9 - 94.9)		(86.8 -	88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	86.8	8 86.4		.4	74	.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(82.3 - 91.2)		(82.6 -	(82.6 - 90.2) (72.9 - 75.7		75.7)	
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		F	:	1.	.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>					(1.4 - 2.3)		
۲۷	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	99.1 1	.00.0	99.2	92.7	97.3	90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.8 - 100) (1		(98.0 - 100)	(87.0 - 98.3)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	21.6	5 ^E	21	.1	22	.1	
Barrier	too high? (% yes)	(16.0 - 2		(16.4 -	25.7)	(20.7 -	23.5)	



New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Community 10 Overall Respondents (n=147)



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.6	3.0
	18-64	29.8	30.8
Age group	65-74	21.1	19.7
	75-84	26.2	25.6
	85+	20.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	38.3	35.8
	Female	61.7	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	66.9	51.8
Level	High school or higher	33.1	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	72.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	27.1	37.9
Preferred	English	47.2	72.8
language of service	French	52.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	5.0	3.0

Included in this community: Acadieville, Aldouane, Baie de Bouctouche, Bass River, Beersville, Bouctouche, Clairville, Elsipogtog First Nation, Fords Mills, Galloway, Indian Island, Indian Island First Nation, Jardineville, Kouchibouguac, Main River, McIntosh Hill, McKees Mills, Mundleville, Portage St-Louis, Rexton, Richibouctou-Village, Richibucto, Saint-Anne-de-Kent, Saint-Charles, Saint-Édouard-de-Kent, Saint-Grégoire, Saint-Ignace, Saint-Louis, Saint-Louis-de-Kent, Saint-Maurice, South Branch, Targettville, Upper Rexton

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	50.9	56.8
Arthritis	39.8	48.3
Chronic pain	34.1	40.7
Heart disease	32.2	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	33.7	31.5
Diabetes	26.8	30.4
Cancer	29.2	26.2
Depression	27.6	24.5
Asthma	17.0	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	11.1	18.3
Stroke	9.8	15.8
Dementia	2.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.3	3.7
Alzheimer	2.8	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and mai	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	23.7	25.5
Confident	62.0	55.9
Not very confident	10.7	12.2
Not at all confident	3.7	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Со	mmunity (%)	L
12.8	33.5	38.7	15.1
	_	NB (%)	_
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G				Commu Overall Res	•
Extra-Mural Program	(C10, n=11	.1)	Home Support Servi	ces (C10, n=	62)	Caregiver Pro	file (C10, n	=147)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipiei	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	91.4	82.0	Housekeeping	93.3	92.9	% who live alone	30.1	33.1
Physiotherapist	21.6	25.5	Meal preparation	72.3	72.2	% with caregiver help	55.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	14.3	20.1	Grooming or dressing	46.7	49.6			
Dietitian	21.9	19.9	Bathing	38.1	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%))
Social worker	21.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	44.2	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=79)
Occupational therapist	9.7	16.2	Transferring	26.5	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	0.0	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	37.3	30.7	Son or daughter	36.9	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.8	3.3				Spouse or partner	38.0	33.4
Pharmacist	2.6	2.7				Other family member	9.0	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.7	1.7				Mother or father	4.9	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	etting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	8.8	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	46.2	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	74.3	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive services? (%)			How often do you receive services? (%)			(among those wh	o receive help	n=79)
Every day	11.9	5.9	Every day	41.4	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	24.4	22.6	A few times a week	40.4	52.0	Every day	66.7	58.6
Once/week or less	63.7	71.5	Once/week or less	18.3	13.7	A few times a week	14.3	20.5
						Once/week or less	16.7	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with numb	per of times car	e was			
received (in past 2 r	nonths)		received (in past	2 months)			eeds (n=147	
	Community	NB		Community	NB	All home care	service recipier	nts
% Very Satisfied	81.4	76.1	% Very Satisfied	72.2	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Community	NB
						Could anything else have		
Reported Difficulties in R	-		Reported Difficulties in	-		been done or provided to help you stay at home?	9.7	11.4
	Community	NB		Community	NB			1
% who reported:			% who reported:			Top 3 mentions: What c		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	6.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	30.1	19.8	More hours/Access after-hr.	38.6	28.1
						More home support services More funding/high cost	15.6	27.0
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.9	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	32.4	25.6	iviore running/high cost	6.1	17.4

of service or hours available



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=111	n=761	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	90.2	92.6	93.0	
ibil	it? (% yes)	(86.2 - 94.2)	(91.2 - 93.9)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	97.6	96.1	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(94.4 - 100)	(94.5 - 97.6)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	94.3	91.4	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(91.2 - 97.5)	(90.0 - 92.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	97.5	97.5	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.5 - 99.6)	(96.7 - 98.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	90.2	78.3	77.1	
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(84.9 - 95.4)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)		(73.0 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	82.9	79.8	81.7	
0	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(76.3 - 89.4)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	48.5	50.6	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(41.7 - 55.4)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati ceni	Family caregivers were given information they	46.2	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(38.6 - 53.7)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	99.2	96.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(98.0 - 100)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	95.5	95.2	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(92.8 - 98.3)	(94.1 - 96.3)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	68.8	69.8	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(62.0 - 75.7)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	77.7	70.3	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(71.8 - 83.6)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	93.9 98.4	97.3 95.9	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(89.2 - 98.7) (96.0 - 100	(96.3 - 98.3) (93.8 - 97.9	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Comm (%		Zone	1 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=		n=	385	n=1	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	18	.1 ^E	17	7.2	19	9.4
it√	care services before starting (% very easy)	(10.6 -	25.6)	(14.2	- 20.2)	(18.1	- 20.8)
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	71	.1	75	5.1	80).1
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(62.9 -	79.2)	(72.0	- 78.2)	(78.8 -	- 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	48	.9	62	2.1	58	8.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(39.7 -	58.1)	(58.6	- 65.7)	(57.0 -	- 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	89	.0	89	9.4	84	l.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(83.4 -	94.6)	(87.1	- 91.7)	(83.3 -	- 85.7)
h	How often home support workers treated clients	93	.4	90).7	90).3
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(88.9 -	97.8)	(88.6	- 92.7)	(89.3 -	- 91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	79	.2	68	3.2	67	7.8
tior	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	164.0	044)	162.0	72 51		70 1)
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)	(64.0 -	94.4)	(62.8 - 73.5)		(65.5 - 70.1)	
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	80.4 (65.5 - 95.3)		72.1 (67.0 - 77.2)		75.5 (73.4 - 77.6)	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]						
d r		31	0	25	5.8	27	7.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	51.0					
Pa ce	wanted when they needed it (% strongly dgree)	(21.9 -	(21.9 - 40.1) (22.3		- 29.3)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	88	8.8 86.2		5.2	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(83.2 - 94.4)		(83.7 - 88.7)		(86.8	- 88.9)
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	69.2		69.0		74	.3
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(60.6 - 77.7)			(65.6 - 72.3)		- 75.7)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	:		F	1	.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>					(1.4 -	- 2.3)
Ę	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0	96.9	98.6	81.5	97.3	90.7
	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100)	(92.4 - 100)	(97.6 - 99.6	(75.6 - 87.5)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	19	.9 ີ	26	5.0	22	2.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(12.2 -	27.6)	(22.7	- 29.3)	(20.7	- 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	0.0	3.0
	18-64	31.0	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.1	19.7
	75-84	17.2	25.6
	85+	31.7	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	34.0	35.8
Gender	Female	66.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	54.1	51.8
Level	High school or higher	45.9	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	64.5	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	35.5	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of <u>service</u>	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.6	3.0

Included in this community:

Dobson Corner, Harcourt, Harewood, Lewis Mountain, Petitcodiac, River Glade, Salisbury, Second North River

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	58.5	56.8
Arthritis	54.8	48.3
Chronic pain	39.5	40.7
Heart disease	51.6	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.0	31.5
Diabetes	39.8	30.4
Cancer	18.1	26.2
Depression	15.4	24.5
Asthma	15.8	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	15.2	18.3
Stroke	13.8	15.8
Dementia	5.4	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.1	3.7
Alzheimer	2.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB health condition from table above] (%) (%) Very confident 21.8 25.5 Confident 59.1 55.9 Not very confident 8.4 12.2 Not at all confident 10.7 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G				Commur Overall Res _l	•
Extra-Mural Program	(C11, n=31	L)	Home Support Servi	ices (C11, n=2	26)	Caregiver Pro	ofile (C11, n	=46)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	72.1	82.0	Housekeeping	-	92.9	% who live alone	42.9	33.1
Physiotherapist	35.5	25.5	Meal preparation	-	72.2	% with caregiver help	60.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	19.9	20.1	Grooming or dressing	-	49.6			
Dietitian	9.6	19.9	Bathing	-	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%)
Social worker	13.3	16.7	Respite relief/care	-	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=28)
Occupational therapist	10.9	16.2	Transferring	-	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	9.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	-	30.7	Son or daughter	36.6	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	35.0	33.4
Pharmacist	9.6	2.7				Other family member	12.9	12.1
Doctor/Physician	3.7	1.7				Mother or father	6.8	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	· (%)	Friend or volunteer	0.0	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	42.9	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	-	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=27)
Every day	6.4	5.9	Every day	-	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	15.3	22.6	A few times a week	-	52.0	Every day	65.0	58.6
Once/week or less	78.3	71.5	Once/week or less	-	13.7	A few times a week	11.3	20.5
						Once/week or less	20.1	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with num	ber of times care	e was			

Community²

NB 70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

	Satisfaction with num	ber of times care	Satisfaction with numb	er of times	
	received (in past	: 2 months)	received (in past 2	2 months)	
		Community	NB		Commun
% V	'ery Satisfied	68.6	76.1	% Very Satisfied	-

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in Receiving	g Care	:
	Community	NB	Commu	nity ²	
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	14.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available		
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	14.3	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available		

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

²Sample size is too small for results to be reported.

NB

11.4

28.1

27.0

17.4

Unmet Needs (n=46)

All home care service recipients

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)

Community

13.2

33.8

49.7

0.0

% who said 'yes'

Could anything else have been done or provided to

help you stay at home?

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=31	n=761	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93.2	92.6	93.0	
ilidi	it? (% yes)	(86.7 - 99.6)	(91.2 - 93.9)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	100.0	96.1	96.8	
Act	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 - 100)	(94.5 - 97.6)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	86.3	91.4	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(77.5 - 95.1)	(90.0 - 92.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.2	97.5	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(91.3 - 100)	(96.7 - 98.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	69.8 ^E	78.3	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(52.6.97.0)	(75.0.1.0)		
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(52.6 - 87.0)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	91.2	79.8	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.1 - 100)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	35.3 ^E	50.6	49.3	
ent- red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(23.3 - 47.3)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	42.0 ^E	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(28.2 - 55.9)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	93.9	96.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(87.8 - 100)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	89.7	95.2	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(82.1 - 97.4)	(94.1 - 96.3)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	81.3	69.8	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(71.0 - 91.6)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	64.1	70.3	72.3	
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(51.4 - 76.8)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	96.3 -	97.3 95.9	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(91.6 - 100)	(96.3 - 98.3) (93.8 - 97.9)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Community ^ı (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=26	n=385	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home		17.2	19.4	
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	-	(14.2 - 20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you		75.1	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	_	(72.0 - 78.2)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home		62.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	-	(58.6 - 65.7)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in		89.4	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	-	(87.1 - 91.7)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
mur	How often home support workers treated clients		90.7	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	-	(88.6 - 92.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed		68.2	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	-		(65.5.70.4)	
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)		(62.8 - 73.5)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		72.1	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	-	(67.0 - 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
с Ч			25.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	-	23.0	27.5	
Pai Cei	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(22.3 - 29.3)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
u L	Satisfaction rating: home care services received		86.2	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	-	(83.7 - 88.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support		69.0	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	-	(65.6 - 72.3)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	-		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ť	How often service was received in language of		Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		98.6 81.5	97.3 90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)		(97.6 - 99.6 (75.6 - 87.5	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services		26.0	22.1	
Barrier	too high? (% yes)	-	(22.7 - 29.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



New Brunswick Health Council





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	4.3	3.0
	18-64	32.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.2	19.7
	75-84	25.3	25.6
	85+	17.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	42.5	35.8
Gender	Female	57.5	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	58.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	41.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	55.6	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	44.4	37.9
Preferred	English	48.0	72.8
language of service	French	52.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.2	3.0

Included in this community:

Beaubassin East / Beaubassin-est, Boudreau-Ouest, Cap-Pelé, Cocagne, Cormier-Village, Dundas, Grand-Barachois, Grande-Digue, Haute-Aboujagane, Notre-Dame, Petit-Cap, Pointe-du-Chêne, Saint-André-Leblanc, Saint-Antoine, Sainte-Marie-de-Kent, Saint-Paul, Scoudouc, Scoudouc Road, Shediac, Shediac Bridge, Shediac Cape, Shediac River, Shemogue, Trois-Ruisseaux

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	48.8	56.8
Arthritis	32.1	48.3
Chronic pain	32.7	40.7
Heart disease	23.2	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	25.9	31.5
Diabetes	19.4	30.4
Cancer	34.5	26.2
Depression	20.6	24.5
Asthma	14.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	10.8	18.3
Stroke	9.2	15.8
Dementia	3.4	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.0	3.7
Alzheimer	1.5	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 31.0 25.5 Confident 55.9 56.4 Not very confident 8.7 12.2 3.9 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

Community (%)								
18.7	30.4	34.7		16.1				
		NB (%)						
15.8	27.3	34.5		22.4				

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

51.9

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Home Care at a Glance

Community 12

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C12, n=110)		Home Support Services (C12, n=49)			Caregiver Profile (C12, n=137)			
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2	Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients		nts	
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	84.5	82.0	Housekeeping	93.8	92.9	% who live alone	28.0	33.1
Physiotherapist	17.9	25.5	Meal preparation	70.8	72.2	% with caregiver help	63.2	61.6
Respiratory therapist	17.2	20.1	Grooming or dressing	50.3	49.6			
Dietitian	18.9	19.9	Bathing	50.5	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%)
Social worker	16.7	16.7	Respite relief/care	48.0	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=82)
Occupational therapist	5.5	16.2	Transferring	33.2	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.4	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	34.1	30.7	Son or daughter	31.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	e 2.9	3.3				Spouse or partner	42.3	33.4
Pharmacist	0.9	2.7				Other family member	9.8	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.7	1.7				Mother or father	9.5	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	etting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.0	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	41.9	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	68.3	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive services? (%)		How often do you recei	How often do you receive services? (%)		(among those wh	no receive help	o n=84)	
Every day	4.4	5.9	Every day	26.4	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	22.5	22.6	A few times a week	61.9	52.0	Every day	65.2	58.6
Once/week or less	73.0	71.5	Once/week or less	11.6	13.7	A few times a week	17.1	20.5

% Very Satisfied

Satisfaction with number of times care was					
received (in past 2 months)					
Com	nmunity	NB			
% Very Satisfied	72.0	76.1			

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care	
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	5.3	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	^f 17.0
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	5.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	n 22.5

	Community	NB
% who live alone	28.0	33.1
% with caregiver help	63.2	61.6
Who helps	the most?(%)
(among those wh	o receive help	n=82)
	Community	NB
Son or daughter	31.3	36.9
Spouse or partner	42.3	33.4
Other family member	9.8	12.1
Mother or father	9.5	8.6
Friend or volunteer	7.0	6.9
How often do	you get help?	(%)
(among those wh	o receive help	n=84)
	Community	NB
Every day	65.2	58.6
A few times a week	17.1	20.5
Once/week or less	15.0	19.8

Unmet Needs (n=137)							
	service recipie						
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB					
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	8.9	11.4					
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)					
More hours/Access after-hr.	25.9	28.1					
More home support services	32.5	27.0					
More funding/high cost	14.5	17.4					

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=110	n=761	n=3,153	
ibility	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)	93.5 (90.1 - 96.8)	92.6 (91.2 - 93.9)	93.0 (92.4 - 93.7)	
Access	Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	95.9 (91.8 - 100)	96.1 (94.5 - 97.6)	96.8 (96.1 - 97.5)	
ication	How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)	91.0 (87.1 - 94.9)	91.4 (90.0 - 92.8)	90.4 (89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)	96.6 (94.2 - 99.0)	97.5 (96.7 - 98.3)	96.4 (95.9 - 96.8)	
ج	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.7	78.3	77.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(70.6 - 84.7)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	76.3	79.8	81.7	
0	from more than one person]	(69.2 - 83.4)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
ق ب ⁺	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	47.8	50.6	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(41.0 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pa	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	39.0 (31.8 - 46.2)	44.6 (41.8 - 47.4)	42.8 (41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	90.5	96.1	96.7	
actio	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.5 - 94.4)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes)	91.5 (87.8 - 95.2)	95.2 (94.1 - 96.3)	94.2 (93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	64.2	69.8	72.2	
	to move around safely <i>(% yes)</i>	(57.1 - 71.2)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	71.0	70.3	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(64.5 - 77.5)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)	97.7 98.1 (94.8 - 100) (95.3 - 100)	97.3 95.9 (96.3 - 98.3) (93.8 - 97.9)	97.5 92.7 (97.0 - 98.0) (914 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Comple Size	(%)			
	Sample Size	n=49 F	n=385	n=1,905 19.4	
_	Ease or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)			-	
ility		70.0	(14.2 - 20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
ssib	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	79.3	75.1	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(71.1 - 87.6)	(72.0 - 78.2)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
A	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	36.2 ^E	62.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(26.4 - 46.0)	(58.6 - 65.7)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	86.7	89.4	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(79.5 - 94.0)	(87.1 - 91.7)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
mu	How often home support workers treated clients	91.9	90.7	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(86.4 - 97.3)	(88.6 - 92.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	58.4 ^E	68.2	67.8	
tion	about all care received at home [Among clients with				
inat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(40.6 - 76.2)	(62.8 - 73.5)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
Coordination	Clients who never received conflicting information	72.4	72.1	75.5	
ပိ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(56.2 - 88.6)	(67.0 - 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
	from more than one person]	. ,	(07.0 77.2)	(73.4 77.0)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	F	25.8	27.3	
ati	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(22.3 - 29.3)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
		87.9	86.2	. ,	
tion	Satisfaction rating: home care services received			87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(81.3 - 94.4)	(83.7 - 88.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
atis	Would recommend agency or home support	76.2 69.0		74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(67.6 - 84.8)	(65.6 - 72.3) F	(72.9 - 75.7) - E	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0		1.8 ^E	
Sa	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(0 - 0)		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0 84.1	98.6 81.5	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)		.4) (97.6 - 99.6) (75.6 - 87.5) (96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	22.9 ^E	26.0	22.1	
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(14.0 - 31.7)	(22.7 - 29.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	
		, ,	1	,	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.9	3.0
	18-64	32.2	30.8
Age group	65-74	23.3	19.7
	75-84	22.9	25.6
	85+	18.7	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	31.7	35.8
Gender	Female	68.3	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	46.6	51.8
Level	High school or higher	53.4	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	62.2	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	37.8	37.9
Preferred	English	98.5	72.8
language of service	French	1.5	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	4.3	3.0

Included in this community:

Aulac, Baie Verte, Bayfield, Cape Spear, Cape Tormentine, Dorchester, Little Shemogue, Melrose, Midgic, Murray Corner, Point de Bute, Port Elgin, Sackville, Timber River, Upper Cape

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	55.8	56.8
Arthritis	47.3	48.3
Chronic pain	46.5	40.7
Heart disease	26.0	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	28.7	31.5
Diabetes	30.8	30.4
Cancer	24.7	26.2
Depression	31.5	24.5
Asthma	15.5	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	9.7	18.3
Stroke	17.6	15.8
Dementia	4.9	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.3	3.7
Alzheimer	0.0	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition							
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)					
Very confident	37.8	25.5					
Confident	45.6	55.9					
Not very confident	9.8	12.2					
Not at all confident	6.8	6.4					

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance			Community Overall Responde			
Extra-Mural Program	(C13 <i>,</i> n=49	9)	Home Support Serv	vices (C13, n=	26)	Caregiver Pro	ofile (C13 <i>,</i> r	n=67)	
Service Received (in past 2 mo	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	2 months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts	
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB		Community	NB	
Nurse	86.1	82.0	Housekeeping	-	92.9	% who live alone	43.1	33.1	
Physiotherapist	26.2	25.5	Meal preparation	-	72.2	% with caregiver help	50.8	61.6	
Respiratory therapist	10.9	20.1	Grooming or dressing	-	49.6			1	
Dietitian	14.1	19.9	Bathing	-	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%	,)	
Social worker	6.8	16.7	Respite relief/care	-	41.6	(among those who receive help n=3		o n=32)	
Occupational therapist	7.0	16.2	Transferring	-	34.8		Community	NB	
Speech language pathologist	6.6	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	-	30.7	Son or daughter	30.9	36.9	
Rehabilitation support personne	5.1	3.3				Spouse or partner	32.9	33.4	
Pharmacist	1.9	2.7				Other family member	18.4	12.1	
Doctor/Physician	2.4	1.7				Mother or father	8.6	8.6	
How long have you been gett	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	2.5	6.9	
Services for at least 1 year	45.0	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	-	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)	
How often do you receive s	ervices? (9	%)	How often do you rece	eive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	o n=33)	
Every day	2.0	5.9	Every day	-	34.3		Community	NB	
A few times a week	29.3	22.6	A few times a week	-	52.0	Every day	60.5	58.6	
Once/week or less	68.7	71.5	Once/week or less	-	13.7	A few times a week	20.0	20.5	
						Once/week or less	19.6	19.8	

Satisfaction with num	ber of times care	Satisfaction with number of times care was			
received (in past	: 2 months)		received (in p	ast 2 months)	
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB
% Very Satisfied	83.1	76.1	% Very Satisfied	-	70.6

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	4.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	-	19.8
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	2.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	-	25.6

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs. ²Sample size is too small for results to be reported. 127 | Page

Community 13 Overall Respondents

NB

11.4

28.1

27.0

17.4

Community

11.1

31.0

30.4

25.2

Unmet Needs (n=67)

All home care service recipients

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)

% who said 'yes'

Could anything else have been done or provided to

help you stay at home?

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Commu	nity (%)	Zone	1 (%)	NB	(%)	
	Sample Size	n=	49	n=	761	n=3	,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	98	.1	92	2.6	93	3.0	
ibil	it? (% yes)	(95.3 -	- 100)	(91.2	- 93.9)	(92.4	- 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	10	0.0	96	ö.1	96	5.8	
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 -	100)	(94.5	97.6)	(96.1	- 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	93	.0	91	4	90).4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(87.8 -	98.2)	(90.0	92.8)	(89.6	- 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	10	0.0	97	'.5	96	5.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(100 -	100)	(96.7	- 98.3)	(95.9	- 96.8)	
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	82	.0	78	8.3	77	7.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(72.0 -	92.0)	(75.6	- 81.0)	(75.8	- 78.5)	
rdin	Clients who never received conflicting information	88.8		79.8		81.7		
Coo	from different providers [Among clients with services		00.0		75.0		01.7	
	from more than one person]	(80.7 - 96.8)		(77.2 - 82.4)		(80.5 - 83.0)		
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	53	.6	50.6		49.3		
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(43.5 - 63.7)		(48.0 - 53.2)		(48.0 - 50.6)		
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	53.6		44.6		42.8		
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(42.3 - 64.9)		(41.8 - 47.4)		(41.4 - 44.2)		
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	93	.8	96.1		96.7		
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(89.0 -	98.6)	(95.1	97.1)	(96.2	- 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93.8		95.2		94	1.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(89.0 -	98.6)	(94.1	- 96.3)	(93.6	- 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	65	.9	69	9.8	72	2.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(55.4 -	76.4)	(67.2	- 72.4)	(71.0	- 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	58	.9	70).3	72	2.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(48.7 -	69.1)		- 72.8)	(71.1		
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an		0.0		F		0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 -	0)			(0.5	- 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0	-	97.3	95.9	97.5	92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100)		(96.3 - 98.3)	(93.8 - 97.9)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)	



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community ['] (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=26	n=385	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home		17.2	19.4	
ī₹	care services before starting (% very easy)	-	(14.2 - 20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you		75.1	80.1	
Ces	needed it? (% yes)	-	(72.0 - 78.2)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home		62.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	-	(58.6 - 65.7)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in		89.4	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	-	(87.1 - 91.7)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
mur	How often home support workers treated clients		90.7	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	-	(88.6 - 92.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed		68.2	67.8	
tion	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	-	(62.0.72.5)		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)		(62.8 - 73.5)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		72.1	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	-	(67.0 - 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
id it			25.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	-	20.0	27.0	
Pa ce	wanted when they needed it (% strongly dgree)		(22.3 - 29.3)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
E o	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	_	86.2	87.9	
acti	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)		(83.7 - 88.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend agency or home support	_	69.0	74.3	
Sã	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)		(65.6 - 72.3)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	-		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ť	How often service was received in language of		Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		98.6 81.5	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)		(97.6 - 99.6 (75.6 - 87.5	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services		26.0	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	-	(22.7 - 29.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	4.4	3.0
	18-64	33.4	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.4	19.7
	75-84	27.5	25.6
	85+	15.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	37.2	35.8
Gender	Female	62.8	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	41.3	51.8
Level	High school or higher	58.7	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	54.4	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	45.6	37.9
Preferred	English	80.2	72.8
language of service	French	19.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.5	3.0

Included in this community:

Allison, Berry Mills, Boundary Creek, Colpitts Settlement, Coverdale, Dieppe, Indian Mountain, Irishtown, Lakeville -Westmorland, Lutes Mountain, Memramcook, Moncton, Riverview, Steeves Mountain, Turtle Creek

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	58.2	56.8
Arthritis	46.9	48.3
Chronic pain	39.3	40.7
Heart disease	34.7	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.1	31.5
Diabetes	34.2	30.4
Cancer	27.9	26.2
Depression	25.4	24.5
Asthma	18.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	16.0	18.3
Stroke	14.0	15.8
Dementia	4.4	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.1	3.7
Alzheimer	1.1	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 28.8 25.5 Confident 55.9 52.0 Not very confident 14.3 12.2 5.0 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

19.2	25.4	31.3	24.1	
		NB (%)		
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4	

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

New Bruns Health Cou		2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance				Commur Overall Res _f		
Extra-Mural Program	(C14, n=42	.9)	Home Support Servio	ces (C14, n=2	204)	Caregiver Pro	file (C14, n=	=532)
Service Received (in past 2 mc	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipier	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	80.9	82.0	Housekeeping	92.5	92.9	% who live alone	33.4	33.1
Physiotherapist	27.1	25.5	Meal preparation	59.1	72.2	% with caregiver help	61.9	61.6
Respiratory therapist	17.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing	48.1	49.6			
Dietitian	13.8	19.9	Bathing	47.3	48.1	Who helps t	he most? (%))
Social worker	14.0	16.7	Respite relief/care	37.8	41.6	(among those who receive help n		n=317)
Occupational therapist	14.5	16.2	Transferring	27.5	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	3.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	27.3	30.7	Son or daughter	26.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	4.7	3.3				Spouse or partner	41.5	33.4
Pharmacist	5.2	2.7				Other family member	11.7	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.9	1.7				Mother or father	7.7	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	8.8	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	46.2	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	61.7	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (9	%)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those who	o receive help	n=324)
Every day	5.6	5.9	Every day	25.6	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	27.7	22.6	A few times a week	53.6	52.0	Every day	55.3	58.6
Once/week or less	66.7	71.5	Once/week or less	20.8	13.7	A few times a week	20.9	20.5
						Once/week or less	22.4	19.8
Satisfaction with number		e was	Satisfaction with num		e was			
received (in past 2 r		ND	received (in past	2 months)		Unmet Ne	eds (n=532	2)

Community

70.0

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with num	Satisfaction v		
received (in pas	received		
	Community	NB	
% Very Satisfied	80.1	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care	
Community		NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	7.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	29.1
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	6.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	31.4

Unmet Needs (n=532)						
All home care service recipients						
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	12.9	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	14.1	28.1				
More home support services	34.1	27.0				
More funding/high cost	20.6	17.4				



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=429	n=761	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.2	92.6	93.0	
lidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(90.4 - 94.0)	(91.2 - 93.9)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	95.1	96.1	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(92.8 - 97.4)	(94.5 - 97.6)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91.2	91.4	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.2 - 93.1)	(90.0 - 92.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	97.6	97.5	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(96.5 - 98.6)	(96.7 - 98.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
د	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	77.2	78.3	77.1	
ntion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(73.6 - 80.8)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)			(75.8 - 78.5)	
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	79.9	79.8	81.7	
U	from more than one person]	(76.5 - 83.3)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	53.0	50.6	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(49.6 - 56.5)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen†	Family caregivers were given information they	44.0	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(40.2 - 47.8)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.3	96.1	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.2 - 98.4)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	96.2	95.2	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(95.0 - 97.5)	(94.1 - 96.3)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	70.2	69.8	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(66.7 - 73.7)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	69.8	70.3	72.3	
Sai	they were taking (% yes)	(66.4 - 73.2)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
itγ	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equit)	preference [Among those who specified a	97.6 92.9	97.3 95.9	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(96.4 - 98.8) (88.9 - 96.8	(96.3 - 98.3) (93.8 - 97.9	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=204	n=385	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	17.8	17.2	19.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(13.7 - 22.0)	(14.2 - 20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	74.2	75.1	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(69.9 - 78.5)	(72.0 - 78.2)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	70.1	62.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(65.5 - 74.7)	(58.6 - 65.7)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	91.3	89.4	84.5	
iicati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(88.4 - 94.2)	(87.1 - 91.7)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	87.8	90.7	90.3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(84.6 - 91.0)	(88.6 - 92.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.4	68.2	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(60.6.74.0)			
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(60.6 - 74.2)	(62.8 - 73.5)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
ord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	68.7	72.1	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(62.0 - 75.4)	(67.0 - 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
<u>م</u> ب		25.9	25.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	23.9	23.8	27.5	
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(21.0 - 30.8) (22.3 - 29.3)		(25.8 - 28.9)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	84.2	86.2	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(80.5 - 87.8)	(83.7 - 88.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	66.1	69.0	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(61.4 - 70.8)	(65.6 - 72.3)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 - 2.3)	
ťy	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.2 64.2	98.6 81.5	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(96.7 - 99.7) (51.8 - 76.	7) (97.6 - 99.6) (75.6 - 87.5) (96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	27.0	26.0	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(22.4 - 31.6)	(22.7 - 29.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	0.0	3.0
Age group	18-64	15.7	30.8
	65-74	25.8	19.7
	75-84	32.7	25.6
	85+	25.8	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	24.4	35.8
Gender	Female	75.6	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	50.0	51.8
Level	High school or higher	50.0	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	80.9	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	19.1	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	0.0	3.0

Included in this community:

 ${\sf Alma, Elgin, Germantown}, {\sf Hillsborough, Hopewell, Little River, Riverside-Albert}$

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	55.0	56.8
Arthritis	59.5	48.3
Chronic pain	34.6	40.7
Heart disease	40.7	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	24.6	31.5
Diabetes	31.2	30.4
Cancer	20.9	26.2
Depression	21.5	24.5
Asthma	21.6	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	22.3	18.3
Stroke	12.4	15.8
Dementia	13.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	0.0	3.7
Alzheimer	5.9	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB health condition from table above] (%) (%) Very confident 25.7 25.5 Confident 55.9 55.9 Not very confident 15.5 12.2 2.9 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

_			
17.5	27.7	33.0	21.8
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Brunswick Health Council2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance					•			
Extra-Mural Program	(C15, n=31	1)	Home Support Servi	ces (C15, n=:	18)	Caregiver Pro	file (C15 <i>,</i> n	=43)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipiei	nts
	Community	NB		Community ²	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	78.1	82.0	Housekeeping	-	92.9	% who live alone	38.9	33.1
Physiotherapist	19.7	25.5	Meal preparation	-	72.2	% with caregiver help	60.2	61.6
Respiratory therapist	16.1	20.1	Grooming or dressing	-	49.6			
Dietitian	0.0	19.9	Bathing	-	48.1	Who helps the most? (%))
Social worker	6.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	-	41.6	(among those who receive help n=2		n=25)
Occupational therapist	5.9	16.2	Transferring	-	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	0.0	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	-	30.7	Son or daughter	47.0	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	35.8	33.4
Pharmacist	2.9	2.7				Other family member	12.4	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				Mother or father	0.0	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	etting services	P (%)	Friend or volunteer	4.8	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	57.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	-	73.0	How often do y	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	%)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=23)
Every day	5.7	5.9	Every day	-	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	14.0	22.6	A few times a week	-	52.0	Every day	42.0	58.6
Once/week or less	80.3	71.5	Once/week or less	-	13.7	A few times a week	27.9	20.5
						Once/week or less	30.1	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with numb	er of times car	e was			

Satisfaction with n	umber of times care	Satisfaction with n	umber of times care	
received (in p	ast 2 months)	received (in p	oast 2 months)	
	Community	NB		Community ²
% Very Satisfied	73.0	76.1	% Very Satisfied	-

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in Receiving Car		e
Community NB		NB		Community ²	NB
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	6.5	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	-	19.8
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	-	25.6

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

²Sample size is too small for results to be reported.

NB

11.4

28.1

27.0

17.4

Unmet Needs (n=43)

All home care service recipients

Top 3 mentions: What could have been done?¹ (%)

Community

11.4

0.0

17.8

0.0

% who said 'yes'

Could anything else have been done or provided to

help you stay at home?

More hours/Access after-hr.

More home support services

More funding/high cost

NB 70.6



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=31	n=761	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	94.1	92.6	93.0	
ibil	it? (% yes)	(88.1 - 100)	(91.2 - 93.9)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	93.1	96.1	96.8	
Aci	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(83.3 - 100)	(94.5 - 97.6)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	88.4	91.4	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(80.4 - 96.5)	(90.0 - 92.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	97.1	97.5	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(92.9 - 100)	(96.7 - 98.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
د	How often providers seemed informed about all	51.8 ^E	78.3	77.1	
ation	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(33.1 - 70.5)	(75.6 - 81.0)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	. ,		· · · ·	
00	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	51.8 ^E	79.8	81.7	
J	from more than one person]	(33.1 - 70.5)	(77.2 - 82.4)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	44.9 ^E	50.6	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(32.2 - 57.6)	(48.0 - 53.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Paticent	Family caregivers were given information they	54.7 ^E	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(41.5 - 67.8)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	92.6	96.1	96.7	
actic	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.1 - 99.2)	(95.1 - 97.1)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	100.0	95.2	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(100 - 100)	(94.1 - 96.3)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	81.2	69.8	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(70.4 - 91.9)	(67.2 - 72.4)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	72.6	70.3	72.3	
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(60.6 - 84.6)	(67.8 - 72.8)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	96.0 -	97.3 95.9	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(90.9 - 100)	(96.3 - 98.3) (93.8 - 97.9	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	

 $^{-\epsilon}$ Use with caution (coefficient of variation between 16.6% and 33.3%)

^FToo unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community ^r (%)	Zone 1 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=18	n=385	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	_	17.2	19.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)		(14.2 - 20.2)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	_	75.1	80.1	
ces	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>		(72.0 - 78.2)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	_	62.1	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	_	(58.6 - 65.7)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in		89.4	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	-	(87.1 - 91.7)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients		90.7	90.3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	-	(88.6 - 92.7)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed		68.2	67.8	
tion	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	-	((2,0, 72,5)	(65 5 70 1)	
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)		(62.8 - 73.5)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		72.1	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	-	(67.0 - 77.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
a t			25.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	-	25.0	27.5	
Pa ce	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(22.3 - 29.3)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received		86.2	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	-	(83.7 - 88.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	_	69.0	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)		(65.6 - 72.3)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)	_		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ťy	How often service was received in language of		Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		98.6 81.5	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)		(97.6 - 99.6 (75.6 - 87.5	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services		26.0	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	-	(22.7 - 29.3)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.4	3.0
	18-64	25.5	30.8
Age group	65-74	22.1	19.7
	75-84	29.8	25.6
	85+	20.2	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	39.9	35.8
Gender	Female	60.1	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	55.7	51.8
Level	High school or higher	44.3	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	63.1	62.1
Income	\$25,000 or more	36.9	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.8	3.0

Included in this community:

Anagance, Apohaqui, Bains Corner, Barnesville, Belleisle Creek, Berwick, Bloomfield, Canaan Forks, Codys, Coles Island, Cornhill, Hatfield Point, Havelock, Head of Millstream, Kiersteadville, Lower Millstream, Midland, New Line, Norton, Passekeag, Penobsquis, Picadilly, Roachville, Salt Springs, Smiths Creek, Springfield, St. Martins, Sussex, Sussex Corner, Titusville, Upham, Upperton, Wards Creek, Waterford

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	57.7	56.8
Arthritis	48.7	48.3
Chronic pain	44.6	40.7
Heart disease	34.4	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	27.2	31.5
Diabetes	31.0	30.4
Cancer	31.0	26.2
Depression	25.4	24.5
Asthma	16.4	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	19.9	18.3
Stroke	15.6	15.8
Dementia	8.7	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.2	3.7
Alzheimer	5.3	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and man	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	28.4	25.5
Confident	53.9	55.9
Not very confident	9.8	12.2
Not at all confident	7.9	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Com		
21.3	22.9	31.2	24.5
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou			2012 Home Care Surv Home Care at a G	•			Commur	•
Extra-Mural Program	(C16, n=11	.5)	Home Support Servi	ices (C16, n=	72)	Caregiver Pro	file (C16, n:	=160)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	68.9	82.0	Housekeeping	95.7	92.9	% who live alone	27.6	33.1
Physiotherapist	24.6	25.5	Meal preparation	89.1	72.2	% with caregiver help	66.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	30.1	20.1	Grooming or dressing	60.4	49.6			
Dietitian	26.2	19.9	Bathing	57.5	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%)
Social worker	13.9	16.7	Respite relief/care	48.6	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=100)
Occupational therapist	16.1	16.2	Transferring	43.9	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	2.4	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	35.3	30.7	Son or daughter	45.1	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.8	3.3				Spouse or partner	33.9	33.4
Pharmacist	1.8	2.7				Other family member	11.4	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.8	1.7				Mother or father	4.8	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been a	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	1.0	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	42.8	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	73.7	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (9	%)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=98)
Every day	3.3	5.9	Every day	34.0	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	22.5	22.6	A few times a week	62.0	52.0	Every day	44.6	58.6
Once/week or less	74.3	71.5	Once/week or less	4.0	13.7	A few times a week	26.7	20.5
						Once/week or less	28.7	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with num	ber of times car	e was			

Community

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with number of time	Satisfaction with number of times care was		
received (in past 2 months)		2 months)	received (in pas
Commu	NB	Community	
% Very Satisfied 78.8	76.1	76.5	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	3.5	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.0
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	4.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	23.5

Unmet Ne	eeds (n=160					
All home care	All home care service recipients					
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	10.3	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	38.9	28.1				
More home support services	25.6	27.0				
More funding/high cost	37.0	17.4				

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=115	n=768	n=3,153	
lity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	97.4	93.7	93.0	
ibil	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(95.2 - 99.5)	(92.5 - 95.0)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	97.9	96.6	96.8	
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(95.0 - 100)	(95.2 - 98.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91.4	90.3	90.4	
nica	was easy to understand (% always)	(87.7 - 95.0)	(88.8 - 91.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	98.2	96.8	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(96.4 - 99.9)	(95.9 - 97.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
Ľ	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	76.6	76.7	77.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(69.3 - 83.9)	(73.9 - 79.4)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who never received conflicting information				
Coor	from different providers [Among clients with services	88.3	83.6	81.7	
U	from more than one person]	(82.8 - 93.8)	(81.2 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	47.6	52.0	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(41.0 - 54.3)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	42.3	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(35.3 - 49.2)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.2	97.7	96.7	
acti	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.5 - 99.9)	(96.9 - 98.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.6	94.8	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(91.7 - 97.6)	(93.6 - 95.9)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	72.1	70.4	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(65.5 - 78.7)	(67.9 - 72.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	68.1	64.9	72.3	
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(61.7 - 74.6)	(62.4 - 67.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.4 -	98.4 ^F	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(95.3 - 99.5)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	

^FToo unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)



Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=72	n=405	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	27.1 ^E	27.5	19.4	
t	care services before starting (% very easy)	(19.5 - 34.6)	(24.1 - 30.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	81.9	85.0	80.1	
cess	needed it? (% yes)	(75.6 - 88.3)	(82.5 - 87.5)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	86.7	71.8	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(80.9 - 92.5)	(68.6 - 75.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	87.5	85.0	84.5	
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(82.0 - 93.0)	(82.4 - 87.5)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	93.2	90.1	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(89.1 - 97.4)	(88.0 - 92.2)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	70.2	63.8	67.8	
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with	_			
linat	services from more than one person] (% always)	(59.0 - 81.3)	(59.0 - 68.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
pord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	91.0	78.5	75.5	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(84.0 - 98.0)	(74.4 - 82.6)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
<u>م</u> ب		25.5 ^E	32.0	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	25.5	52.0	(25.8 - 28.9)	
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(17.7 - 33.2)	(28.4 - 35.6)		
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	88.8	87.1	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(83.6 - 94.0)	(84.8 - 89.5)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	84.1	70.9	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(78.0 - 90.1)	(67.7 - 74.1)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0	F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(0 - 0)		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ťy	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.0 -	97.8 ^T	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(94.3 - 99.8)	(96.8 - 98.8)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	21.1 ^E	23.4	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(14.2 - 27.9)	(20.4 - 26.5)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Included in this community:



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.9	3.0
	18-64	23.2	30.8
Age group	65-74	23.9	19.7
	75-84	22.8	25.6
	85+	26.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	39.0	35.8
Gender	Female	61.0	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	57.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	42.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	62.8	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	37.2	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.3	3.0

Cambridge-Narrows, Chipman, Cumberland Bay, Douglas Harbour, Gaspereau Forks, Jemseg, Lakeville Corner, Mill Cove, Minto, Ripples, Sheffield, Waterborough, Whites Cove, Youngs Cove

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	52.2	56.8
Arthritis	53.5	48.3
Chronic pain	42.9	40.7
Heart disease	32.5	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	25.3	31.5
Diabetes	30.6	30.4
Cancer	22.3	26.2
Depression	25.7	24.5
Asthma	22.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	18.2	18.3
Stroke	19.6	15.8
Dementia	8.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.3	3.7
Alzheimer	1.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition								
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)						
Very confident	27.7	25.5						
Confident	59.7	55.9						
Not very confident	9.5	12.2						
Not at all confident	3.1	6.4						

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

New Bruns Health Cou		2012 Home Care Survey Results Home Care at a Glance			Community 17 Overall Respondents			
Extra-Mural Program (C17, n=49)			Home Support Services (C17, n=43)			Caregiver Profile (C17, n=75)		
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)			All home care service recipients			
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	77.1	82.0	Housekeeping	92.9	92.9	% who live alone	31.3	33.1
Physiotherapist	29.1	25.5	Meal preparation	78.8	72.2	% with caregiver help	67.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	19.7	20.1	Grooming or dressing	62.1	49.6			
Dietitian	18.9	19.9	Bathing	51.4	48.1	Who helps the most? (%)		
Social worker	12.7	16.7	Respite relief/care	44.5	41.6	(among those who receive help n=		n=49)
Occupational therapist	23.1	16.2	Transferring	27.3	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	8.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	35.6	30.7	Son or daughter	44.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	41.5	33.4
Pharmacist	2.4	2.7				Other family member	8.5	12.1
Doctor/Physician	2.4	1.7				Mother or father	1.9	8.6
How long have you been gett	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer 3.7 6.9		
Services for at least 1 year	42.1	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	79.0	73.0	How often do	ou get help?	(%)
How often do you receive s	How often do you receive services? (%)		How often do you receive services? (%)			(among those who receive help n=49)		
Every day	10.1	5.9	Every day	40.1	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	20.2	22.6	A few times a week	43.3	52.0	Every day	68.6	58.6
Once/week or less	69.7	71.5	Once/week or less	16.7	13.7	A few times a week	18.1	20.5
					Once/week or less	13.2	19.8	
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with number of times care was					
received (in past 2 months)		received (in past 2 months)			Unmet Needs (n=75)			
Community NB				Community	NB	All home care s	service recipier	nts

74.4

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with nur	Satisfaction		
received (in pa	receiv		
	Community	NB	
% Very Satisfied	75.6	76.1	% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care		
	Community	NB		Community	
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	8.5	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.9	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	12.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	17.6	

Unmet Needs (n=75)							
All home care service recipients							
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB					
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	7.7	11.4					
Top 3 mentions: What could have been done? ¹ (%)							
More hours/Access after-hr.	17.5	28.1					
More home support services	14.9	27.0					
More funding/high cost	32.5	17.4					
More funding/high cost	32.5	17.4					



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=49	n=618	n=3,153	
itγ	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	93.4	92.6	93.0	
lidi	Image: Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes) Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes) How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always) How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)		(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	100.0	97.7	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 - 100)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	92.0	92.6	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(86.5 - 97.4)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
nmu	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	98.2	96.2	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(95.5 - 100)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>		87.4	71.9	77.1	
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always) Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services		(69 6 75 2)		
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)		(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		91.2 84.6		81.7	
3 from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(83.1 - 99.3)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	55.2	52.2	49.3	
Patient- centred			(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Paticent	Family caregivers were given information they	54.6	44.9	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(43.7 - 65.5)	(41.9 - 48.0)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.2	96.5	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.5 - 100)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	96.1	93.8	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(92.2 - 100)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	75.8	74.2	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)		(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes)		73.7	72.8	72.3	
Sa			(70.2 - 75.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an		F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	91.9 -	97.7 84.3 ^E	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(86.4 - 97.4)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	


		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
Sample Size		n=43	n=420	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	23.4 ^E	23.8	19.4	
tγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(14.3 - 32.6)	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	87.8	82.2	80.1	
cess	needed it? (% yes)	(80.8 - 94.8)	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	90.7	76.2	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(84.5 - 96.9)	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	88.6	86.0	84.5	
iicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(81.8 - 95.5)	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients	95.9	91.5	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(91.7 - 100)	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	59.5 ^E	67.2	67.8	
tion	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with				
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)	(44.9 - 74.2)	(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	80.4	74.2	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.3 - 92.5)	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
d Ç		30.2 ^E	32.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	50.2	52.0	27.5	
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(20.0 - 40.3)	(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	95.3	89.6	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(90.7 - 99.9)	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	90.9	78.6	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(84.8 - 97.0)	(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0	F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(1.4 - 2.3)	
۲	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.9 -	97.6 ^T	97.3 90.7	
Ē	preference] (% always)	(94.7 - 100)	(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	24.3 ^E	18.2	22.1	
Barrier	too high? (% yes)	(14.9 - 33.7)	(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demographic profile		Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.8	3.0
	18-64	30.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.5	19.7
	75-84	27.7	25.6
	85+	19.2	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	36.1	35.8
Gender	Female	63.9	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	37.1	51.8
Level	High school or higher	62.9	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	55.5	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	44.5	37.9
Preferred	English	99.4	72.8
language of service	French	0.6	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.8	3.0

Included in this community:

Baxters Corner, Bayswater, Browns Flat, Carters Point, Chance Harbour, Clifton Royal, Fairfield, Garnett Settlement, Grand Bay-Westfield, Hampstead, Hampton, Kars, Kingston, Lakeside, Long Reach, Lower Greenwich, Musquash, Nauwigewauk, Nerepis, Public Landing, Quispamsis, Rothesay, Rowley, Saint John, Smithtown, Summerville, Upper Golden Grove, Welsford, Wickham, Willow Grove, Wirral

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	54.2	56.8
Arthritis	51.6	48.3
Chronic pain	42.8	40.7
Heart disease	37.4	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.7	31.5
Diabetes	32.5	30.4
Cancer	27.2	26.2
Depression	23.3	24.5
Asthma	18.5	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	21.4	18.3
Stroke	19.6	15.8
Dementia	8.2	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.8	3.7
Alzheimer	4.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 21.4 25.5 Confident 55.3 55.9 Not very confident 14.7 12.2 8.6 Not at all confident 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

13.8 26.3		34.3	25.6
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Bruns Health Cou

Home Care at a Glance

Community 18

Overall Respondents

NB

Extra-Mural Program ((C18, n=43	5)	Home Support
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in
	Community	NB	
Nurse	79.5	82.0	Housekeeping
Physiotherapist	22.2	25.5	Meal preparation
Respiratory therapist	20.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing
Dietitian	15.6	19.9	Bathing
Social worker	11.5	16.7	Respite relief/care
Occupational therapist	18.8	16.2	Transferring
Speech language pathologist	1.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition of
Rehabilitation support personne	2.7	3.3	
Pharmacist	1.7	2.7	
Doctor/Physician	1.4	1.7	
How long have you been gett	ing services?	(%)	How long have yo
Services for at least 1 year	52.4	48.4	Services for at least 1
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do y
Every day	6.5	5.9	Every day
A few times a week	21.4	22.6	A few times a week
Once/week or less	72.0	71.5	Once/week or less

Home Support Services (C18, n=241)					
Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)			
	Community	NB			
Housekeeping	94.1	92.9			
Meal preparation	73.5	72.2			
Grooming or dressing	54.1	49.6			
Bathing	54.5	48.1			
Respite relief/care	36.9	41.6			
Transferring	37.3	34.8			
Feeding or nutrition care	23.5	30.7			
How long have you been ge	tting services	? (%)			
ervices for at least 1 year	68.7	73.0			
How often do you receive	e services? (%	6)			
Every day	40.0	34.3			
A few times a week	49.2	52.0			
Once/week or less	10.8	13.7			

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

72.9

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Sonvicos (C18 n-2/1)

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)			
Community NB			
% Very Satisfied	75.7	76.1	

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			1 [Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care	
	Community	NB			Community	
% who reported:				% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	4.9	7.6	l S	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	19.9	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	5.8	7.6	l	% who reported: Limits or reductions in types of service/care available Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	25.9	

% Very Satisfied

% who live alone	32.0	33.1
% with caregiver help	64.8	61.6
Who helps	the most?(%)
(among those wh	o receive help	n=338)
	Community	NB
Son or daughter	39.4	36.9
Spouse or partner	34.8	33.4
Other family member	12.2	12.1
Mother or father	7.1	8.6
Friend or volunteer	5.8	6.9
How often do	you get help?	(%)
(among those wh	o receive help	n=344)
	Community	NB
Every day	61.3	58.6
A few times a week	20.7	20.5
Once/week or less	17.5	19.8

Caregiver Profile (C18, n=552) All home care service recipients

Community

Unmet Needs (n=552)						
All home care	All home care service recipients					
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	10.8	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	28.8	28.1				
More home support services	19.2	27.0				
More funding/high cost	29.3	17.4				

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=435	n=768	n=3,153
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.0	93.7	93.0
ilidi	it? (% yes)	(90.2 - 93.9)	(92.5 - 95.0)	(92.4 - 93.7)
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	95.5	96.6	96.8
Aci	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(93.4 - 97.7)	(95.2 - 98.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.3	90.3	90.4
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(88.3 - 92.3)	(88.8 - 91.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	95.7	96.8	96.4
Con	and respect (% always)	(94.3 - 97.0)	(95.9 - 97.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)
L	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	74.1	76.7	77.1
atio	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(70.3 - 77.9)	(73.9 - 79.4)	(75.8 - 78.5)
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	78.4	83.6	81.7
Cod	from different providers [Among clients with services	_		_
	from more than one person]	(74.9 - 82.0)	(81.2 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	53.5	52.0	49.3
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(50.0 - 56.9)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 50.6)
Pat cen	Family caregivers were given information they	43.4	44.6	42.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(39.6 - 47.2)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.4	97.7	96.7
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.4 - 98.5)	(96.9 - 98.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	95.0	94.8	94.2
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(93.6 - 96.5)	(93.6 - 95.9)	(93.6 - 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	71.4	70.4	72.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(68.1 - 74.7)	(67.9 - 72.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	61.8	64.9	72.3
Sai	they were taking (% yes)	(58.3 - 65.3)	(62.4 - 67.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)
τ	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.1 ^F	98.4 ^F	97.5 92.7
	preference] (% always)	(97.2 - 99.0)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)



		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=241	n=405	n=1,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	23.6	27.5	19.4
iť	care services before starting (% very easy)	(19.4 - 27.8)	(24.1 - 30.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	84.8	85.0	80.1
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(81.5 - 88.0)	(82.5 - 87.5)	(78.8 - 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	66.0	71.8	58.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(61.6 - 70.5)	(68.6 - 75.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	83.6	85.0	84.5
licat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(80.1 - 87.1)	(82.4 - 87.5)	(83.3 - 85.7)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	89.3	90.1	90.3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(86.5 - 92.1)	(88.0 - 92.2)	(89.3 - 91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	60.2 63.8		67.8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(52 7 66 7)	(59.0 - 68.6)	
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)			(65.5 - 70.1)
orc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	74.1	78.5	75.5
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.3 - 79.9)	(74.4 - 82.6)	(73.4 - 77.6)
<u>م</u> ب		32.5	32.0	27.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	52.5	52.0	27.5
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(27.8 - 37.2)	(28.4 - 35.6)	(25.8 - 28.9)
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	84.2	87.1	87.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(80.9 - 87.5)	(84.8 - 89.5)	(86.8 - 88.9)
tisf	Would recommend agency or home support	61.8	70.9	74.3
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(57.3 - 66.2)	(67.7 - 74.1)	(72.9 - 75.7)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	F	1.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 - 2.3)
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.4 ^T	97.8 ^T	97.3 90.7
	preference] (% always)	(97.3 - 99.6)	(96.8 - 98.8)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	24.8	23.4	22.1
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.8 - 28.8)	(20.4 - 26.5)	(20.7 - 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	5.1	3.0
	18-64	28.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	15.3	19.7
	75-84	24.8	25.6
	85+	25.8	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	43.5	35.8
Gender	Female	56.5	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	54.0	51.8
Level	High school or higher	46.0	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	55.6	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	44.4	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.0	3.0

Included in this community:

Back Bay, Beaver Harbour, Blacks Harbour, Campobello Island, Canal, Clarendon, Fairhaven, Grand Manan, Leonardville, Lepreau, L'Etête, Pennfield, Pocologan, Second Falls, St. George, Utopia, Welshpool, Wilsons Beach

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	59.9	56.8
Arthritis	56.2	48.3
Chronic pain	41.8	40.7
Heart disease	40.3	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	24.5	31.5
Diabetes	33.3	30.4
Cancer	34.6	26.2
Depression	22.6	24.5
Asthma	18.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	16.9	18.3
Stroke	15.3	15.8
Dementia	6.2	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	2.2	3.7
Alzheimer	2.4	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and mai	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	23.8	25.5
Confident	59.1	55.9
Not very confident	9.8	12.2
Not at all confident	7.3	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

N II II	New Br Health

runswick Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Community 19

Overall Respondents

27.0

17.4

30.8

0.0

Extra-Mural Program (C19, n=110)		Home Support Servi	ces (C19, n=	48)	Caregiver Pro	file (C19, n	=132)	
Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	81.1	82.0	Housekeeping	93.5	92.9	% who live alone	31.3	33.1
Physiotherapist	28.0	25.5	Meal preparation	62.9	72.2	% with caregiver help	56.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	18.3	20.1	Grooming or dressing	33.8	49.6			
Dietitian	18.3	19.9	Bathing	35.6	48.1	Who helps	the most?(%)
Social worker	11.2	16.7	Respite relief/care	31.1	41.6	(among those wh	no receive help	n=71)
Occupational therapist	16.0	16.2	Transferring	23.1	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	4.1	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	25.3	30.7	Son or daughter	33.6	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	€ 1.9	3.3				Spouse or partner	30.8	33.4
Pharmacist	1.9	2.7				Other family member	14.7	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				Mother or father	13.3	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	6.4	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	61.3	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	85.6	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	no receive help	n=70)
Every day	3.8	5.9	Every day	40.8	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	19.9	22.6	A few times a week	48.6	52.0	Every day	59.9	58.6
Once/week or less	76.3	71.5	Once/week or less	10.6	13.7	A few times a week	20.9	20.5
						Once/week or less	17.4	19.8

Satisfaction with number of times care was				Satisfaction with num
received (in pas	st 2 months)			received (in past
	Community	NB		
% Very Satisfied	63.3	76.1		% Very Satisfied

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Di	fficulties in Receiving Ca
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who repor	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	9.2	7.6	Limits or reductions i service/care available	in types of 4.2
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	6.0	7.6	Limits or reductions in of service or hours av	in duration vailable 12.9

Community NB	Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)				
	Community NB				
% Very Satisfied 71.8 70.6	% Very Satisfied	71.8	70.6		

		Once/week or less	17.4	19.8			
s car	e was						
		Unmet Needs (n=132)					
nity	NB	All home care	e service recipie	nts			
	70.6	% who said 'yes'	Community	NB			
		Could anything else have					
Care		been done or provided to	10.6	11.4			
nity	NB	help you stay at home?					
		Top 3 mentions: What	could have been	done? ¹ (%)			
	10.0	More hours/Access after-hr.	17.9	28.1			
	19.8						

More home support services

More funding/high cost

25.6



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=110	n=768	n=3,153	
bility	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)	94.2 (91.0 - 97.3)	93.7 (92.5 - 95.0)	93.0 (92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessi	Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	98.2 (95.7 - 100)	96.6 (95.2 - 98.0)	96.8 (96.1 - 97.5)	
cation /	How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)	91.8 (88.1 - 95.5)	90.3 (88.8 - 91.8)	90.4 (89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)	97.3 (95.2 - 99.5)	96.8 (95.9 - 97.7)	96.4 (95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	86.6	76.7	77.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(80.9 - 92.4)	(73.9 - 79.4)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
ordi	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	95.7	83.6	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(81.2 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	53.5	52.0	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(46.5 - 60.5)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pat cen	Family caregivers were given information they	45.9	44.6	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(38.4 - 53.3)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
ion	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.4	97.7	96.7	
fact	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(96.7 - 100)	(96.9 - 98.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes)	94.7 (91.7 - 97.7)	94.8 (93.6 - 95.9)	94.2 (93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	66.9	66.9 70.4		
	to move around safely (% yes)	(59.9 - 73.8)	(67.9 - 72.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	62.6	64.9	72.3	
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(55.5 - 69.6)	(55.5 - 69.6) (62.4 - 67.5)		
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0 -	98.4 ^F	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=48	n=405	n=1,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	34.2 ^E	27.5	19.4
it₹	care services before starting (% very easy)	(24.0 - 44.5)	(24.1 - 30.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	81.5	85.0	80.1
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(73.3 - 89.7)	(82.5 - 87.5)	(78.8 - 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	77.4	71.8	58.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(68.6 - 86.3)	(68.6 - 75.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	87.6	85.0	84.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(80.7 - 94.6)	(82.4 - 87.5)	(83.3 - 85.7)
mur	How often home support workers treated clients	89.4	90.1	90.3
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(83.2 - 95.6)	(88.0 - 92.2)	(89.3 - 91.2)
	How often home support workers seemed informed	62.2	63.8	67.8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(40.2.704)		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(48.3 - 76.1)	(59.0 - 68.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	79.5	78.5	75.5
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.2 - 90.8)	(74.4 - 82.6)	(73.4 - 77.6)
a t		29.6 ^E	32.0	27.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	25.0	52.0	27.5
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(19.5 - 39.7)	(28.4 - 35.6)	(25.8 - 28.9)
ч	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	94.1	87.1	87.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(89.3 - 98.9) (84.8 - 89.5)		(86.8 - 88.9)
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	81.7	70.9	74.3
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(73.9 - 89.5)	(67.7 - 74.1)	(72.9 - 75.7)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0	F	1.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(1.4 - 2.3)
>	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.2 -	97.8 ^T	97.3 90.7
ш	preference] (% always)	(95.5 - 100)	(96.8 - 98.8)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	F	23.4	22.1
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>		(20.4 - 26.5)	(20.7 - 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
Demo	Brabille brothe	community (70)	
	Under 18	0.7	3.0
	18-64	25.2	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.7	19.7
	75-84	27.0	25.6
	85+	27.4	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	42.4	35.8
Gender	Female	57.6	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	46.0	51.8
Level	High school or higher	54.0	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	59.8	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	40.2	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.7	3.0

Included in this community:

Bayside, Bethel, Bocabec, Canoose, Chamcook, Dufferin, Little Ridge, Moores Mills, Oak Bay, Oak Hill, Old Ridge, Rollingdam, Saint Andrews, St. Stephen, Tower Hill, Waweig

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	63.0	56.8
Arthritis	53.2	48.3
Chronic pain	45.7	40.7
Heart disease	42.0	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	39.1	31.5
Diabetes	35.4	30.4
Cancer	25.2	26.2
Depression	24.3	24.5
Asthma	25.0	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	25.4	18.3
Stroke	22.2	15.8
Dementia	1.4	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.0	3.7
Alzheimer	2.5	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and ma	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	28.4	25.5
Confident	56.6	55.9
Not very confident	10.7	12.2
Not at all confident	4.3	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Cor	nmunity (%)	
16.1	24.7	35.8	23.5
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

10	N.	New Healt

Brunswick th Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Community 20

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	(C20, n= <u>1</u> 0	8)	Home Support Servi	ces (C20, n=	44)	Caregiver Pro	file (C20 <u>,</u> n:	=129)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	91.1	82.0	Housekeeping	95.5	92.9	% who live alone	37.7	33.1
Physiotherapist	16.1	25.5	Meal preparation	59.1	72.2	% with caregiver help	63.6	61.6
Respiratory therapist	21.1	20.1	Grooming or dressing	40.8	49.6			
Dietitian	21.6	19.9	Bathing	39.4	48.1	Who helps	the most?(%)
Social worker	16.2	16.7	Respite relief/care	38.1	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=80)
Occupational therapist	8.5	16.2	Transferring	31.6	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	3.5	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	21.5	30.7	Son or daughter	35.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.8	3.3				Spouse or partner	34.5	33.4
Pharmacist	1.6	2.7				Other family member	10.1	12.1
Doctor/Physician	4.0	1.7				Mother or father	7.6	8.6
How long have you been get	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been g	setting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	10.1	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	64.8	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	78.1	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=80)
Every day	3.4	5.9	Every day	39.2	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	11.8	22.6	A few times a week	42.3	52.0	Every day	63.8	58.6
Once/week or less	84.9	71.5	Once/week or less	18.5	13.7	A few times a week	13.7	20.5
						Once/week or less	21.2	19.8
Satisfaction with number	of times care	e was	Satisfaction with num	per of times car	e was			

Satisfaction with number of times care was			Satisfaction with number	
received (in past 2 months)			received (in past 2	
	Community	NB		
% Very Satisfied	79.7	76.1		% Very Satisfied

					_
Reported Difficulties in Re	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care	
	Community	NB		Community	
% who reported:			% who reported:		
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	5.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	18.4	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	3.5	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	22.8	

of times care	e was	Satisfaction with nur	nber of times car	e was	
onths)		received (in pas	received (in past 2 months)		
Community	NB		Community	NB	
79.7	76.1	% Very Satisfied	71.9	70.6	

Unmet Needs (n=129)						
All home care	service recipie	nts				
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	9.3	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been o	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	43.8	28.1				
More home support services	16.9	27.0				
More funding/high cost	8.4	17.4				

NB

19.8

25.6



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)		
	Sample Size	n=108	n=768	n=3,153		
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	96.2	93.7	93.0		
ibil	it? (% yes)	(93.6 - 98.8)	(92.5 - 95.0)	(92.4 - 93.7)		
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	97.8	96.6	96.8		
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(94.6 - 100)	(95.2 - 98.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)		
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	87.7	90.3	90.4		
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 92.2)	(88.8 - 91.8)	(89.6 - 91.1)		
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	99.2	96.8	96.4		
Com	and respect (% always)	(97.9 - 100)	(95.9 - 97.7)	(95.9 - 96.8)		
	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	76.4	76.7	77.1		
tior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients		(73.9 - 79.4)			
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(69.2 - 83.5)	(73.9 - 79.4)	(75.8 - 78.5)		
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	86.5	86.5 83.6			
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.7 - 92.3)	(81.2 - 86.0)	(80.5 - 83.0)		
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	49.3	52.0	49.3		
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(42.5 - 56.2)	(49.4 - 54.6)	(48.0 - 50.6)		
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	49.9	44.6	42.8		
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(42.7 - 57.2)	(41.8 - 47.4)	(41.4 - 44.2)		
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.5	97.7	96.7		
actio	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.4 - 99.6)	(96.9 - 98.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)		
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	93.9	94.8 94.2			
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(90.6 - 97.1)	(90.6 - 97.1) (93.6 - 95.9) (93.6 -			
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	68.3	68.3 70.4		68.3 70.4 72	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(61.4 - 75.2)	(61.4 - 75.2) (67.9 - 72.9)			
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	75.7	64.9	72.3		
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(69.7 - 81.7)	(62.4 - 67.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)		
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E		
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)		
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.9 -	98.4	97.5 92.7		
	preference] (% always)	(97.6 - 100)	(97.8 - 99.0)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)		



		Community (%)	Zone 2 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=44	n=405	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	41.3 ^E	27.5	19.4	
it√	care services before starting (% very easy)	(29.8 - 52.8)	(24.1 - 30.8)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	95.4	85.0	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(90.8 - 100)	(82.5 - 87.5)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	73.3	71.8	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(63.7 - 82.8)	(68.6 - 75.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	84.9	85.0	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(77.1 - 92.7)	(82.4 - 87.5)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	90.3	90.1	90.3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(84.1 - 96.6)	(88.0 - 92.2)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	71.0	63.8	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(50.2.02.0)	(50.0		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(59.2 - 82.8)	(59.0 - 68.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	79.3	78.5	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.9 - 89.7)	(74.4 - 82.6)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
ent- red	Family caregivers were given information they	42.9 ^E 32.0		27.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(31.5 - 54.3)	(28.4 - 35.6)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
c	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	92.5	87.1	87.9	
ctio	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(86.8 - 98.1)	(84.8 - 89.5)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend agency or home support	86.0	70.9	74.3	
Sat	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(78.7 - 93.3) (67.7 - 74.2		(72.9 - 75.7)	
ity	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	se of an error		1.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)			(1.4 - 2.3)	
tγ	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	95.3 -	97.8 ^T	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(90.8 - 99.8)	(96.8 - 98.8)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	30.6 ^E	23.4	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(20.2 - 41.1)	(20.4 - 26.5)	(20.7 - 23.5)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	0.0	3.0
	18-64	36.8	30.8
Age group	65-74	19.8	19.7
	75-84	25.0	25.6
	85+	18.4	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	40.9	35.8
Genuer	Female	59.1	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	45.3	51.8
Level	High school or higher	54.7	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	47.0	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	53.0	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.4	3.0

Included in this community:

Burton, Fredericton Junction, Gagetown, Geary, Hoyt, Oromocto, Tracy, Upper Gagetown

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	54.7	56.8
Arthritis	42.4	48.3
Chronic pain	36.8	40.7
Heart disease	35.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	26.3	31.5
Diabetes	38.5	30.4
Cancer	20.5	26.2
Depression	25.1	24.5
Asthma	23.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	22.1	18.3
Stroke	18.0	15.8
Dementia	12.9	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	5.0	3.7
Alzheimer	7.5	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 22.2 25.5 Confident 55.9 62.0 Not very confident 3.8 12.2 Not at all confident 12.1 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

Community (%)			
18.1	25.7	34.8	21.4
_		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

0		0	-
	-	1	
		r	- 2

New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Community 21

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	(C21, n=51	L)	Home Su
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Service Recei
	Community	NB	
Nurse	82.4	82.0	Housekeeping
Physiotherapist	23.9	25.5	Meal preparat
Respiratory therapist	23.8	20.1	Grooming or d
Dietitian	20.7	19.9	Bathing
Social worker	13.5	16.7	Respite relief/
Occupational therapist	16.7	16.2	Transferring
Speech language pathologist	4.1	3.5	Feeding or nut
Rehabilitation support personne	1.8	3.3	
Pharmacist	3.6	2.7	
Doctor/Physician	1.8	1.7	
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long ha
Services for at least 1 year	57.2	48.4	Services for at le
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How ofte
Every day	5.6	5.9	Every day
A few times a week	19.3	22.6	A few times a
Once/week or less	75.1	71.5	Once/week or

Home Support Services (C21, n=25)				
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)				
	Community ²	NB		
Housekeeping	-	92.9		
Meal preparation	-	72.2		
Grooming or dressing	-	49.6		
Bathing	-	48.1		
Respite relief/care	-	41.6		
Transferring	-	34.8		
Feeding or nutrition care	-	30.7		
How long have you been ge	tting services	? (%)		
ervices for at least 1 year	-	73.0		
How often do you receive	e services? (%	6)		
Every day	-	34.3		
A few times a week	-	52.0		
Once/week or less	-	13.7		

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)				
Community NB				
% Very Satisfied 72.9 76.1				

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			
	Community	NB	
% who reported:			
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	6.0	7.6	Limits of service,
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	5.5	7.6	Limits of servi

Satisfaction with number of times care was			
received (in past 2 months)			
Community ² NB			
Very Satisfied - 70.			

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			
	Community ²	NB	
% who reported:			
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	-	19.8	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	-	25.6	

%

All home care service recipients			
	Community	NB	
% who live alone	26.5	33.1	
% with caregiver help	58.9	61.6	
Who helps	the most? (%)	
(among those wi	ho receive help	o n=35)	
	Community	NB	
Son or daughter	33.5	36.9	
Spouse or partner	46.9	33.4	
Other family member	8.4	12.1	
Mother or father	5.5	8.6	
Friend or volunteer	2.8	6.9	
How often do	you get help?	(%)	
(among those wi	ho receive help	o n=33)	
	Community	NB	
Every day	61.3	58.6	
A few times a week	15.4	20.5	
Once/week or less	23.3	19.8	

Caregiver Profile (C21, n=61)

Unmet Needs (n=61)				
All home care	service recipie	nts		
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB		
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	8.1	11.4		
Top 3 mentions: What could have been done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	0.0	28.1		
More home support services	45.1	27.0		
More funding/high cost	17.5	17.4		

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.

²Sample size is too small for results to be reported.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=51	n=618	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.6	92.6	93.0	
ilidi	it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(87.5 - 97.8)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	87.2	97.7	96.8	
Aco	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(74.8 - 99.7)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	90.1	92.6	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(84.1 - 96.1)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	98.2	96.2	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(95.6 - 100)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about all	60.9	71.9	77.1	
tion	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(47.0.74.4)	(60.6.75.2)		
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(47.8 - 74.1)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	79.3	84.6	81.7	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(68.6 - 90.0)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	61.5	52.2	49.3	
ent- red	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(51.8 - 71.1)	(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	36.1 ^E	44.9	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(26.3 - 45.9)	(41.9 - 48.0)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	94.5	96.5	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(90.0 - 99.0)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.5	93.8	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(90.0 - 99.0)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	83.1	74.2	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(75.3 - 90.9)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	61.3	72.8	72.3	
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(51.5 - 71.1)	(70.2 - 75.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.2 -	97.7 84.3 ^E	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(95.7 - 100)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



		Community ['] (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=25	n=420	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home		23.8	19.4	
itγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	-	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you		82.2	80.1	
ces	needed it? (% yes)	-	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home		76.2	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	-	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in		86.0	84.5	
licat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	-	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
unu	How often home support workers treated clients		91.5	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	-	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed		67.2	67.8	
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with	-	-		
linat	services from more than one person] (% always)		(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information		74.2	75.5	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	-	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
고 고				27.2	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	_	32.8	27.3	
Pat	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received		89.6	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	_	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
tisf	Would recommend agency or home support		78.6	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	-	(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	-		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of		Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a		97.6 ^T	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)		(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	_	18.2		
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>		(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	5.7	3.0
	18-64	27.5	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.4	19.7
	75-84	23.2	25.6
	85+	23.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	32.2	35.8
Gender	Female	67.8	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	33.8	51.8
Level	High school or higher	66.2	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	51.2	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	48.8	37.9
Preferred	English	98.7	72.8
language of service	French	1.3	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	3.2	3.0

Included in this community:

Beaver Dam, Charters Settlement, Durham Bridge, Fredericton, Hanwell, Harvey, Harvey Station, Island View, Kingsclear First Nation, Lincoln, Maugerville, Mazerolle Settlement, Nashwaak Bridge, Nashwaak Village, Nasonworth, New Maryland, Noonan, Penniac, Richibucto Road, Rusagonis, Smithfield, Taymouth, Upper Kingsclear, Waasis

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	54.0	56.8
Arthritis	49.2	48.3
Chronic pain	40.7	40.7
Heart disease	34.8	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	33.2	31.5
Diabetes	28.4	30.4
Cancer	26.4	26.2
Depression	23.0	24.5
Asthma	20.5	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	17.3	18.3
Stroke	17.7	15.8
Dementia	7.5	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.3	3.7
Alzheimer	5.9	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition						
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)				
Very confident	26.3	25.5				
Confident	52.2	55.9				
Not very confident	14.6	12.2				
Not at all confident	6.9	6.4				

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Community (%)						
18.6	30.2	24.1	27.1				
	_	NB (%)					
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4				

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

0	n n	New Healt
		incure

Brunswick th Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

67.4

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Home Care at a Glance

Community 22

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C22, n=180)		Home Support Servio	ces (C22, n=1	l 11)	Caregiver Pro	file (C22, n	=239)	
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients		nts		
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	73.9	82.0	Housekeeping	86.8	92.9	% who live alone	40.4	33.1
Physiotherapist	27.4	25.5	Meal preparation	62.3	72.2	% with caregiver help	64.9	61.6
Respiratory therapist	18.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	53.9	49.6			
Dietitian	25.2	19.9	Bathing	54.9	48.1	Who helps	the most?(%)
Social worker	11.9	16.7	Respite relief/care	Respite relief/care 48.1 41.6		(among those wh	o receive help	n=148)
Occupational therapist	22.7	16.2	Transferring	31.7	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.7	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	23.7	30.7	Son or daughter	42.0	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	e 3.3	3.3				Spouse or partner	34.2	33.4
Pharmacist	2.9	2.7				Other family member	8.5	12.1
Doctor/Physician	3.1	1.7				Mother or father	9.8	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	setting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	4.4	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	34.3	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	60.8	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive services? (%)		How often do you receive services? (%)		(among those wh	o receive help	n=150)		
Every day	4.9	5.9	Every day	24.7	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	23.8	22.6	A few times a week	56.5	52.0	Every day	55.4	58.6
Once/week or less	71.3	71.5	Once/week or less	18.8	13.7	A few times a week	22.3	20.5

% Very Satisfied

Satisfaction with number of times care was					
received (in past 2 months)					
	Community	NB			
% Very Satisfied 74.2 76.1					

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in Receiving Car	е
	Community	NB	Community	
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	8.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of 20.2 service/care available	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available26.7	

All home care service recipients						
	Community	NB				
% who live alone	40.4	33.1				
% with caregiver help	64.9	61.6				
Who helps	the most?(%)				
(among those who	o receive help	n=148)				
	Community	NB				
Son or daughter	42.0	36.9				
Spouse or partner	34.2	33.4				
Other family member	8.5	12.1				
Mother or father	9.8	8.6				
Friend or volunteer	4.4	6.9				
How often do	you get help?	(%)				
(among those who	o receive help	n=150)				
	Community	NB				
Every day	55.4	58.6				
A few times a week	22.3	20.5				
Once/week or less	21.8	19.8				

Unmet Needs (n=239)							
All home care	service recipie	nts					
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB					
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	11.6	11.4					
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)					
More hours/Access after-hr.	19.9	28.1					
More home support services	20.7	27.0					
More funding/high cost	10.9	17.4					

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=180	n=618	n=3,153
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	89.5	92.6	93.0
ibil	it? (% yes)	(86.3 - 92.7)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	96.3	97.7	96.8
Act	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(93.2 - 99.3)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	92.6	92.6	90.4
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(89.9 - 95.3)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	93.9	96.2	96.4
Con	and respect (% always)	(91.4 - 96.4)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)
_	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	66.8	71.9	77.1
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(60.6 - 73.0)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)			(73.8 - 78.5)
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	85.1	84.6	81.7
Ū	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(80.4 - 89.8)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	48.3	52.2	49.3
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(43.0 - 53.6)	(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)
Pati cen [.]	Family caregivers were given information they	40.4	44.9	42.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(34.6 - 46.1)	(34.6 - 46.1) (41.9 - 48.0)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.3	96.5	96.7
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(93.1 - 97.5)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	92.5	93.8	94.2
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(89.8 - 95.3)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	74.9	74.2	72.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(69.8 - 80.0)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	65.5	72.8	72.3
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(60.3 - 70.8) (70.2 - 75.5)		(71.1 - 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0 ^F		0.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)	,,	(0.5 - 0.9)
ţ	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.3	97.7 84.3 ^E	97.5 92.7
ш	preference] (% always)	(97.0 - 99.7)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)



		Community	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	· · · ·	(%)			
	Sample Size	n=111	n=420	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.1 ^E	23.8	19.4	
lity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(13.5 - 24.7)	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
sibi	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	79.1	82.2	80.1	
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(73.7 - 84.5)	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	71.6	76.2	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(65.4 - 77.7)	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	85.9	86.0	84.5	
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(81.1 - 90.7)	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	94.6	91.5	90.3	
Corr	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(91.5 - 97.6)	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	67.8	67.2	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(59.2 - 76.4)	(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(33.2 - 70.4)	(02.5 - 71.0)	(05.5 - 70.1)	
boo	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	81.4	74.2	75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(74.4 - 88.4)	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
nt- ed	Family caregivers were given information they	22.1 ^E	32.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)		(22.2.2.2.2)	(25.0.00.0)	
äö		(15.7 - 28.5)	(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
ы	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	89.1	89.6	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.9 - 93.3)	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	70.9	78.6	74.3	
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)		(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error		F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(1.4 - 2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.4 -	97.6 ^T	97.3 90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(95.2 - 99.5)	(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	21.6 ^E	18.2	22.1	
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(15.9 - 27.3)	(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	4.1	3.0
	18-64	31.4	30.8
Age group	65-74	16.9	19.7
	75-84	35.5	25.6
	85+	12.2	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	30.5	35.8
Gender	Female	69.5	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	53.0	51.8
Level	High school or higher	47.0	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	61.1	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	38.9	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	0.0	3.0

Included in this community:

Bear Island, Canterbury, Dumfries, Keswick Ridge, Lake George, Mactaquac, McAdam, Meductic, Millville, Nackawic, North Lake, Prince William, Skiff Lake, Southampton, Temperance Vale, Upper Queensbury, Zealand

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	58.5	56.8
Arthritis	52.5	48.3
Chronic pain	40.6	40.7
Heart disease	38.5	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	34.0	31.5
Diabetes	41.2	30.4
Cancer	24.0	26.2
Depression	25.6	24.5
Asthma	13.2	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	17.6	18.3
Stroke	18.4	15.8
Dementia	4.2	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.5	3.7
Alzheimer	1.2	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition							
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)					
Very confident	25.3	25.5					
Confident	60.0	55.9					
Not very confident	8.4	12.2					
Not at all confident	6.3	6.4					

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	6)		
17.7	30.2	28.4	23.7
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

Home Care at a Glance

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

76.8

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Community 23

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C23, n=48)			Home Support Services (C23, n=37)			Caregiver Profile (C23, n=72)		
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients				
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	84.0	82.0	Housekeeping	88.0	92.9	% who live alone	30.8	33.1
Physiotherapist	25.5	25.5	Meal preparation	78.0	72.2	% with caregiver help	74.5	61.6
Respiratory therapist	26.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing	57.5	49.6			
Dietitian	34.5	19.9	Bathing	45.0	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%)	
Social worker 22.1 16.7		16.7	Respite relief/care	41.8	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=52)
Occupational therapist	9.6	16.2	Transferring	29.6	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	4.3	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	30.3	30.7	Son or daughter	49.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	0.0	3.3				Spouse or partner	27.6	33.4
Pharmacist	0.0	2.7				Other family member	3.8	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				Mother or father	9.4	8.6
How long have you been getti	ng services?	(%)	How long have you been getting services? (%)		Friend or volunteer	8.0	6.9	
Services for at least 1 year	51.1	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	78.3	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive services? (%)			How often do you receive services? (%)		(among those who receive help n=5		n=52)	
Every day	12.0	5.9	Every day	27.8	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	18.7	22.6	A few times a week	64.0	52.0	Every day	55.1	58.6
Once/week or less	69.3	71.5	Once/week or less	8.1	13.7	A few times a week	21.7	20.5

% Very Satisfied

Satisfaction with number of times care was					
received (in past 2 months)					
Community NB					
% Very Satisfied 78.0 76.1					

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	1	Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care	
Community NB					Community
% who reported:				% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	3.7	7.6		Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	5.6
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	4.3	7.6		Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	10.9

All home care service recipients							
	Community	NB					
% who live alone	30.8	33.1					
% with caregiver help	74.5	61.6					
Who helps	the most?(%)					
(among those wh	o receive help	n=52)					
	Community	NB					
Son or daughter	49.3	36.9					
Spouse or partner	27.6	33.4					
Other family member	3.8	12.1					
Mother or father	9.4	8.6					
Friend or volunteer	8.0	6.9					
How often do	you get help?	(%)					
(among those wh	o receive help	n=52)					
	Community	NB					
Every day	55.1	58.6					
A few times a week	21.7	20.5					
 Once/week or less	23.2	19.8					

Unmet Needs (n=72)									
All home care	All home care service recipients								
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB							
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	4.2	11.4							
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)							
More hours/Access after-hr.	0.0	28.1							
More home support services	67.1	27.0							
More funding/high cost	0.0	17.4							

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Commu	nity (%)	Zone	3 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=	48	n=	618	n=3	,153
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	95	.6	92	2.6	93	3.0
ibil	it? (% yes)	(91.4 -	99.8)	(91.1 -	94.1)	(92.4	- 93.7)
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	10	100.0		.7	96	5.8
	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 -	(100 - 100)		99.0)	(96.1	- 97.5)
ion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91	.8	92	2.6	90).4
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(86.2 -	97.3)	(91.1 -	94.1)	(89.6	- 91.1)
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	10	0.0	96	5.2	96	5.4
Com	and respect (% always)	(100 -	100)	(95.1 -	97.3)	(95.9	- 96.8)
-	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	84	.6	71	9	77	7.1
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(75.8 -	031)	(68.6 -	75 2)	(75.8	- 78.5)
dina	with services from more than one person] (% always)		,				
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	79	.7	84	.6	81	L.7
C	from more than one person]	(70.1 - 89.2)		(81.9 - 87.2)		(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	61.9		52.2		49	9.3
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(52.0 - 71.8)		(49.3 - 55.0)		(48.0	- 50.6)
Pati ceni	Family caregivers were given information they	52.8		44	.9	42	2.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(42.3 - 63.4)		(41.9 -	- 48.0)	(41.4	- 44.2)
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	98.1		96.5		96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.3 - 100)		(95.4 - 97.5)		(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	97	97.5		8.8	94	1.2
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(94.4 -	- 100)	(92.4 -	95.2)	(93.6	- 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	67	.6	74	.2	72	2.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(57.2 -	77.9)	(71.4 -	- 76.9)	(71.0	- 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	82.2 72.8		72	2.3		
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(73.7 -	90.7)	(70.2 -	- 75.5)		- 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0			F	0	.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 -	0)			(0.5	- 0.9)
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.1	-	97.7	84.3 ^E	97.5	92.7
	preference] (% always)	(95.4 - 100)		(96.9 - 98.6)	(63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0)	(91.4 - 94.0)



		Comm (۹		Zone	3 (%)	NB	(%)
	Sample Size	n=	37	n=	420	n=1	,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	32	.7 ^E	23	8.8	19	9.4
it√	care services before starting (% very easy)	(20.9 -	44.5)	(20.7 -	- 26.9)	(18.1	- 20.8)
lidia	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	94	.2	82	2.2	80).1
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(88.8 -	99.7)	(79.6	- 84.9)	(78.8	- 81.4)
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	80	.0	76	5.2	58	3.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(70.8 -	89.2)	(73.2 -	- 79.2)	(57.0	- 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	81	.5	86	5.0	84	1.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(72.5 -	90.4)	(83.5 -	- 88.4)	(83.3	- 85.7)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	89	.6	91	5	90).3
Con	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(82.6 -	96.6)	(89.5 -	- 93.4)	(89.3	- 91.2)
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	81	.6	67	7.2	67	7.8
tior	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(70.0	02.21	162.0	71 ()	105.5	70 1)
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)	(70.0 -	93.2)	(02.9	- 71.6)	(05.5	- 70.1)
poor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	75.9		74.2		75.5	
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(63.1 - 88.7)		(70.2 -	- 78.2)	(73.4	- 77.6)
ed F		45.5 ^E		32	2.8	27	7.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)						-
Pa ce		(33.7 -	57.3)	(29.3 - 36.2)		(25.8 - 28.9)	
ч	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	88.9 89.6		87	7.9		
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(81.7 -	(81.7 - 96.1) (87.5 - 91.7)		(86.8	- 88.9)	
atisf	Would recommend agency or home support	85.8 78.6		8.6	74.3		
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(77.7 -			- 81.4)		- 75.7)
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	:		F	1	.8 ^E
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>					(1.4	- 2.3)
Ę	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	100.0	-	97.6	т	97.3	90.7
ш	preference] (% always)	(100 - 100)		(96.6 - 98.7)		(96.7 - 97.9	(88.8 - 92.5)
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	F		18	3.2	22	2.1
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>			(15.5	- 20.9)	(20.7	- 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	2.4	3.0
	18-64	23.9	30.8
Age group	65-74	25.4	19.7
	75-84	29.9	25.6
	85+	18.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	38.6	35.8
Gender	Female	61.4	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	60.5	51.8
Level	High school or higher	39.5	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	69.2	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	30.8	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of <u>service</u>	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.2	3.0

Included in this community:

Blissfield, Boiestown, Burtts Corner, Doaktown, Douglas, Estey's Bridge, Hamtown Corner, Killarney Road, Ludlow, McLeod Hill, New Bandon, Royal Road, Stanley, Tay Creek, Upper Miramichi

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	64.0	56.8
Arthritis	56.0	48.3
Chronic pain	43.3	40.7
Heart disease	47.6	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	34.2	31.5
Diabetes	31.3	30.4
Cancer	29.2	26.2
Depression	29.7	24.5
Asthma	25.7	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	30.3	18.3
Stroke	22.9	15.8
Dementia	15.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.2	3.7
Alzheimer	9.0	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition Community [Among clients with at least one chronic NB *health condition from table above*] (%) (%) Very confident 21.4 25.5 Confident 55.9 51.4 Not very confident 16.0 12.2 Not at all confident 11.2 6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

15.0	26.4	29.7	28.9
		NB (%)	
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor





Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

NB 92.9

72.2

49.6

48.1 41.6

34.8

30.7

73.0

34.3

52.0

13.7

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

83.6

Home Care at a Glance

Community 24

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C24, n=44)				ome Support Serv	vices (C24, n=	55)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	onths)	(%)	Serv	vice Received (in past 2	2 months)	(%)
	Community	NB			Community	NB
Nurse	82.7	82.0	Hous	sekeeping	94.4	92.9
Physiotherapist	31.5	25.5	Mea	l preparation	75.3	72.2
Respiratory therapist	14.2	20.1	Groo	oming or dressing	44.3	49.6
Dietitian	32.2	19.9	Bath	ing	49.4	48.1
Social worker	15.1	16.7	Resp	ite relief/care	35.8	41.6
Occupational therapist	28.4	16.2	Tran	sferring	39.2	34.8
Speech language pathologist	4.2	3.5	Feed	ling or nutrition care	27.9	30.7
Rehabilitation support personne	4.2	3.3				
Pharmacist	4.8	2.7				
Doctor/Physician	2.1	1.7				
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	Но	w long have you been	getting services	? (%)
Services for at least 1 year	46.2	48.4	Service	es for at least 1 year	76.2	73.0
How often do you receive	services? (9	%)		How often do you rec	eive services? (%	6)
Every day	8.9	5.9	Every	y day	42.6	34.3
A few times a week	24.3	22.6	A fev	w times a week	49.6	52.0
Once/week or less	66.8	71.5	Once	e/week or less	7.9	13.7

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)					
Community NB					
% Very Satisfied 70.5 76.1					

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			1 [Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB			Community
% who reported:				% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	15.2	7.6		Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	25.3
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.1	7.6		Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	25.8

% Very Satisfied

Caregiver Profile (C24, n=82)							
All home care	service recipie	nts					
	Community	NB					
% who live alone	40.1	33.1					
% with caregiver help	62.1	61.6					
Who helps	the most?(%)					
(among those wh	o receive help	n=46)					
	Community	NB					
Son or daughter	34.2	36.9					
Spouse or partner	27.2	33.4					
Other family member	28.2	12.1					
Mother or father	4.2	8.6					
Friend or volunteer	6.2	6.9					
How often do	you get help?	(%)					
(among those wh	o receive help	n=48)					
	Community	NB					
Every day	58.5	58.6					
A few times a week	10.5	20.5					
Once/week or less	28.4	19.8					

Unmet Needs (n=82)						
All home care service recipients						
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	18.0	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	61.0	28.1				
More home support services	6.9	27.0				
More funding/high cost	7.2	17.4				

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=44	n=618	n=3,153	
ibility	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)	95.8 (91.6 - 100)	92.6 (91.1 - 94.1)	93.0 (92.4 - 93.7)	
Access	Always got help when contacting the office [Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	100.0 (100 - 100)	97.7 (96.4 - 99.0)	96.8 (96.1 - 97.5)	
ication	How often providers explained things in a way that was easy to understand (% always)	90.4 (84.1 - 96.7)	92.6 (91.1 - 94.1)	90.4 (89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy and respect (% always)	100.0 (100 - 100)	96.2 (95.1 - 97.3)	96.4 (95.9 - 96.8)	
	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	78.6	71.9	77.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(67.8 - 89.5)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coord	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	78.5	84.6	81.7	
U	from more than one person]	(67.6 - 89.4)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
ور با	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	55.8	52.2	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(45.3 - 66.3) E	(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pa	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	36.7 [°] (25.5 - 48.0)	44.9 (41.9 - 48.0)	42.8 (41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.8	96.5	96.7	
actio	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(94.7 - 100)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends (% definitely yes)	97.3 (94.0 - 100)	93.8 (92.4 - 95.2)	94.2 (93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	83.0	74.2	72.2	
	to move around safely <i>(% yes)</i>	(74.5 - 91.4)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	75.6	72.8	72.3	
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(66.2 - 85.0) (70.2 - 75.5		(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)	
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a preference] (% always)	100.0 - (100 - 100)	97.7 84.3 ^E (96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	97.5 92.7 (97.0 - 98.0) (914 - 94.0)	



		Community	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	- · · ·	(%)			
	Sample Size	n=55	n=420	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	30.7 ^E	23.8	19.4	
Ϊtγ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(21.4 - 40.0)	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	82.1	82.2	80.1	
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(74.8 - 89.4)	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	74.6	76.2	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(66.1 - 83.2)	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
uo	How often home support workers explained things in	85.6	86.0	84.5	
icati	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(78.9 - 92.2)	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	89.8	91.5	90.3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(84.0 - 95.5)	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	65.2	67.2	67.8	
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(51.5 - 78.8)	(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
din	services from more than one person] (% always)	. ,			
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	70.6	74.2	75.5	
U U	from more than one person]	(57.8 - 83.4)	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
nt- ed	Family caregivers were given information they	35.3 ^E	32.8	27.3	
Patient- centred	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(25.3 - 45.2)	(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
с р		, ,	, ,	· · ·	
ion	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	85.9	89.6	87.9	
fact	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(79.3 - 92.4)	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
Satisfaction	Would recommend agency or home support	89.0	78.6	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(83.0 - 95.0)	(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	0.0	F	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>	(0 - 0)		(1.4 - 2.3)	
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.0 -	97.6 ^T	97.3 90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(95.3 - 100)	(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9 (88.8 - 92.5)	
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	21.9 ^E	18.2	22.1	
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(13.8 - 30.1)	(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	





Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.5	3.0
	18-64	30.1	30.8
Age group	65-74	22.8	19.7
	75-84	21.3	25.6
	85+	22.3	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	35.7	35.8
Gender	Female	64.3	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	45.3	51.8
Level	High school or higher	54.7	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	57.3	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	42.7	37.9
Preferred	English	100.0	72.8
language of service	French	0.0	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	2.0	3.0

Included in this community:

Bath, Bedell, Beechwood, Belleville, Bloomfield, Carlow, Centreville, Cloverdale, Florenceville-Bristol, Glassville, Grafton, Greenfield, Hartland, Holmesville, Jacksonville, Johnville, Juniper, Lower Woodstock, Newbridge, Newburg, Northampton, Pembroke, Somerville, Summerfield, Upper Kent, Victoria Corner, Wakefield, Waterville, Woodstock

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	58.0	56.8
Arthritis	47.1	48.3
Chronic pain	37.7	40.7
Heart disease	40.1	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	27.6	31.5
Diabetes	32.6	30.4
Cancer	22.2	26.2
Depression	28.3	24.5
Asthma	18.9	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	24.8	18.3
Stroke	13.1	15.8
Dementia	8.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	3.4	3.7
Alzheimer	6.1	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and man	nage health co	ndition
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)
Very confident	27.7	25.5
Confident	48.9	55.9
Not very confident	14.6	12.2
Not at all confident	8.8	6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Community (%)							
18.0	24.5	34.8	22.7					
		NB (%)						
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4					

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health

40.9	Community (%) 34.5		6)	18.4	6.2
		NB (%)	_		_
37.5		35.2		20.6	6.7

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

nr	New Hea

v Brunswick Ith Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Community 25

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	(C25, n=17	7)	Home Support Servi	ices (C25, n=	87)	Caregiver Pro	file (C25 <u>,</u> n:	=223)
Service Received (in past 2 m	onths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	77.5	82.0	Housekeeping	97.5	92.9	% who live alone	32.5	33.1
Physiotherapist	30.4	25.5	Meal preparation	86.6	72.2	% with caregiver help	62.8	61.6
Respiratory therapist	30.6	20.1	Grooming or dressing	52.2	49.6			•
Dietitian	28.7	19.9	Bathing	54.7	48.1	Who helps	the most? (%)
Social worker	16.0	16.7	Respite relief/care	48.3	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=132)
Occupational therapist	16.1	16.2	Transferring	36.0	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	5.3	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	34.3	30.7	Son or daughter	38.9	36.9
Rehabilitation support personn	e 2.9	3.3				Spouse or partner	29.8	33.4
Pharmacist	3.3	2.7				Other family member	11.2	12.1
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				Mother or father	10.2	8.6
How long have you been get	tting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	9.9	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	48.1	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	75.1	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you rece	ive services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=135)
Every day	4.9	5.9	Every day	39.9	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	23.3	22.6	A few times a week	47.8	52.0	Every day	51.0	58.6
Once/week or less	71.8	71.5	Once/week or less	12.3	13.7	A few times a week	26.2	20.5
						Once/week or less	22.8	19.8

NB

19.8

25.6

Satisfaction with nun	nber of times care	Satisfaction with n	umber of times car	e was	
received (in past 2 months)			received (in p	ast 2 months)	
	Community	NB		Community	NB
% Very Satisfied	78.1	76.1	% Very Satisfied	70.7	70.6

Reported Difficulties in R	Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB			Community
% who reported:				% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	7.1	7.6		Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	16.5
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	7.7	7.6		Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	23.5

Unmet Needs (n=223)						
All home care	service recipie	nts				
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	9.3	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	12.4	28.1				
More home support services	49.8	27.0				
More funding/high cost	4.3	17.4				

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=177	n=618	n=3,153	
lity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.0	92.6	93.0	
sibi	it? (% yes)	(89.2 - 94.9)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
ces	Always got help when contacting the office	98.4	97.7	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(96.3 - 100)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	94.7	92.6	90.4	
nica	was easy to understand (% always)	(92.2 - 97.1)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	95.8	96.2	96.4	
Con	and respect (% always)	(93.7 - 97.9)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	73.0	71.9	77.1	
atio	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(66.8 - 79.1)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)			(73.0 70.3)	
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	89.2	84.6	81.7	
U	from more than one person]	(84.8 - 93.5)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	52.8	52.2	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(47.4 - 58.1)	(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	51.0	44.9	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(45.3 - 56.8)	(41.9 - 48.0)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	97.2	96.5	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(95.5 - 99.0)	(95.4 - 97.5)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
ıtisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.1	93.8	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(91.6 - 96.5)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	71.7	74.2	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(66.5 - 76.9)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	80.9	72.8	72.3	
Sat	they were taking (% yes)	(76.6 - 85.3)	(70.2 - 75.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
it y	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	97.6 -	97.7 84.3 ^E		
	preference] (% always)	(96.0 - 99.2)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	

^EUse with caution (coefficient of variation between 16.6% and 33.3%)

^{*F}</sup>Too unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)*</sup>



		Community	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)
		(%)		
	Sample Size	n=87 27.4 ^E	n=420	n=1,905
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home		23.8	19.4
lity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(20.2 - 34.6)	(20.7 - 26.9)	(18.1 - 20.8)
sibi	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	80.6	82.2	80.1
Accessibility	needed it? (% yes)	(74.7 - 86.6)	(79.6 - 84.9)	(78.8 - 81.4)
Ă	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	71.5	76.2	58.6
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(64.7 - 78.3)	(73.2 - 79.2)	(57.0 - 60.3)
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	82.1	86.0	84.5
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(76.2 - 88.0)	(83.5 - 88.4)	(83.3 - 85.7)
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	86.5	91.5	90.3
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(81.3 - 91.7)	(89.5 - 93.4)	(89.3 - 91.2)
-	How often home support workers seemed informed	56.4	67.2	67.8
Coordination	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with	(46.9 - 65.8)	(62.9 - 71.6)	(65.5 - 70.1)
dina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(40.9 - 05.8)	(02.9 - 71.0)	(05.5 - 70.1)
Doc.	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	68.4	74.2	75.5
Ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(59.5 - 77.3)	(70.2 - 78.2)	(73.4 - 77.6)
ed -		40.2	32.8	27.3
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)			_
Pa ce		(32.5 - 48.0)	(29.3 - 36.2)	(25.8 - 28.9)
5	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	88.8	89.6	87.9
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.1 - 93.6)	(87.5 - 91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)
tisf	Would recommend agency or home support	73.8	78.6	74.3
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)		(75.8 - 81.4)	(72.9 - 75.7)
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	F	1.8 ^E
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)			(1.4 - 2.3)
ty	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	96.6 -	97.6 ^T	97.3 90.7
ш	preference] (% always)	(93.9 - 99.3) 13.4 ^E	(96.6 - 98.7)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)
ier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services		18.2	22.1
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(8.2 - 18.7)	(15.5 - 20.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)



2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹

Included in this community:



Demographic profile		Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	1.8	3.0
	18-64	25.6	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.3	19.7
	75-84	27.6	25.6
	85+	24.8	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	33.7	35.8
Centuer	Female	66.3	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	57.7	51.8
Level	High school or higher	42.3	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	75.7	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	24.3	37.9
Preferred	English	96.2	72.8
language of service	French	3.8	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	1.8	3.0

Aroostook, Arthurette, Carlingford, Kilburn, New Denmark, Perth-Andover, Plaster Rock, Riley Brook, Tilley, Tobique First Nation

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	57.2	56.8
Arthritis	62.2	48.3
Chronic pain	40.1	40.7
Heart disease	37.9	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	30.2	31.5
Diabetes	31.2	30.4
Cancer	22.8	26.2
Depression	18.5	24.5
Asthma	14.2	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	23.7	18.3
Stroke	15.1	15.8
Dementia	7.1	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	1.8	3.7
Alzheimer	4.1	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition				
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)		
Very confident	28.4	25.5		
Confident	50.9	55.9		
Not very confident	14.0	12.2		
Not at all confident	6.7	6.4		

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

78.5

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Home Care at a Glance

Community 26

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C26, n=69)			Home Support Services (C26, n=62)			
Service Received (in past 2 months)		(%)	Service Received (in past 2 months)		(%)	
	Community	NB		Community	NB	
Nurse	86.4	82.0	Housekeeping	98.2	92.9	
Physiotherapist	15.2	25.5	Meal preparation	77.5	72.2	
Respiratory therapist	22.4	20.1	Grooming or dressing	43.1	49.6	
Dietitian	33.6	19.9	Bathing	39.6	48.1	
Social worker	22.2	16.7	Respite relief/care	38.5	41.6	
Occupational therapist	15.9	16.2	Transferring	26.0	34.8	
Speech language pathologist	3.0	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	19.3	30.7	
Rehabilitation support personne	€ 6.4	3.3				
Pharmacist	4.6	2.7				
Doctor/Physician	0.0	1.7				
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been	getting services	? (%)	
Services for at least 1 year	48.7	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	81.2	73.0	
How often do you receive	services? (9	%)	How often do you rec	eive services? (%	%)	
Every day	7.7	5.9	Every day	32.9	34.3	
A few times a week	19.9	22.6	A few times a week	60.3	52.0	
Once/week or less	72.4	71.5	Once/week or less	6.8	13.7	

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)			
Community NB			
% Very Satisfied 67.9 76.1			

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care		Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	8.2	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	15.3
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	9.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	21.3

% Very Satisfied

Caregiver Pro	file (C26, n:	=109)
All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB
% who live alone	40.8	33.1
% with caregiver help	58.3	61.6
	the most?(%	•
(among those wh	o receive help	n=63)
	Community	NB
Son or daughter	43.9	36.9
Spouse or partner	20.7	33.4
Other family member	21.2	12.1
Mother or father	1.6	8.6
Friend or volunteer	10.0	6.9
How often do	you get help?	(%)
(among those wh	o receive help	n=63)
	Community	NB
Every day	50.3	58.6
A few times a week	16.4	20.5
Once/week or less	30.3	19.8

Unmet Needs (n=109)				
All home care service recipients				
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB		
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	8.8	11.4		
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)		
More hours/Access after-hr.	9.1	28.1		
More home support services	19.4	27.0		
More funding/high cost	10.7	17.4		

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 3 (%)	NB (%)
	Sample Size	n=69	n=618	n=3,153
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	97.4	97.4 92.6	
lidi	it? (% yes)		(91.1 - 94.1)	(92.4 - 93.7)
cess	Always got help when contacting the office	100.0	97.7	96.8
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(100 - 100)	(96.4 - 99.0)	(96.1 - 97.5)
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	91.4	92.6	90.4
nical	was easy to understand (% always)	(86.6 - 96.2)	(91.1 - 94.1)	(89.6 - 91.1)
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	95.4	96.2	96.4
Con	and respect (% always)	(91.9 - 98.9)	(95.1 - 97.3)	(95.9 - 96.8)
د	How often providers seemed informed about all	66.1	71.9	77.1
Itior	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(55.0 - 77.1)	(68.6 - 75.2)	(75.8 - 78.5)
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)			
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	79.7	84.6	81.7
o	from more than one person]	(70.5 - 88.8)	(81.9 - 87.2)	(80.5 - 83.0)
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	42.0	52.2	49.3
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(33.4 - 50.6)	(49.3 - 55.0)	(48.0 - 50.6)
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	40.5	44.9	42.8
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(31.4 - 49.6)	(41.9 - 48.0)	(41.4 - 44.2)
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.8	96.5	96.7
actio	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(92.3 - 99.2) (95.4 - 97.5)		(96.2 - 97.1)
Satisfaction	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	89.7	93.8	94.2
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(84.6 - 94.9)	(92.4 - 95.2)	(93.6 - 94.8)
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	68.4	74.2	72.2
	to move around safely (% yes)	(59.3 - 77.5)	(71.4 - 76.9)	(71.0 - 73.5)
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	71.4 72.8		72.3
Sa	they were taking (% yes)	(63.6 - 79.1)	(70.2 - 75.5)	(71.1 - 73.4)
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	0.0	F	0.7 ^E
	error or mistake? (% yes)	(0 - 0)		(0.5 - 0.9)
ity	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	90.2	97.7 84.3 ^E	97.5 92.7
	preference] (% always)	(95.9 - 100)	(96.9 - 98.6) (63.5 - 100)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)


2012 Home Care Survey Results Community 26

Quality of Service Home Support Services

		Commu	inity	Zone	3 (%)	NB	(%)		
		(%)		20116	3 (70)	ND	(70]		
	Sample Size	n=62		n=4	420	n=1,	,905		
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	19.1	LE	23	8.8	19	.4		
Ϊţ	care services before starting (% very easy)	(11.8 - 2	6.4)	(20.7 -	26.9)	(18.1 -	(18.1 - 20.8)		
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	81.4	1	82	.2	80).1		
ces	needed it? <i>(% yes)</i>	(74.4 - 8	8.4)	(79.6 -	84.9)	(78.8 -	81.4)		
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	78.4	1	76	5.2	58	8.6		
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(71.0 - 8	5.9)	(73.2 -	- 79.2)	(57.0 -	· 60.3)		
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	88.4	1	86	i.0	84	.5		
licat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(82.7 - 9	4.2)	(83.5 -	88.4)	(83.3 -	85.7)		
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	92.1	L	91	5	90).3		
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(87.4 - 9	6.9)	(89.5 -	93.4)	(89.3 -	91.2)		
_	How often home support workers seemed informed	74.9	74.9		67.2		67.2 67.8		′.8
Coordination	about all care received at home [Among clients with	1611 0	(64.4 - 85.5)		(62.9 - 71.6)		- 70.1)		
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(04.4 - 85.5)		(02.9 -	. /1.0)	(05.5 -	. 70.1)		
orc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	67.5		74.2		75	5.5		
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services	(56.4 - 78.6)		(70.2 -	- 78.2)	(73.4 -	- 77.6)		
노고	from more than one person]	31.3 ^E		22		27			
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	31.3	5	32.8		27.3			
Pat cer	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(22.3 - 4	0.3)	(29.3 - 36.2)		(25.8 - 28.9)			
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	90.3	3	89.6		87.9			
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(84.9 - 9	5.6)	(87.5 -	91.7)	(86.8 - 88.9)			
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	76.9)	78.6		8.6 74.3			
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(69.4 - 8	(69.4 - 84.4) (75		(75.8 - 81.4) (72.9 - 75.		- 75.7)		
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		I	-	1.	.8 ^E		
Safety	or mistake? (% yes)					(1.4 -	- 2.3)		
ť	How often service was received in language of	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.		
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	98.5	т	97.6	т	97.3	90.7		
	preference] (% always)	(96.3 - 100)		(96.6 - 98.7)		(96.7 - 97.9	(88.8 - 92.5)		
rier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	18.1	Ľ	18	3.2	22	2.1		
Barrier	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(11.2 - 2.		(15.5 -	20.9)	(20.7 -	23.5)		



Rivière-Verte

New Brunswick Health Council



Included in this community:

Drummond, Grand Falls / Grand-Sault, Notre-Dame-de-Lourdes, Saint-André, Sainte-Anne-de-Madawaska, Saint-Léonard

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	62.1	56.8
Arthritis	52.0	48.3
Chronic pain	43.4	40.7
Heart disease	39.1	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	37.7	31.5
Diabetes	26.7	30.4
Cancer	17.1	26.2
Depression	28.5	24.5
Asthma	20.2	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	19.7	18.3
Stroke	13.5	15.8
Dementia	9.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.4	3.7
Alzheimer	5.7	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition

[Among clients with at least one chronic

health condition from table above]

Very confident

Not very confident

Not at all confident

Confident

Community

(%)

23.7

56.1

12.6

7.6

NB

(%)

25.5

55.9

12.2

6.4

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor



Grimmer

Saint-Quentin

Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	5.3	3.0
	18-64	26.3	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.9	19.7
	75-84	30.8	25.6
	85+	16.8	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
Gender	Male	38.3	35.8
Gender	Female	61.7	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	63.7	51.8
Level	High school or higher	36.3	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	64.1	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	35.9	37.9
Preferred	English	20.7	72.8
language of service	French	79.3	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	4.1	3.0

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia

New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results

Home Care at a Glance

Community 27

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program (C27, n=11	6)	Home Support Servi	ces (C27, n=	72)	Caregiver Pro	file (C27, n:	=149)
Service Received (in past 2 mo	nths)	(%)	Service Received (in past 2	months)	(%)	All home care	service recipie	nts
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	82.3	82.0	Housekeeping	90.9	92.9	% who live alone	32.8	33.1
Physiotherapist	26.1	25.5	Meal preparation	64.2	72.2	% with caregiver help	56.3	61.6
Respiratory therapist	29.9	20.1	Grooming or dressing	36.0	49.6			
Dietitian	26.0	19.9	Bathing	35.0	48.1	Who helps	the most?(%)
Social worker	26.5	16.7	Respite relief/care	29.9	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=83)
Occupational therapist	19.0	16.2	Transferring	33.4	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	6.0	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	29.0	30.7	Son or daughter	34.3	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	7.5	3.3				Spouse or partner	33.6	33.4
Pharmacist	1.8	2.7				Other family member	8.9	12.1
Doctor/Physician	1.0	1.7				Mother or father	10.5	8.6
How long have you been getti	ing services?	(%)	How long have you been g	setting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.9	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	54.5	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	73.6	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive s	ervices? (%	6)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=78)
Every day	5.5	5.9	Every day	30.0	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	25.1	22.6	A few times a week	41.1	52.0	Every day	53.5	58.6
Once/week or less	69.4	71.5	Once/week or less	28.9	13.7	A few times a week	36.0	20.5
						Once/week or less	9.2	19.8

Satisfaction with number	of times care	was		
received (in past 2 r	nonths)			
	Community	NB		
% Very Satisfied	72.4	76.1	%	ν

Reported Difficulties in Receiving Care			Reported Difficulties in Receiving Car	e
	Community	NB	Community	
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	12.0	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available 30.4	
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	10.4	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available 38.3	

¹ Among those who indicated unmet needs.	

Satisfaction with number of times care was				
received (in past 2 months)				
	Community	NB		
6 Very Satisfied	58.1	70.6		

NB

19.8

25.6

Unmet Needs (n=149)						
All home care service recipients						
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB				
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	13.4	11.4				
Top 3 mentions: What co	ould have been	done? ¹ (%)				
More hours/Access after-hr.	20.8	28.1				
More home support services	20.4	27.0				
More funding/high cost	5.0	17.4				



2012 Home Care Survey Results Community 27

Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 4 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=116	n=321	n=3,153	
lity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.0	92.1	93.0	
sibi	it? (% yes)	(88.5 - 95.6)	(90.0 - 94.3)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
ces	Always got help when contacting the office	98.0	97.3	96.8	
Ac	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(95.4 - 100)	(95.4 - 99.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	87.6	86.2	90.4	
nica	was easy to understand (% always)	(83.3 - 91.9)	(83.5 - 89.0)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication Accessibility	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	96.4	94.2	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(94.0 - 98.8)	(92.3 - 96.0)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
_	How often providers seemed informed about all	74.1	82.0	77.1	
Itio	care/treatment received at home [Among clients	(66.5 - 81.7) (77.9 - 86.1)		(75.8 - 78.5)	
Coordination	with services from more than one person] (% always)	(00.5 01.7)	(77.5 00.1)	(73.0 70.3)	
oor	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information from different providers [Among clients with services	78.5	80.7	81.7	
U	from more than one person]	(71.6 - 85.5)	(76.6 - 84.9)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	38.9	38.9 43.3		
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(32.4 - 45.3)	(39.3 - 47.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pati cen	Family caregivers were given information they	28.8	34.4	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(22.3 - 35.3)	(30.3 - 38.5)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uc	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	96.7	95.3	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(94.3 - 99.0)	(93.7 - 97.0)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	90.6	93.1	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(86.8 - 94.4)	(91.1 - 95.1)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	79.0	75.5	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)	(73.4 - 84.6)	(71.9 - 79.1)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Safety	Staff talked with clients about all the medicines	81.2 81.0		72.3	
Saf	they were taking (% yes)	(75.9 - 86.6)	(77.8 - 84.2)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
	Do you believe you were harmed because of an	F	F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
tv	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	91.6 92.6	87.2 89.2	97.5 92.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(83.3 - 99.9) (88.6 - 96.7)	(79.4 - 95.0) (86.6 - 91.9)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	



2012 Home Care Survey Results

Quality of Service Home Support Services

Accessibility	Sample SizeEase or difficulty getting information about home care services before starting (% very easy)Did home care start as soon as you thought you needed it? (% yes)		2 ^E	n=1	188	n=1	,905	
ssibility	care services before starting (% very easy) Did home care start as soon as you thought you	(6.6 - 1		17				
ssibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you			12	12.7 ^E		9.4	
ssibil		05	(6.6 - 17.8)		(9.2 - 16.3)		20.8)	
ŝ	nooded it? (% yes)	85.0	6	81	7	80).1	
Ğ	neeueu it ! (% yes)	(79.9 - 9	91.4)	(77.7 -	85.6)	(78.8 -	81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	19.	5 ^E	21	7	58	8.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(12.7 - 2	26.2)	(17.4 -	26.1)	(57.0 -	60.3)	
ion +	How often home support workers explained things in	73.9	9	72	.4	84	l.5	
licat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(66.3 - 8	31.4)	(67.6 -	77.1)	(83.3 -	85.7)	
Communication	How often home support workers treated clients	84.9	9	84	.2	90).3	
Com	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(79.0 - 90.9) (80.4			87.9)	(89.3 -	91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	62.0	2.0 54.2		.2	67.8		
tion	about all care received at home [Among clients with	(40.4 75.0)						
lina	services from more than one person] (% always)	(48.1 - 75.9)		(44.9 - 63.4)		(65.5 - 70.1)		
Coordination	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	67.3	67.3		60.9		75.5	
ŭ	from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]	(54.4 - 80.2)		(52.1 - 69.7)		(73.4 - 77.6)		
t t	· · · ·	19.5 ^E		16.6 ^E		27	2	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	15.	5	10		27	.5	
Pa	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(12.0 - 2	26.9)	(12.4 - 20.9)		(25.8 - 28.9)		
u	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	77.:	1	77	.5	87.9		
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(70.0 - 8	34.1)	(73.2 -	81.8)	(86.8 - 88.9)		
tisfa	Would recommend agency or home support	59.	7	64.7		74.3		
Sa	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(51.4 - 68.0) (59.7 - 69.6)			(72.9 -	75.7)		
ety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F		5.	.0 ^E	1.	.8 ^E	
Safety	or mistake? <i>(% yes)</i>			(2.7 - 7.2)				
y.	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr. 82.8 84.2		Eng	Fr.	Eng	Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a			78.3	89.9	97.3	90.7	
ш	preference] (% always)	(69.9 - 95.6) (7		(67.2 - 89.4)	(86.6 - 93.3)	(96.7 - 97.9)	(88.8 - 92.5)	
ier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	25.8	8 ^E	28	.1	22	2.1	
Barrier	, too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(18.4 - 3	(18.4 - 33.2)		(23.3 - 32.9)		(20.7 - 23.5)	



New Brunswick Health Council

2012 Home Care Survey Results Profile of Citizens Receiving Home Care¹



Demo	graphic profile	Community (%)	NB (%)
	Under 18	3.2	3.0
	18-64	45.8	30.8
Age group	65-74	20.1	19.7
	75-84	16.9	25.6
	85+	14.0	20.9
		Community (%)	NB (%)
	Male	33.5	35.8
Gender	Female	66.5	64.2
Education	No high school diploma	55.4	51.8
Level	High school or higher	44.6	48.2
Household	Less than \$25,000	66.2	62.1
Income	<i>\$25,000</i> or more	33.8	37.9
Preferred	English	7.6	72.8
language of service	French	92.4	27.2
Aboriginal	% yes	4.2	3.0

Included in this community:

Baker-Brook, Clair, Edmundston, Lac-Baker, Rivière-Verte, Saint-Basile, Saint-François-de-Madawaska, Saint-Hilaire, Saint-Jacques, Saint-Joseph-de-Madawaska

Chronic Health Conditions	Community (%)	NB (%)
High blood pressure or hypertension	52.6	56.8
Arthritis	44.0	48.3
Chronic pain	42.4	40.7
Heart disease	32.4	35.3
Gastric reflux (GERD)	31.2	31.5
Diabetes	25.5	30.4
Cancer	22.0	26.2
Depression	25.0	24.5
Asthma	26.0	20.3
Emphysema or COPD	17.4	18.3
Stroke	16.0	15.8
Dementia	2.8	6.8
Mood disorder other than depression ^t	6.2	3.7
Alzheimer	2.9	3.5

Confidence: Ability to control and manage health condition							
[Among clients with at least one chronic health condition from table above]	Community (%)	NB (%)					
Very confident	29.2	25.5					
Confident	58.1	55.9					
Not very confident	10.0	12.2					
Not at all confident	2.6	6.4					

Included in this profile:

All surveyed residents who live within the boundaries of the defined community, and who have received home care services in the few months prior to survey administration. Includes Extra-Mural and home support services.

Self-Rated Overall Health

	Con	nmunity (%)		ĥ
12.4	29.2	43.3	15.2	
_		NB (%)	_	ī
15.8	27.3	34.5	22.4	

Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

Self-Rated Mental or Emotional Health



Excellent/Very good Good Fair Poor

¹Based on survey respondents, weighted by age

^t Includes bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression or dysthymia



2012 Home Care Survey Results

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)

Community

55.5

NB

70.6

NB

19.8

25.6

Home Care at a Glance

Community 28

Overall Respondents

Extra-Mural Program	(C28 <i>,</i> n=17	2)	Home Support Servio	ces (C28, n=1	L 0 6)	Caregiver Pro	file (C28, n:	=244)
Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		Service Received (in past 2 months) (%)		All home care service recipients				
	Community	NB		Community	NB		Community	NB
Nurse	81.9	82.0	Housekeeping	96.3	92.9	% who live alone	38.2	33.1
Physiotherapist	26.4	25.5	Meal preparation	56.8	72.2	% with caregiver help	53.2	61.6
Respiratory therapist	15.8	20.1	Grooming or dressing	34.6	49.6			
Dietitian	17.6	19.9	Bathing	33.7	48.1	Who helps	the most?(%)
Social worker	21.7	16.7	Respite relief/care	35.4	41.6	(among those wh	o receive help	n=127)
Occupational therapist	14.4	16.2	Transferring	38.1	34.8		Community	NB
Speech language pathologist	3.2	3.5	Feeding or nutrition care	26.1	30.7	Son or daughter	28.0	36.9
Rehabilitation support personne	5.5	3.3				Spouse or partner	35.5	33.4
Pharmacist	2.9	2.7				Other family member	12.3	12.1
Doctor/Physician	3.0	1.7				Mother or father	13.3	8.6
How long have you been get	ting services?	(%)	How long have you been g	setting services	? (%)	Friend or volunteer	7.8	6.9
Services for at least 1 year	49.5	48.4	Services for at least 1 year	83.6	73.0	How often do	you get help?	(%)
How often do you receive	services? (%	6)	How often do you recei	ve services? (%	6)	(among those wh	o receive help	n=126)
Every day	6.3	5.9	Every day	22.5	34.3		Community	NB
A few times a week	17.7	22.6	A few times a week	56.3	52.0	Every day	53.5	58.6
Once/week or less	76.1	71.5	Once/week or less	21.2	13.7	A few times a week	20.0	20.5

% Very Satisfied

Satisfaction with number of times care was received (in past 2 months)				
	Community	NB		
% Very Satisfied	79.5	76.1		

Reported Difficulties in R	eceiving Care	Reported Difficulties in	Receiving Care	
	Community	NB		Community
% who reported:			% who reported:	
Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	11.7	7.6	Limits or reductions in types of service/care available	24.9
Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	12.8	7.6	Limits or reductions in duration of service or hours available	36.6

	service recipies	
	Community	NB
% who live alone	38.2	33.1
% with caregiver help	53.2	61.6
Who holes	the most?(%	۱
(among those wh		
	Community	NB
Son or daughter	28.0	36.9
Spouse or partner	35.5	33.4
Other family member	12.3	12.1
Mother or father	13.3	8.6
Friend or volunteer	7.8	6.9
How often do	you get help?	(%)
(among those whe	o receive help	n=126)
	Community	NB
Every day	53.5	58.6
A few times a week	20.0	20.5
Once/week or less	26.5	19.8

Unmet Ne	eeds (n=244	1)
All home care	service recipie	nts
% who said 'yes'	Community	NB
Could anything else have been done or provided to help you stay at home?	12.2	11.4
Top 3 mentions: What c	ould have been a	done? ¹ (%)
More hours/Access after-hr.	23.7	28.1
More home support services	34.5	27.0
More funding/high cost	20.9	17.4

¹Among those who indicated unmet needs.



2012 Home Care Survey Results Community 28

Quality of Service Extra-Mural Program

		Community (%)	Zone 4 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=172	n=321	n=3,153	
ity	Did care start as soon as you thought you needed	92.5	92.1	93.0	
ibil	it? (% yes)	(89.7 - 95.3)	(90.0 - 94.3)	(92.4 - 93.7)	
Accessibility	Always got help when contacting the office	96.2	97.3	96.8	
Aci	[Among those who contacted the office] (% yes)	(93.1 - 99.3)	(95.4 - 99.2)	(96.1 - 97.5)	
tion	How often providers explained things in a way that	84.7	86.2	90.4	
nicat	was easy to understand (% always)	(80.8 - 88.6)	(83.5 - 89.0)	(89.6 - 91.1)	
Communication	How often providers treated clients with courtesy	94.0	94.2	96.4	
Com	and respect (% always)	(91.4 - 96.5)	(92.3 - 96.0)	(95.9 - 96.8)	
c	How often providers seemed informed about <u>all</u>	89.2	82.0	77.1	
Coordination	care/treatment received at home [Among clients with services from more than one person] (% always)	(84.7 - 93.6)	(77.9 - 86.1)	(75.8 - 78.5)	
ordir	Clients who never received conflicting information	79.3	80.7	81.7	
from different providers [Among clients with services		(72 5 05 1)		(00 5 02 0)	
from more than one person]		(73.5 - 85.1)	(76.6 - 84.9)	(80.5 - 83.0)	
	Staff gave information needed for clients to take	46.4	43.3	49.3	
Patient- centred	care of themselves (% strongly agree)	(41.0 - 51.7)	(39.3 - 47.2)	(48.0 - 50.6)	
Pat cen	Family caregivers were given information they	37.2	34.4	42.8	
	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(31.5 - 42.8)	(30.3 - 38.5)	(41.4 - 44.2)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home health care services	95.1	95.3	96.7	
Satisfaction	received (% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(92.8 - 97.4)	(93.7 - 97.0)	(96.2 - 97.1)	
tisf	Would recommend Extra-Mural to family/friends	94.1	93.1	94.2	
Sa	(% definitely yes)	(91.6 - 96.6)	(91.1 - 95.1)	(93.6 - 94.8)	
	Staff talked with clients about how to set up home	75.3	75.5	72.2	
	to move around safely (% yes)		(71.9 - 79.1)	(71.0 - 73.5)	
Staff talked with clients about all the medicines they were taking (% yes)		81.3	81.0	72.3	
Saf	Image: Second systemThey were taking (% yes)Do you believe you were harmed because of an		(77.8 - 84.2)	(71.1 - 73.4)	
			F	0.7 ^E	
	error or mistake? (% yes)			(0.5 - 0.9)	
ţ	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	78.8 88.4	87.2 89.2	97.5 92.7	
	preference] (% always)	(63.0 - 94.7) (84.8 - 92.1)	(79.4 - 95.0) (86.6 - 91.9)	(97.0 - 98.0) (91.4 - 94.0)	

^FToo unreliable to be published (coefficient of variation greater than 33.3%)



		Community (%)	Zone 4 (%)	NB (%)	
	Sample Size	n=106	n=188	n=1,905	
	Ease or difficulty getting information about home	14.5 ^E	12.7 ^E	19.4	
ity	care services before starting (% very easy)	(9.5 - 19.5)	(9.2 - 16.3)	(18.1 - 20.8)	
Accessibility	Did home care start as soon as you thought you	82.3	81.7	80.1	
ces	needed it? (% yes)	(77.0 - 87.5)	(77.7 - 85.6)	(78.8 - 81.4)	
Ac	Ease or difficulty contacting agency office or home	24.3 ^E	21.7	58.6	
	support worker for help (% very easy)	(18.3 - 30.4)	(17.4 - 26.1)	(57.0 - 60.3)	
ion	How often home support workers explained things in	68.9	72.4	84.5	
nicat	a way that was easy to understand (% always)	(62.4 - 75.4)	(67.6 - 77.1)	(83.3 - 85.7)	
mur	How often home support workers treated clients	82.2	84.2	90.3	
Communication	with courtesy and respect (% always)	(77.0 - 87.4)	(80.4 - 87.9)	(89.3 - 91.2)	
	How often home support workers seemed informed	51.8 ^E	54.2	67.8	
tion	about <u>all</u> care received at home [Among clients with				
Coordination	services from more than one person] (% always)	(39.2 - 64.3)	(44.9 - 63.4)	(65.5 - 70.1)	
oorc	Clients who <u>never</u> received conflicting information	56.4	60.9	75.5	
ŭ	S from different providers [Among clients with services from more than one person]		(52.1 - 69.7)	(73.4 - 77.6)	
م ب		11.5 ^E	16.6 ^E	27.3	
Patient- centred	Family caregivers were given information they	11.5	10.0	27.5	
Pa ce	wanted when they needed it (% strongly agree)	(6.8 - 16.2)	(12.4 - 20.9)	(25.8 - 28.9)	
uo	Satisfaction rating: home care services received	78.5	77.5	87.9	
Satisfaction	(% 8,9 or 10 on a scale of 0 to 10)	(72.9 - 84.1)	(73.2 - 81.8)	(86.8 - 88.9)	
ıtisf	Would recommend agency or home support	66.3	64.7	74.3	
	worker to family/friends (% definitely yes)	(59.7 - 72.8)	(59.7 - 69.6)	(72.9 - 75.7)	
Safety	Do you believe you were harmed because of an error	F	5.0 ^E	1.8 ^E	
Saf	or mistake? (% yes)		(2.7 - 7.2)	(1.4 - 2.3)	
۲۷	How often service was received in language of	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	Eng Fr.	
Equity	preference [Among those who specified a	70.7 ^E 91.8	78.3 89.9	97.3 90.7	
	preference] (% always)	(50.5 - 90.8) (87.8 - 95.7	7) (67.2 - 89.4) (86.6 - 93.3)	(96.7 - 97.9) (88.8 - 92.5)	
Barrier	Have you ever found the cost for home care services	30.3	28.1	22.1	
Bar	too high? <i>(% yes)</i>	(23.8 - 36.9)	(23.3 - 32.9)	(20.7 - 23.5)	

References

- Health Council of Canada, Seniors in Need, Caregivers in Distress: What are the home care priorities for seniors in Canada?, (2012), [online], from < <u>http://www.healthcouncilcanada.ca/tree/HCC HomeCare FA.pdf</u> >.
- 2- Hollander, M.J. & Prince, M.J. (2008). Organizing healthcare delivery systems for persons with ongoing care needs and their families: A best practices framework. *Healthcare Quarterly*, 11(1), 42-52. Retrieved from http://www.longwoods.com/publications/healthcare-quarterly
- 3- Kodner, D. (2009). All together now: A conceptual exploration of integrated care. *Healthcare Quarterly, 13*, 6-15. Retrieved from http://www.longwoods.com/publications/healthcare-quarterly
- 4- Home Health Care CAHPS Survey, [online], from < <u>https://homehealthcahps.org/</u> >.
- 5- New Brunswick Health Council, *Hospital Patient Care Experience in New Brunswick, 2010 Acute Care Survey Results,* (2010), [online], from < <u>http://www.nbhc.ca/care_experience_survey.cfm</u> >.
- 6- New Brunswick Health Council, *New Brunswickers' Experiences With Primary Health Care, 2011 Survey Results,* (2011), [online], from < <u>http://www.nbhc.ca/nb primary care health survey.cfm</u> >.

Appendix A



Spouse or partner

Other family member

Friend/Volunteer/Other

37%

21%

33%



Who has helped you the most with your home care?



Source: New Brunswickers' Experiences With Home Care, 2012 Survey Results (NBHC 2012)

Appendix B

Staff providing services under the Extra-Mural Program:

Nurse	Nurses provide holistic care and advice to people on physical, emotional, spiritual and social well-being so they can move toward optimal well-being or peaceful death. Nursing services are provided by Registered Nurses and Licensed Practical Nurses.
Social worker	A social worker helps people adjust to difficult situations through counseling and evaluating their needs.
Physiotherapist	A physiotherapist helps evaluate, restore or improve physical function and independence.
Occupational therapist	An occupational therapist helps people improve their ability to perform tasks in their daily living and working environments.
Registered dietitian	Registered dietitians provide nutrition therapy and counseling. They give people advice about food and nutrition and how this can have an impact on their health.
Respiratory therapist	A respiratory therapist helps evaluate, treat and care for people that have breathing problems. This can include oxygen services/therapy.
Speech language pathologist	A speech language pathologist helps people with their speech and language functions, so they can develop, maintain or improve their level of communication.
Rehabilitation support personnel	Participates with the physiotherapist, occupational therapist, or speech language pathologist in rehabilitation treatment programs.
Pharmacist	A pharmacist helps people with the safe and effective use of medication.
Physician	Physicians are integral members of the home healthcare team providing medical care to people through admissions, visits, telephone calls, and consultation with other team members.

Type of home care or services provided under the Extra-Mural Program:

Rehabilitation services	Restoring a client's ability to function to the highest possible level following disease, illness or injury.
Palliative care	Palliative services support quality end-of-life care for citizens with progressive life-threatening illnesses.
Acute care	Acute care is provided to clients for a condition that is new, recent or sudden.
Long-term care assessment	Evaluation of services required by people who have long term functional limitations and need assistance to
	function as independently as possible.
Home Oxygen Program	The Home Oxygen Program is offered on a short term or long term basis to clients who have a health
	condition that would benefit from receiving oxygen services/therapy at home.
Chronic /Supportive Care	Chronic/supportive care has no predictable end date and is provided to clients for a condition that is
	continuing for a long time. Primary focus is to support clients in managing health conditions that may be
	complex or multiple in nature, and to prevent deterioration of their health.

Types of home care or services provided by Home Support Agencies:

Bathing	Refers to sponge baths, bed baths, tub baths and showers.
Grooming	Refers to washing face and hands, mouth care, shampoos, hair styling, and shaving with an electric razor
	only, basic foot care including soaking, massaging, filing, applying non-prescription lotions and the use of a
	soft nail brush only. Refers to skin care including applying non-prescription lotions
Feeding and nutrition care	Refers to assisting individuals to feed themselves, for example, cutting and preparing their food, spoon
	feeding, assisting them with drinking from a cup. It does not include medically supervised types of feeding
	methods, for example, tube feeding.
	Refers to the act of providing safe feeding techniques, the use of special devices such as feeding cups and
	identifying changes to the client's nutritional status.
Housekeeping	Refers to laundry, light housekeeping (for example, vacuuming, dusting, mopping/sweeping floors, tidying
	living area of clients), kitchens (including clients' dishes and surface areas of appliances), properly disinfects
	health equipment (such as the commode, raised toilet seat, bath chair and /or wheelchair), bathrooms
	used by clients on a regular basis, and bedrooms (including changing clients' beds and cleaning of clients'
	rooms).
Meal preparation	Refers to planning and preparing nutritious and economical meals, planning and preparing meals that
	pertain to special dietary requirements, assisting with grocery shopping lists, assisting with meal planning
	and preparation, instructing clients on food sanitation and safety measures, preparing meals for use in the
	absence of home support workers, and safe handling and storage of food.
Transferring	Refers to moving a client from one position to another, for example, assisting the client from their bed to a
	chair, from a wheelchair to another chair, to and from the toilet without the aid of a mechanical device.
	Transfers can be a guide with a belt.
	Refers to moving a client from one position to another using two persons and could include a mechanical
	device.
Respite/Relief care	Refers to a break from care giving for caregivers who provide on-going care for clients. Breaks are periods
	of time specified by clients' care plans and should respect program criteria.

Appendix C



Appendix D



Appendix E



2012 Home Care Survey – Cities, towns and villages included in the 28 New Brunswick communities

In order of assigned community number (1 to 28)

1	Kedgwick	5	Janeville
1	Kedgwick River	5	Maisonnette
1	Saint-Quentin	5	New Bandon (Gloucester County)
2	Atholville	5	Notre-Dame-des-Érables
2	Campbellton	5	Paquetville
2	Glencoe	5	Pokeshaw
2	Glenlevit	5	Rang-Saint-Georges
2	Robinsonville	5	Saint-Léolin
2	Saint-Arthur	5	Saint-Simon
2	Squaw Cap	5	Stonehaven
2	Tide Head	6	Cap-Bateau
2	Val-d'Amour	6	Chiasson Office
3	Balmoral	6	Evangeline
3	Belledune	6	Haut-Lamèque
3	Charlo	6	Haut-Shippagan
3	Dalhousie	6	Inkerman
3	Dundee	6	Inkerman Ferry
3	Eel River Bar First Nation	6	Lamèque
3	Eel River Crossing	6	Landry Office
3	Lorne	6	Le Goulet
3	McLeods	6	Maltempec
3	Point La Nim	6	Miscou
4	Allardville	6	Petit-Shippagan
4	Bathurst	6	Petite-Lamèque
4	Beresford	6	Petite-Rivière-de l'Ile
4	Big River	6	Pigeon Hill
4	Dunlop	6	Pointe-Alexandre
4	Laplante	6	Pointe-Canot
4	Madran	6	Pokemouche
4	Nicholas Denys	6	Sainte-Cécile
4	Nigadoo	6	Sainte-Marie-Saint-Raphaël
4	North Tetagouche	6	Sainte Rose
4	Pabineau First Nation	6	Savoie Landing
4	Petit-Rocher	6	Shippagan
4	Pointe-Verte	6	Six Roads
4	Robertville	7	Canton des Basques
4	Saint-Laurent	7	Haut-Sheila
4	Saint-Sauveur	7	Leech
4	Sainte-Anne (Gloucester County)	7	Losier Settlement
4	Sainte-Thérèse Sud	7	Petit Tracadie
4	Salmon Beach	7	Pointe à Tom
4	South Tetagouche	7	Pointe des Robichaud
4	Tremblay	7	Pont Lafrance
5	Anse-Bleue	7	Pont Landry
5	Bas-Caraquet	7	Rivière à la Truite
5	Bertrand	7	Saint-Irénée
5	Canobie	7	Saint-Isidore
5	Caraquet	7	Saint-Pons
	•		
5	Clifton	7	Saumarez

7	Tracadie-Sheila
7	Val-Comeau
8	Barryville
8	Brantville
8	Burnt Church First Nation
8	Caissie Road
8	Fairisle
8	Haut-Rivière-du-Portage
8	Lagacéville
8	Lavillette
8	Neguac
8	New Jersey
8	Oak Point
8	Rivière-du-Portage
8	Tabusintac
8	Village-Saint-Laurent
9	Baie-Sainte-Anne
9	Bay du Vin
9	Black River Bridge
9	Blackville
9	Chaplin Island Road
9	Collette
9	Eel Ground
9	Hardwicke
9	Miramichi
9	Napan
9	Renous
9	Rogersville
9	Rosaireville
9	South Esk
9	Sunny Corner
9	Trout Brook
9	Upper Blackville
9	Wayerton
10	Acadieville
10	Aldouane
10	Baie de Bouctouche
10	Bass River
10	Beersville
10	Bouctouche
10	Clairville
10	Elsipogtog First Nation
10	Fords Mills
10	Galloway
10	Indian Island (Kent County)
10	Jardineville
10	Kouchibouguac
10	Main River
10	McIntosh Hill
10	McKees Mills

10	Mundleville
10	Portage St-Louis
10	Rexton
10	Richibouctou-Village
10	Richibucto
10	Saint-Anne-de-Kent
10	Saint-Charles
10	Saint-Édouard-de-Kent
10	Saint-Grégoire
10	Saint-Ignace
10	Saint-Louis
10	Saint-Louis-de-Kent
10	Saint-Maurice
10	South Branch (Kent County)
10	Targettville
10	Upper Rexton
11	Dobson Corner
11	Harcourt
11	Harewood
11	Lewis Mountain
11	Petitcodiac
11	River Glade
11	Salisbury
11	Second North River
12	Beaubassin East
12	Boudreau-Ouest
12	Cap-Pelé
12 12	Cocagne
12	Cormier-Village Dundas
12	Grand-Barachois
12	Grande-Digue
12	Haute-Aboujagane
12	Notre-Dame
12	Petit-Cap
12	Pointe-du-Chêne
12	Saint-André-Leblanc
12	Saint-Antoine
12	Saint-Paul
12	Sainte-Marie-de-Kent
12	Scoudouc
12	Scoudouc Road
12	Shediac
12	Shediac Bridge
12	Shediac Cape
12	Shediac River
12	Shemogue
12	Trois-Ruisseaux
13	Aulac
13	Baie Verte

13	Bayfield
13	Cape Spear
13	Cape Tormentine
13	Dorchester
13	Little Shemogue
13	Melrose
13	Midgic
13	Murray Corner
13	Point de Bute
13	Port Elgin
13	Sackville
13	Timber River
13	Upper Cape
14	Allison
14	Berry Mills
14	Boundary Creek
14	Colpitts Settlement
14	Dieppe
14	Indian Mountain
14	Irishtown
14	Lakeville-Westmorland
14	Lower Coverdale
14	Lutes Mountain
14	Memramcook
14	Moncton
14	Riverview
14	Steeves Mountain
14	Turtle Creek
14	Upper Coverdale
15	Alma
15	Elgin
15	Germantown
15	Hillsborough
15	Hopewell
15	Little River (Albert County)
15	Riverside-Albert
16	Anagance
16	Apohaqui
16	Bains Corner
16	Barnesville
16	Belleisle Creek
16	Berwick
16	Bloomfield (Kings County)
16	Canaan Forks
16	Codys
16	Coles Island (Queens County)
16	Cornhill
16	Hatfield Point
16	Havelock
16	Head of Millstream

This is not an exhaustive list of all cities, towns, and villages included in the survey. Due to the large number of areas covered, villages included in the survey that have a smaller population base are not listed here.

2012 Home Care Survey – Cities, towns and villages included in the 28 New Brunswick communities (continued) In order of assigned community number (1 to 28)

16	Kiersteadville	18	Kars	20	Moores Mills	23	Lake George	25	Lower Woodstock
16	Lower Millstream	18	Kingston	20	Oak Bay	23	Mactaquac	25	
16	Midland (Kings County)	18	Lakeside	20	Oak Hill	23	McAdam	25	U
16	New Line	18	Long Reach	20	Old Ridge	23	Meductic	25	0
16	Norton	18	Lower Greenwich	20	Rollingdam	23	Millville	25	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
16	Passekeag	18	Musquash	20	Saint Andrews	23	Nackawic	25	Somerville
16	Penobsquis	18	Nauwigewauk	20	St. Stephen	23	North Lake	25	Summerfield (Carleton County)
16	Picadilly	18	Nerepis	20	Tower Hill	23	Prince William	25	
16	Roachville	18	Public Landing	20	Waweig	23	Skiff Lake	25	Victoria Corner
16	Salt Springs	18	Quispamsis	21	Burton	23	Southampton	25	Wakefield
16	Smiths Creek	18	Rothesay	21	Fredericton Junction	23	Temperance Vale	25	Waterville (Carleton County)
16	Springfield (Kings County)	18	Rowley	21	Gagetown	23	Upper Queensbury	25	Woodstock
16	St. Martins	18	Saint John	21	Geary	23	Zealand	26	Aroostook
16	Sussex	18	Smithtown	21	Hoyt	24	Blissfield	26	Arthurette
16	Sussex Corner	18	Summerville	21	Oromocto	24	Boiestown	26	Carlingford
16	Titusville	18	Upper Golden Grove	21	Tracy	24	Burtts Corner	26	Kilburn
16	Upham	18	Welsford	21	Upper Gagetown	24	Doaktown	26	New Denmark
16	Upperton	18	Wickham	22	Beaver Dam	24	Douglas	26	Perth-Andover
16	Wards Creek	18	Willow Grove	22	Charters Settlement	24	Estey's Bridge	26	Plaster Rock
16	Waterford	18	Wirral	22	Durham Bridge	24	Hamtown Corner	26	Riley Brook
17	Cambridge-Narrows	19	Back Bay	22	Fredericton	24	Killarney Road	26	Tilley
17	Chipman	19	Beaver Harbour	22	Hanwell	24	Ludlow	26	Tobique First Nation
17	Cumberland Bay	19	Blacks Harbour	22	Harvey (York County)	24	McLeod Hill	27	Drummond
17	Douglas Harbour	19	Campobello Island	22	Harvey Station	24	New Bandon (Northumberland County)	27	Grand Falls
17	Gaspereau Forks	19	Canal	22	Island View	24	Royal Road	27	Notre-Dame-de-Lourdes
17	Jemseg	19	Clarendon	22	Kingsclear First Nation	24	Stanley	27	Saint-André
17	Lakeville Corner	19	Fairhaven	22	Lincoln	24	Tay Creek	27	
17	Mill Cove	19	Grand Manan	22	Maugerville	24	Upper Miramichi	27	Sainte-Anne-de-Madawaska
17	Minto	19	Leonardville	22	Mazerolle Settlement	25	Bath	28	Baker-Brook
17	Ripples	19	Lepreau	22	Nashwaak Bridge	25	Bedell	28	
17	Sheffield	19	L'Etête	22	Nashwaak Village	25	Beechwood	28	
17	Waterborough	19	Pennfield	22	Nasonworth	25	Belleville	28	
17	Whites Cove	19	Pocologan	22	New Maryland	25	Bloomfield (Carleton County)	28	
17	Youngs Cove	19	Second Falls	22	Noonan	25	Carlow	28	
18	Baxters Corner	19	St. George	22	Penniac	25	Centreville	28	-
18	Bayswater	19	Utopia	22	Richibucto Road	25	Cloverdale	28	
18	Browns Flat	19	Welshpool	22	Rusagonis	25	Florenceville-Bristol	28	
18	Carters Point	19	Wilsons Beach	22	Smithfield	25	Glassville	28	Saint-Joseph-de-Madawaska
18	Chance Harbour	20	Bayside	22	Taymouth	25	Grafton		
18	Clifton Royal	20	Bethel	22	Upper Kingsclear	25	Greenfield		
18	Fairfield	20	Bocabec	22	Waasis	25	Hartland		
18	Garnett Settlement	20	Canoose	23	Bear Island	25	Holmesville		
18	Grand Bay-Westfield	20	Chamcook	23	Canterbury	25	Jacksonville		
18	Hampstead	20	Dufferin (Charlotte County)	23	Dumfries	25	Johnville		
18	Hampton	20	Little Ridge	23	Keswick Ridge	25	Juniper		

This is not an exhaustive list of all cities, towns, and villages included in the survey. Due to the large number of areas covered, villages included in the survey that have a smaller population base are not listed here.



2012 Home Care Survey – Cities, towns and villages included

In alphabetical order of city/town/village

Acadieville	10	Bloomfield (Carleton County)	25	Cornhill	16	Hamtown Corner	24	Laplante	4
Aldouane	10	Bloomfield (Kings County)	16	Cumberland Bay	17	Hanwell	22	Lavillette	8
Allardville	4	Bocabec	20	Dalhousie	3	Harcourt	11	Le Goulet	6
Allison	14	Boiestown	24	Dieppe	14	Hardwicke	9	Leech	7
Alma	15	Bouctouche	10	Doaktown	24	Harewood	11	Leonardville	19
Anagance	16	Boudreau-Ouest	12	Dobson Corner	11	Hartland	25	Lepreau	19
Anse-Bleue	5	Boundary Creek	14	Dorchester	13	Harvey (York County)	22	L'Étête	19
Apohaqui	16	Brantville	8	Douglas	24	Harvey Station	22	Lewis Mountain	11
Aroostook	26	Browns Flat	18	Douglas Harbour	17	Hatfield Point	16	Lincoln	22
Arthurette	26	Burnt Church First Nation	8	Drummond	27	Haut-Lamèque	6	Little Ridge	20
Atholville	2	Burton	21	Dufferin (Charlotte County)	20	Haut-Rivière-du-Portage	8	Little River (Albert County)	15
Aulac	13	Burtts Corner	24	Dumfries	23	Haut-Sheila	7	Little Shemogue	13
Back Bay	19	Caissie Road	8	Dundas	12	Haut-Shippagan	6	Long Reach	18
Baie de Bouctouche	10	Cambridge-Narrows	17	Dundee	3	Haute-Aboujagane	12	Lorne	3
Baie-Sainte-Anne	9	Campbellton	2	Dunlop	4	Havelock	16	Losier Settlement	7
Baie Verte	13	Campobello Island	19	Durham Bridge	22	Head of Millstream	16	Lower Coverdale	14
Bains Corner	16	Canaan Forks	16	Edmundston	28	Hillsborough	15	Lower Greenwich	18
Baker-Brook	28	Canal	19	Eel Ground	9	Holmesville	25	Lower Millstream	16
Balmoral	3	Canobie	5	Eel River Bar First Nation	3	Hopewell	15	Lower Woodstock	25
Barnesville	16	Canoose	20	Eel River Crossing	3	Hoyt	21	Ludlow	24
Barryville	8	Canterbury	23	Elgin	15	Indian Island (Kent County)	10	Lutes Mountain	14
Bas-Caraquet	5	Canton des Basques	7	Elsipogtog First Nation	10	Indian Mountain	14	Mactaguac	23
Bass River	10	Cap-Bateau	6	Estey's Bridge	24	Inkerman	6	Madran	4
Bath	25	Cap-Pelé	12	Evangeline	6	Inkerman Ferry	6	Main River	10
Bathurst	4	Cape Spear	13	Fairfield	18	Irishtown	14	Maisonnette	5
Baxters Corner	18	Cape Tormentine	13	Fairhaven	19	Island View	22	Maltempec	6
Bay du Vin	9	Caraquet	5	Fairisle	8	Jacksonville	25	Maugerville	22
Bayfield	13	Carlingford	26	Florenceville-Bristol	25	Janeville	5	Mazerolle Settlement	22
Bayside	20	Carlow	25	Fords Mills	10	Jardineville	10	McAdam	23
Bayswater	18	Carters Point	18	Fredericton	22	Jemseg	17	McIntosh Hill	10
Bear Island	23	Centreville	25	Fredericton Junction	21	Johnville	25	McKees Mills	10
Beaubassin East	12	Chamcook	20	Gagetown	21	Juniper	25	McLeod Hill	24
Beaver Dam	22	Chance Harbour	18	Galloway	10	Kars	18	McLeods	3
Beaver Harbour	19	Chaplin Island Road	9	Garnett Settlement	18	Kedgwick	1	Meductic	23
Bedell	25	Charlo	3	Gaspereau Forks	17	Kedgwick River	1	Melrose	13
Beechwood	25	Charters Settlement	22	Geary	21	Keswick Ridge	23	Memramcook	14
Beersville	10	Chiasson Office	6	Germantown	15	Kiersteadville	16	Midgic	13
Belledune	3	Chipman	17	Glassville	25	Kilburn	26	Midland (Kings County)	16
Belleisle Creek	16	Clair	28	Glencoe	2	Killarney Road	24	Mill Cove	17
Belleville	25	Clairville	10	Glenlevit	2	Kingsclear First Nation	22	Millville	23
Beresford	4	Clarendon	19	Grafton	25	Kingston	18	Minto	17
Berry Mills	14	Clifton	5	Grand-Barachois	12	Kouchibouguac	10	Miramichi	9
Bertrand	5	Clifton Royal	18	Grand Bay-Westfield	18	Lac-Baker	28	Miscou	6
Berwick	16	Cloverdale	25	Grand Falls	27	Lagacéville	8	Moncton	14
Bethel	20	Cocagne	12	Grand Manan	19	Lake George	23	Moores Mills	20
Big River	4	Codys	16	Grande-Anse	5	Lakeside	18	Mundleville	10
Black River Bridge	9	Coles Island (Queens County)	16	Grande-Digue	12	Lakeville Corner	17	Murray Corner	13
Blacks Harbour	19	Collette	9	Greenfield	25	Lakeville-Westmorland	14	Musquash	18
Blackville	9	Colpitts Settlement	14	Hampstead	18	Lamèque	6	Nackawic	23
Blissfield	24	Cormier-Village	12	Hampton	18	Landry Office	6	Napan	9

This is not an exhaustive list of all cities, towns, and villages included in the survey. Due to the large number of areas covered, villages included in the survey that have a smaller population base are not listed here.

2012 Home Care Survey – Cities, towns and villages included (continued)

In alphabetical order of city/town/village

Nashwaak Bridge	22	Pigeon Hill	6	Saint-André	27	Shediac	12	Trois-Ruisseaux	12
Nashwaak Village	22	Plaster Rock	26	Saint-André-Leblanc	12	Shediac Bridge	12	Trout Brook	9
Nasonworth	22	Pocologan	19	Saint Andrews	20	Shediac Cape	12	Turtle Creek	14
Nauwigewauk	18	Point de Bute	13	Saint-Anne-de-Kent	10	Shediac River	12	Upham	16
Neguac	8	Point La Nim	3	Saint-Antoine	12	Sheffield	17	Upper Blackville	9
Nerepis	18	Pointe à Tom	7	Saint-Arthur	2	Shemogue	12	Upper Cape	13
New Bandon (Gloucester County)	5	Pointe-Alexandre	6	Saint-Basile	28	Shippagan	6	Upper Coverdale	14
New Bandon (Northumberland County)	24	Pointe-Canot	6	Saint-Charles	10	Six Roads	6	Upper Gagetown	21
New Denmark	26	Pointe des Robichaud	7	Saint-Édouard-de-Kent	10	Skiff Lake	23	Upper Golden Grove	18
New Jersey	8	Pointe-du-Chêne	12	Saint-François-de-Madawaska	28	Smithfield	22	Upper Kent	25
New Line	16	Pointe-Verte	4	Saint-Grégoire	10	Smiths Creek	16	Upper Kingsclear	22
New Maryland	22	Pokemouche	6	Saint-Hilaire	28	Smithtown	18	Upper Miramichi	24
Newbridge	25	Pokeshaw	5	Saint-Ignace	10	Somerville	25	Upper Queensbury	23
Newburg	25	Pont Lafrance	7	Saint-Irénée	7	South Branch (Kent County)	10	Upper Rexton	10
Nicholas Denys	4	Pont Landry	7	Saint-Isidore	7	South Esk	9	Upperton	16
Nigadoo	4	Port Elgin	13	Saint-Jacques	28	South Tetagouche	4	Utopia	19
Noonan	22	Portage St-Louis	10	Saint John	18	Southampton	23	Val-Comeau	7
North Lake	23	Prince William	23	Saint-Joseph-de-Madawaska	28	Springfield (Kings County)	16	Val-d'Amour	2
North Tetagouche	4	Public Landing	18	Saint-Laurent	4	Squaw Cap	2	Victoria Corner	25
Northampton	25	Quispamsis	18	Saint-Léolin	5	St. George	19	Village-Saint-Laurent	8
Norton	16	Rang-Saint-Georges	5	Saint-Léonard	27	St. Martins	16	Waasis	22
Notre-Dame	12	Renous	9	Saint-Louis	10	St. Stephen	20	Wakefield	25
Notre-Dame-de-Lourdes	27	Rexton	10	Saint-Louis-de-Kent	10	Stanley	24	Wards Creek	16
Notre-Dame-des-Érables	5	Richibouctou-Village	10	Saint-Maurice	10	Steeves Mountain	14	Waterborough	17
Oak Bay	20	Richibucto	10	Saint-Paul	12	Stonehaven	5	Waterford	16
Oak Hill	20	Richibucto Road	22	Saint-Pons	7	Summerfield (Carleton County)	25	Waterville (Carleton County)	25
Oak Point	8	Riley Brook	26	Saint-Quentin	1	Summerville	18	Waweig	20
Old Ridge	20	Ripples	17	Saint-Sauveur	4	Sunny Corner	9	Wayerton	9
Oromocto	21	River Glade	11	Saint-Simon	5	Sussex	16	Welsford	18
Pabineau First Nation	4	Riverside-Albert	15	Sainte-Anne (Gloucester County)	4	Sussex Corner	16	Welshpool	19
Paquetville	5	Riverview	14	Sainte-Anne-de-Madawaska	27	Tabusintac	8	Whites Cove	17
Passekeag	16	Rivière à la Truite	7	Sainte-Cécile	6	Targettville	10	Wickham	18
Pembroke	25	Rivière-du-Portage	8	Sainte-Marie-de-Kent	12	Tay Creek	24	Willow Grove	18
Pennfield	19	Rivière-Verte	28	Sainte-Marie-Saint-Raphaël	6	Taymouth	22	Wilsons Beach	19
Penniac	22	Roachville	16	Sainte Rose	6	Temperance Vale	23	Wirral	18
Penobsquis	16	Robertville	4	Sainte-Thérèse Sud	4	Tide Head	2	Woodstock	25
Perth-Andover	26	Robinsonville	2	Salisbury	11	Tilley	26	Youngs Cove	17
Petit-Cap	12	Rogersville	9	Salmon Beach	4	Timber River	13	Zealand	23
Petit-Rocher	4	Rollingdam	20	Salt Springs	16	Titusville	16		
Petit-Shippagan	6	Rosaireville	9	Saumarez	7	Tobique First Nation	26		
Petit Tracadie	7	Rothesay	18	Savoie Landing	6	Tower Hill	20		
Petitcodiac	11	Rowley	18	Scoudouc	12	Tracadie Beach	7		
Petite-Lamèque	6	Royal Road	24	Scoudouc Road	12	Tracadie-Sheila	7		
Petite-Rivière-de l'Ile	6	Rusagonis	22	Second Falls	19	Tracy	21		
Picadilly	16	Sackville	13	Second North River	11	Tremblay	4		

This is not an exhaustive list of all cities, towns, and villages included in the survey. Due to the large number of areas covered, villages included in the survey that have a smaller population base are not listed here.

Appendix F
New Brunswick Health Council

Engage. Evaluate. Inform. Recommend.

A. CLIENT CHARACTERISTICS

- A1. Would you prefer to conduct this interview in English or in French?
 - 1 English
 - 2 French

A2. [IF PARENT SURVEY] What is your relationship to [CLIENT'S NAME]? Are you his/her [READ EACH]

- 1 Parent
- 2 Guardian
- 3 Other family member
- 4 Other
- 5 [VOL] I am not completing this survey on behalf of a child

<u>Just so that we all understand what we mean by 'HOME CARE', I will explain.</u> Home care includes <u>Extra-Mural</u> services that can be provided by a nurse, social worker, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, speech language pathologist, respiratory therapist, dietitian, rehabilitation support personnel, or pharmacist. Home care also includes <u>personal care</u> services provided by a home support worker to help with bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, or respite/relief care.

A3. **[IF CLIENT IS 19 YEARS OF AGE OR YOUNGER]** [HAVE YOU/HAS CLIENT NAME] received home care services at school, at home or both?

1	At SCHOOL only	THANK AND TERMINATE
2	At home only	CONTINUE
3	Both at home and at school	CONTINUE

[IF A3=3: This survey will relate to services received at home only.]

A4. **[IF RESPONDENT OR PARENT SURVEY] NOTE TO INTERVIEWER: ASK ONLY IF NECESSARY.** Now, since you are completing this survey on behalf of **[CLIENT NAME]**, **[READ EACH]**:

- 1 Will you be completing the survey together
- 2 Or will you be answering all survey questions on behalf of [CLIENT NAME]
- 3 [VOL] I am not completing this survey on behalf of someone else

[FOR PARENT/RESPONDENT SURVEY: During the rest of the survey, the words "you", "your", "me" and "my" will pertain to [CLIENT'S NAME]

A5. Just to confirm.... According to our records: [CODE EACH YES OR NO or Don't know]

- a. **[SHOW IF** *survey TYPE=1 or 3:* **Clinical or medical services only or both**] You received home health care services through the New Brunswick Extra-Mural program. Is that right?
- b. **[SHOW IF** *survey TYPE=2 or 3:* Home support services only or both] You received home care services from a home support worker to help with your personal care. Is that right?

GO TO SECTION E IF A5a=YES. GO TO SECTION F IF A5b=YES AND SURVEY TYPE=2 ELSE CONTINUE TO A6a OR A6b

- A6a. **[ASK IF SURVEY TYPE=1,3 AND A5a=NO or DK]** In the last 2 months, did you get care or services at home from a nurse, social worker, physiotherapist, occupational therapist, speech language pathologist, respiratory therapist, dietitian, rehabilitation support personnel, pharmacist, or for any other type of clinical/medical care?
 - 1 Yes GO TO BLOCK E IF A5b=YES OR IF TYPE=1, GO TO A6b IF A5b=NO OR DK
 - 2 No GO TO BLOCK F IF A5b=YES, TERMINATE IF SURVEY TYPE=1, GO TO A6b IF A5b=NO,DK
 - 3 Don't know/unsure GO TO BLOCK F IF A5b=YES, TERMINATE IF SURVEY TYPE=1, GO TO A6b IF A5b=NO,DK
- A6b. **[ASK IF SURVEY TYPE=2,3 AND A5b=NO or DK]** In the last 2 months, did you get any type of care or services at home such as help with bathing, dressing, grooming, feeding, transferring, home cleaning, laundry, meal preparation, or did someone provide relief to family, friends or volunteers who help you with your home care?
 - 1 Yes GO TO BLOCK E IF A5a=YES OR IF A6a=YES, GO TO BLOCK F IF A6a = 2,3 or if SURVEY TYPE =2
 - 2 No GO TO BLOCK E IF A5a=YES OR IF A6a=YES, TERMINATE IF A5a AND A6a NOT YES
 - 3 Don't know/unsure GO TO BLOCK E IF A5a=YES OR IF A6a=YES, TERMINATE IF A5a AND A6a NOT YES

E. CLIENTS RECEIVING CLINICAL/MEDICAL SERVICES

[IF A5b=YES OR A6b=YES: Since you received both types of services (Extra-Mural and home support), we will be asking you specific questions about both types of services, starting with Extra-Mural. This should take approximately 30 to 35 minutes]

Throughout the survey, if I ask you a question you do not feel comfortable answering, let me know and I will move to the next question. Also, if you feel a question does not apply to your situation, just say "does not apply".

E0. I am going to read you a list of home health care providers from the Extra Mural program. Please tell me using YES or NO, if you received services from any of them in the last 2 months. [CODE ALL THAT APPLIES]

[REPEAT INTRO AS NECESSARY:] Did you receive services, at home, from a: [DO NOT RANDOMIZE a-i]

		Yes	No	DK
a.	Nurse	1	2	8
b.	Physiotherapist	1	2	8
c.	Occupational therapist	1	2	8
d.	Speech language pathologist	1	2	8
e.	Respiratory therapist	1	2	8
f.	Social worker	1	2	8
g.	Dietitian	1	2	8
h.	Rehabilitation support personnel	1	2	8
i.	Pharmacist	1	2	8
j.	Other (Specify):	1	2	8

TERMINATE IF NO TO ALL a-j AND IF A5B not yes and A6B not yes TERMINATION CODE: 'DID NOT RECEIVE ANY SERVICES IN PAST 2 MONTHS' Go TO SECTION F IF NO TO ALL a-j AND A5B=YES OR A6B=YES

E - CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): START OF CARE

The questions in this section of the survey are based on the Extra-Mural care you got at home from **[List of responses from E0].** As you answer the questions in this survey, think only about your experience with the services they gave you.

- E1. Before you started getting home health care from the Extra-Mural Program, how easy or difficult was it to get information about Extra-Mural services? **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Very easy
 - 2 Easy
 - 3 Difficult
 - 4 Very difficult
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did not try to get information about Extra-Mural services]
- E2. Did Extra-Mural care start as soon as you thought you needed it? [DO NOT READ]
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No: Please explain ____
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E3. Under the Official Languages Act, you have the right to be served in either English or French. Of these two languages, which is your preference? **[if necessary:** for home health care services from Extra Mural]?
 - 1 English
 - 2 French
 - 3 [VOL] No preference
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know/no answer
- E4. When you first started getting Extra-Mural, did someone from the program offer to give you home health care services in the official language (English or French) of your choice?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E5. **[IF NEC:** When you first started getting Extra-Mural] Did someone from the program tell you what care and services you would get?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

- E6. **[IF NEC:** When you first started getting Extra-Mural] Did someone from the program <u>talk with you</u> about how to set up your home so you can move around safely?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E7. When you first started getting Extra-Mural, did someone from the program talk with you about all the prescription and over-the-counter medicines you were taking?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E8. **[IF NEC:**When you first started getting Extra-Mural] Did someone from the program ask to <u>see</u> all the prescription and over-the-counter medicines you were taking?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

E - CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): HOME CARE IN THE LAST 2 MONTHS

The next questions are about the last 2 months of care.

E42. In the last 2 months, did you get Extra-Mural services through any of the following ways? You can answer with yes or no. **[READ AND RANDOMIZE a-b]**

		Yes	No	DK
a.	Did you get services through visits at home	1	2	8
b.	Did you get services over the telephone	1	2	8
c.	Did you get services delivered in any other form?	1	2	8
	If yes: please specify			

These next questions are about all the different <u>staff</u> from the Extra-Mural Program who gave you care in the last 2 months. Do not include care you got from family, friends or volunteers.

E12. Did you get Extra-Mural care from more than one person in the last two months?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No [GO TO E15]
- 8 Do not remember / Do not know [GO TO E15]

- E13. In the last 2 months of care, how often did Extra-Mural providers seem informed and up-to-date about <u>all</u> the care or treatment you got at home? Would you say ... **[READ EACH, CODE ONE]**
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E14. **[IF NEC:** In the last 2 months of care] How often have you received conflicting information from different Extra-Mural providers? **[IF NECESSARY:** Conflicting means you received inconsistent or contradictory information]
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E15. [IF NEC: In the last 2 months of care] Did you and an Extra-Mural provider talk about pain?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E16. In the last 2 months of care, did you take any new prescription medicine or change any of the medicines you were taking?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No [GO TO E20]
 - 8 Don't know/No answer [GO TO E20]
- E17. **[IF NEC:** In the last 2 months of care] Did Extra-Mural providers talk with you about the <u>purpose</u> for taking your new or changed prescription medicines?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [*VOL*] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did <u>not</u> take any new prescription medicines or change any medicines]
- E18. **[IF NEC:** In the last 2 months of care] Did Extra-Mural providers talk with you about <u>when</u> to take these medicines?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did <u>not</u> take any new prescription medicines or change any medicines]

- E19. In the last 2 months of care, did Extra-Mural providers talk with you about the side effects of these medicines?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 **[VOL]** Does not apply [e.g. I did <u>not</u> take any new prescription medicines or change any medicines]
- E20. **[IF NEC:** In the last 2 months of care] How often did Extra-Mural providers keep you informed about when they would arrive at your home?
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I don't have to be informed]
- E21. **[IF NEC:** In the last 2 months of care] How much time per visit [*on average*] did you spend with your Extra-Mural providers? Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Less than 30 minutes
 - 2 30 minutes to less than 1 hour
 - 3 1 hour to less than 2 hours
 - 4 2 hours to less than 3 hours
 - 5 3 hours or more
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- E22. Please answer the following questions with 'Never, Sometimes, Usually or Always'. In the last 2 months of care, how often did Extra-Mural providers ... [RANDOMIZE AND READ a-d] [REPEAT SCALE AS NECESSARY]
 - a. treat you as gently as possible?
 - b. explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
 - c. listen carefully to you?
 - d. treat you with courtesy and respect?
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

GO TO E27 IF E3=1-2, GO TO E28 IF E3=3,8

- E27. In the last 2 months of care, how often did you get the Extra-Mural care you needed in the official language (English or French) of your choice? Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E28. We want to know your rating of your care from Extra-Mural providers.

Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst home health care possible and 10 is the best home health care possible, what number would you use to rate your care from Extra-Mural providers in the last 2 months?

- 0 0 Worst home health care possible
- 1 1
- 22
- 3 3
- 4 4
- 55 66
- 77
- 8 8
- 99
- 10 10 Best home health care possible
- 98 [VOL] Do not know / Do not remember
- 99 [VOL] Does not apply
- E CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): HOME CARE PROGRAM

The next questions are about the office of the Extra-Mural Program.

- E29. In the last 2 months of care, did you contact the Extra-Mural office to get help or advice?
 - 1 Yes
 [GO TO E32]

 2 No
 [GO TO E32]

 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 [GO TO E32]
- E30. In the last 2 months of care, when you contacted the Extra-Mural office did you always get the help or advice you needed?
 - 1 Yes

2	No	[GO TO E32]
8	[<i>VOL</i>] Do not remember / Do not know	[GO TO E32]
9	[VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did <u>not</u> contact this program's office]	[GO TO E32]

- E31. When you contacted the Extra-Mural office, how long did it take for you to get the help or advice you needed? [READ EACH, CODE ONE]
 - 1 Within a few hours
 - 2 Same day (but longer than a few hours)
 - 3 1 to 5 days
 - 4 6 to 14 days
 - 5 More than 14 days
 - 8 **[VOL]** Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 **[VOL]** Does not apply [*e.g. I did <u>not</u> contact this program's office*]
- E32. In the last 2 months of care, did you have any problems with the care you got from Extra-Mural? [DO NOT READ]
 - 1 Yes (Specify, optional: _____)
 - 2 No
 - 8 Do not know/No answer
- E33. In the last 2 months of care, did you have any problems with Extra-Mural staff? [DO NOT READ]
 - 1 Yes (Specify, optional: _____)
 - 2 No
 - 8 Do not know/No answer
- E34. Do you know who to contact if you want to make a complaint about your Extra-Mural care? [DO NOT READ]
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 Do not know/No answer
- E35. Would you recommend Extra-Mural to your family or friends if they needed home health care? Would you say [READ EACH]
 - 1 Definitely no
 - 2 Probably no
 - 3 Probably yes
 - 4 Definitely yes
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / Do not remember
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

E - CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): CLIENT AND FAMILY CENTRED CARE

- E36. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statements. Please answer with 'strongly disagree, disagree, neutral, agree or strongly agree'.
 - a. Extra-Mural staff allowed me to set my goals and priorities.
 - b. Extra-Mural staff gave me the information I needed to take care of myself.
 - c. Extra-Mural staff kept me well-informed about my progress.
 - d. Extra-Mural staff and I discussed the type of information they could share with my family or friends.
 - 1 Strongly disagree
 - 2 Disagree
 - 3 Neutral
 - 4 Agree
 - 5 Strongly agree
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E37. Has Extra-Mural staff ever given information to your family or friends that you did not agree for them to have? [DO NOT READ]
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E38. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

My family or friends who help with my care were given the information that they wanted when they needed it. Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**

- 1 Strongly disagree
- 2 Disagree
- 3 Neutral
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly agree
- 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- 9 [VOL] Does not apply

E - CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HOME CARE

- E39. How long have you been getting Extra-Mural services? [READ EACH]
 - 1 2 months or less
 - 2 More than 2 months but less than 6 months
 - 3 More than 6 months but less than 1 year
 - 4 More than 1 year
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know

- E40. In the last 2 months, how often did you get Extra-Mural services, on average? Would you say ... [READ EACH]
 - 1 Every day
 - 2 A few times a week [VOL: from 2 times a week to 6 times a week]
 - 3 Once a week
 - 4 Two or three times a month
 - 5 Once a month
 - 6 Only once in the last 2 months
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did not get home care services in the last 2 months] [Go to E42]
- E41. How satisfied are you with the <u>number</u> of times you got Extra-Mural care in the last 2 months? [READ EACH]
 - 1 Very satisfied
 - 2 Satisfied
 - 3 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 - 4 Dissatisfied
 - 5 Very dissatisfied
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E43. Did you receive care from this program after a visit to a hospital or rehabilitation center? [IF NECESSARY: 'visit' MEANS HAVING BEEN ADMITTED, THEN RELEASED FROM A HOSPITAL OR REHABILITATION CENTRE]
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No [GO TO E45]
 - 8 Do not remember / Do not know [GO TO E45]
- E44. Did the staff at the hospital or rehabilitation center explain to you what services you would be getting from Extra-Mural?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- E45. Does your personal family doctor or nurse practitioner seem informed and up-to-date about your Extra-Mural care?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply: I do not have a personal family doctor or a nurse practitioner
 - 10 [VOL] Does not apply: I have not seen my personal family doctor or nurse practitioner since receiving home health care

- E46. Do you or your family members believe that you were harmed because of an error or mistake as a result of Extra-Mural care?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No [GO TO E48]
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know [GO TO E48]
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [GO TO E48]
- E47. Please provide additional details on why you or your family members believe that you were harmed because of an error or mistake as a result of Extra-Mural care.

Capture open-ended response

- E48. Were you admitted to the hospital or had to visit the hospital emergency room during the time you were getting Extra-Mural care? **[if yes, ask: how many times?] [DO NOT READ] [IF NECESSARY:** For any reason, not necessarily related to the Extra-Mural care.]
 - 1 Yes: capture # of times _____
 - 2 No
 - 8 [*VOL*] Do not remember / Do not know

E - CLINICAL SERVICES (EXTRA-MURAL): BARRIERS AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

- E51. Please answer the following questions with either yes or no. When answering, think of any difficulties you may have ever experienced in getting the Extra-Mural care you needed: **[READ AND RANDOMIZE a-e, ENTER YES/NO FOR EACH]**
 - a. Have you ever had a problem getting the information you needed about Extra-Mural services?
 - b. Have you ever had a language problem with your Extra-Mural provider?
 - c. Was there ever a time when Extra-Mural providers did <u>not</u> take your spiritual or cultural values into account?
 - d. Have you ever needed Extra-Mural, but there were limits or reductions in the types of services or the type of care available?
 - e. Have you ever needed Extra-Mural, but there were limits or reductions in the duration of services or the number of hours available?

f. Have you experienced any other difficulties? [IF YES, SPECIFY _____]

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Do not remember / Do not know
- E54. Is there anything else you would like to say about the home health care you got from the New Brunswick Extra-Mural Program?

98 Do not know/nothing to say

GO TO SECTION F IF A5b=YES OR IF A6b=YES. OTHERWISE, GO TO SECTION T

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: START OF CARE

[ASK IF A5a=YES OR A6a=YES: We have finished with the questions about your home care services through the Extra-Mural program.]

Now the following questions are about the home care services you received from a home support worker to help with your personal care. **[INTERVIEWER: REFER TO PRINT MATERIAL FOR DESCRIPTION IF NECESSARY]**

[IF SENSE HESITATION/TIRED: OFFER TO FINISH TOMORROW. ASK FOR THE BEST TIME TO CALL BACK]

F1. Thinking of the home care services you got from a home support worker in the last 2 months, who has provided these services? **[READ EACH, ENTER YES/NO FOR EACH]**

		YES	NO	DK
a.	Did you receive home care services through an agency?	1	2	8
b.	Did you receive private services from someone who does not work for an agency?	1	2	8

GO TO F2 IF F1a=YES. OTHERWISE, GO TO F3.

F2. **[IF F1a=YES]** What is the name of the agency [*or agencies*] that has provided home care services in the last 2 months?

Capture name of agency [or agencies]

F3. I will read you a list of home care services provided by home support workers. Please tell me using 'yes' or 'no' if you received any of those services in the last 2 months. **[READ EACH, DO NOT RANDOMIZE LIST, CODE YES/NO FOR EACH]**

	Yes	No	DK
a. Bathing	1	2	8
b. Grooming or dressing	1	2	8
c. Meal preparation	1	2	8
 d. Housekeeping (cleaning, laundry) 	1	2	8
e. Feeding or nutrition care	1	2	8
f. Transferring (from place to place inside the home)	1	2	8
g. Relief to family, friends or volunteers who help you with your home care	1	2	8
h. Other:	1	2	8
[IF OTHER=YES, ASK: What other service did you receive?]

GO TO SECTION T IF NO OR DK TO ALL a-h AND IF (A5a=YES OR A6a=YES) AND if yes to at least 1 in E0 TERMINATE IF NO OR DK TO ALL a-h AND IF (A5a not yes and A6a not yes) TERMINATE IF NO OR DK TO ALL a-h AND IF ALL IN E0=no, don't know or blank (not yes)

The questions in this section of the survey are based on the home support services **[List of responses from F3].** As you answer the questions in this survey, think only about your experience with these home care services.

NOTE TO PROGRAMMER: WHEN YOU SEE [FROM THIS AGENCY], INSERT 'From this agency' only if F1a=yes

- F4. Before you started getting home care services, how easy or difficult was it to get information about home care services in New Brunswick? Would you say ... **[READ EACH, CODE ONE]**
 - 1 Very easy
 - 2 Easy
 - 3 Difficult
 - 4 Very difficult
 - 8 [*VOL*] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 **[VOL]** Does not apply [e.g. I did not try to get information about home care services]
- F5. Did this home care start as soon as you thought you needed it?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No: Please explain ____
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- F6. When you first started getting home care services [FROM THIS AGENCY], how easy or difficult was it to fill out all the necessary paperwork? Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Very easy
 - 2 Easy
 - 3 Difficult
 - 4 Very difficult
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- F7. You have the right to be served in either English or French. Of these two languages, which is your preference? [if necessary: for home care services]?
 - 1 English
 - 2 French
 - 3 [VOL] No preference
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know/no answer
- F8. When you first started getting home care services [FROM THIS AGENCY], did someone offer to give you home care services in the official language (English or French) of your choice?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- F9. **[IF NEC:** When you first started getting home care services [FROM THIS AGENCY]] Did someone [FROM THE AGENCY] tell you what care and services you would get?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: HOME CARE IN THE LAST 2 MONTHS

F13. These next questions are about **[IF F1a=YES:** all the different <u>staff</u> from the agency, **IF F1a NOT YES:** all the different home support workers] who gave you home care services in the last 2 months. Do not include care you got from family, friends or volunteers.

Did you get home care services from more than one home support worker in the last two months?

- 1 Yes
- 2 No
- 8 Do not know / do not remember
- F14. In the last 2 months of care, how often have each of the following happened. Please answer using 'Never, sometimes, usually or always'. **REPEAT SCALE IF NECESSARY**
 - a. **[IF F13=1]** How often did home support workers [from this agency] seem informed and up-to-date about <u>all</u> the care you got at home?
 - b. [IF F13=1] How often have you received conflicting information from different home support workers?
 - c. How often did home support workers [from this agency] keep you informed about when they would arrive at your home?
 - d. How often did home support workers [from this agency] treat you as gently as possible?
 - e. How often did home support workers [from this agency] explain things in a way that was easy to understand?
 - f. How often did home support workers [from this agency] listen carefully to you?
 - g. How often did home support workers [from this agency] treat you with courtesy and respect?
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

GO TO F16 IF F7 = 3 OR 8, CONTINUE IF F7 = 1 OR 2

- F15. In the last 2 months of care, how often did you get the home care services you needed in the official language (English or French) of your choice? **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Never
 - 2 Sometimes
 - 3 Usually
 - 4 Always
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

- F16. We want to know your rating of your care from [this agency`s] home support workers. Using any number from 0 to 10, where 0 is the worst home care possible and 10 is the best home care possible, what number would you use to rate your care from [this agency's] home support workers in the last 2 months?
 - 0 0 Worst home care possible
 - 1 1
 - 2 2
 - 3 3
 - 4 4
 - 55
 - 66
 - 77
 - 8 8
 - 99
 - 10 10 Best home care possible
 - 98 [VOL] Do not know / Do not remember
 - 99 [VOL] Does not apply

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: HOME CARE AGENCY

- F17. How easy or difficult is it to contact [**INSERT IF F1a=YES:** the agency's office, **IF F1a not yes:** the home support worker] to get help, information, or advice? Would you say ... [**READ EACH, CODE ONE**]
 - 1 Very easy
 - 2 Easy
 - 3 Difficult
 - 4 Very difficult
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I have never called the agency's/home support worker's office]
- F18. In the last 2 months of care, did you have any problems with the care you got [**IF F1a=YES**: through this agency, **IF F1a NOT YES**: from the home support worker]?
 - 1 Yes (Specify, optional: _____)
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / do not remember

IF F1a NOT YES, GO TO F20

- F19. In the last 2 months of care, did you have any problems with the agency staff?
 - 1 Yes (Specify, optional: _____)
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / do not remember
- F20. Do you know who to contact if you want to make a complaint about your home care services?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / do not remember

- F21. Would you recommend **[IF F1a=YES**: this agency, **IF F1a NOT yes**: this home support worker] to your family or friends if they needed home care? Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Definitely no
 - 2 Probably no
 - 3 Probably yes
 - 4 Definitely yes
 - 8 [*VOL*] Do not know / Do not remember
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: CLIENT AND FAMILY CENTRED CARE

F22. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

[INSERT IF F1a=YES: The agency staff, **IF F1a NOT yes:** The home support worker] and I discussed the type of information they could share with my family or friends. Would you say ... **[READ EACH]**

- 1 Strongly disagree
- 2 Disagree
- 3 Neutral
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly agree
- 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- F23. Has [**INSERT IF F1a=YES:** The agency staff, **IF F1a NOT yes:** The home support worker] ever given information to your family or friends that you did not agree with for them to have?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / do not remember
- F24. Please tell me whether you agree or disagree with the following statement.

My family or friends who help with my care were given the information that they wanted when they needed it. Would you say ... **[READ EACH, CODE ONE]**

- 1 Strongly disagree
- 2 Disagree
- 3 Neutral
- 4 Agree
- 5 Strongly agree
- 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- 9 [VOL] Does not apply

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: MORE QUESTIONS ABOUT YOUR HOME CARE

- F25. How long have you been getting home care services [**IF F1a=YES**: from this agency, **IF F1a NOT YES**: from this home support worker]? Would you say ... [**READ EACH, CODE ONE**]
 - 1 2 months or less
 - 2 More than 2 months but less than 6 months
 - 3 More than 6 months but less than 1 year
 - 4 More than 1 year
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- F26. In the last 2 months, how often did you get home care services [**IF F1a=YES**: from this agency, **IF F1a NOT YES**: from this home support worker], on average? Would you say ... [**READ EACH, CODE ONE**]
 - 1 Every day
 - 2 A few times a week [VOL: from 2 times a week to 6 times a week]
 - 3 Once a week
 - 4 Two or three times a month
 - 5 Once a month
 - 6 Only once in the last 2 months
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply [e.g. I did not get home care services in the last 2 months] [GO TO F28]
- F27. How satisfied are you with the <u>number</u> of times you got home care services [**IF F1a=YES**: from this agency, **IF F1a NOT YES**: from this home support worker] in the last 2 months? Would you say ... [**READ EACH, CODE ONE**]
 - 1 Very satisfied
 - 2 Satisfied
 - 3 Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied
 - 4 Dissatisfied
 - 5 Very dissatisfied
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Does not apply
- F28. Do you or your family members believe that you were harmed because of an error or mistake as a result of home care services [**IF F1a=YES:** from this agency, **IF F1a NOT YES:** from this home support worker]?
 - 1
 Yes

 2
 No
 GO TO F32

 8
 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 GO TO F32

 9
 [VOL] Does not apply
 GO TO F32
- F29. Please provide additional details on why you or your family members believe that you were harmed because of an error or mistake as a result of home care services [IF F1a=YES: from this agency, IF F1a NOT YES: from this home support worker]

Capture open-ended response

F - HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: BARRIERS AND NEEDS ASSESSMENT

- F32. Please answer the following questions with either yes or no. When answering, think of any difficulties you may have ever experienced in getting the home care you needed: **[READ AND RANDOMIZE a-f, ENTER YES/NO FOR EACH]**
 - a. Have you ever had a problem getting the information you needed about home care services?
 - b. Have you ever had a language problem with your home support workers?
 - c. Was there ever a time when home support workers did <u>not</u> take your spiritual or cultural values into account?
 - d. Have you ever needed home care, but there were limits or reductions in the types of services or the type of care available?
 - e. Have you ever needed home care, but there were limits or reductions in the duration of services or the number of hours available?
 - f. Have you ever found the cost for home care services too high?
 - g. Have you experienced any other difficulties with home care? [IF YES, SPECIFY]____
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 Do not know / Do not remember
- F33. Is there anything else you would like to say about the home care you got from [insert if F1a=yes: this agency, IF F1a not yes: this home support worker]?
 - 98 do not know/ nothing to say

T - CLINICAL SERVICES AND HOME SUPPORT SERVICES: ABOUT YOU

- T1. In general, how would you rate your overall health? Would you say ... [READ EACH, CODE ONE]
 - 1 Excellent
 - 2 Very good
 - 3 Good
 - 4 Fair
 - 5 Poor
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know
- T2. In general, how would you rate your overall mental or emotional health? [READ EACH, CODE ONE]
 - 1 Excellent
 - 2 Very good
 - 3 Good
 - 4 Fair
 - 5 Poor
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know

- E52. Has a doctor or any health professional ever diagnosed you with or treated you for any of the following chronic health conditions? **[READ AND DO NOT RANDOMIZE LIST a-n ENTER YES/NO FOR EACH]**
 - a. Arthritis
 - b. Asthma
 - c. Chronic pain
 - d. Emphysema or COPD (chronic obstructive pulmonary disease)
 - e. Cancer
 - f. Diabetes
 - g. Alzheimer
 - h. Dementia
 - i. Depression
 - j. A mood disorder other than depression, such as bipolar disorder, mania, manic depression, or dysthymia
 - k. Heart disease
 - I. Stroke
 - m. High blood pressure or hypertension
 - n. Gastric reflux (GERD)
 - Have you ever been diagnosed with or been treated for any other chronic health condition? Please note that a chronic health condition is something that typically lasts more than 12 months, may require continuous treatment, and that is severe enough to create some limitations in usual activity.[IF o=YES, SPECIFY _____]
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 Do not know/no answer

[IF YES TO AT LEAST ONE IN a-o, GO TO E53. IF NOT, GO TO E50]

- E53. **[ASK IF CHRONIC CONDITION, IF ANY IN E52a–o=YES]** How confident are you that you can control and manage your health condition? **[READ EACH]**
 - 1 Very confident
 - 2 Confident
 - 3 Not very confident
 - 4 Not at all confident
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Refused
- E50. Is there anything else that could have been done or provided to help you stay at home? **[DO NOT READ] [probe:** Anything at all that could have helped you even *more* to stay at home and receive services or care at home? **]**
 - 1 Yes: Please explain (capture open-ended response)
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- T3. Do you live alone?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Refuse

E9. In the last 2 months of care, did a friend, family member or volunteer help you with your home care?

- Yes 1
- 2 No
- [GO TO T4] 8 **[VOL]** Do not know / Do not remember [GO TO T4]

E10. In the last 2 months of care, who has helped you the most with your home care? [DO NOT READ, CODE ONLY ONE. IF MORE THAN ONE, PROBE FOR "person who has helped the most"]

- 10 Husband, wife or common-law partner
- 11 Mother or father
- 12 Son or daughter
- 13 Grandson or granddaughter
- 14 Other family member
- 15 Friend
- 16 Volunteer
- 17 Other (Specify)
- 98 [VOL] Do not know / Do not remember
- E11. In the last 2 months of care, how often did you get help with your home care from a friend, family member or volunteer? Would you say ... [READ EACH, CODE ONE]
 - 1 Every day
 - 2 A few times a week [VOL: from 2 times a week to 6 times a week]
 - 3 Once a week
 - 4 Two or three times a month
 - 5 Once a month
 - 6 Only once in the last 2 months
 - 7 [VOL] Other (Specify_____
 - [VOL] Do not know / Do not remember 8

T4. [ASK IF CLIENT IS 18 OR OLDER] What is the highest grade or level of school that you have completed? [READ EACH, CODE ONE]

- 1 8th grade or less
- 2 Some high school, but did not graduate
- High school graduate or GED 3
- 4 College, trade, or technical school diploma/certificate
- 5 Undergraduate degree
- Post university/graduate level education 6
- 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
- 9 [VOL] Prefer not to answer
- T5. What language do you mainly speak at home?
 - 1 English
 - 2 French
 - 3 First Nation, Indian, Métis, or Inuit
 - 4 Other:
 - [VOL] Do not know / no answer 8
 - 9 [VOL] Prefer not to answer

- T6. Are you an Aboriginal person, that is, North American Indian, Métis, or Inuit?
 - 1 Yes
 - 2 No
 - 8 [VOL] Do not know / no answer
 - 9 **[VOL]** Prefer not to answer
- T7. **[ASK IF CLIENT AT LEAST 18]** We will not ask you to give us your salary or income, but could you tell us in which of the following three categories was your total household income before taxes in 2011: **[READ EACH, STOP IF RESPONDENT STOPS YOU]**
 - 1 Less than \$25,000
 - 2 \$25,000 to less than \$60,000
 - 3 \$60,000 or more
 - 8 [VOL] Do not remember / Do not know
 - 9 [VOL] Prefer not to answer

THANK YOU!

T10. [BY OBSERVATION – Who completed the majority (or all) of the survey?

- 1 Client
- 2 Parent of client
- 3 Someone else